Code No.

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU OF POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT

SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE

Component 1. Exception to the Requirement to Revise the Official Plan

(Return completed module package to appropriate municipality)

DEP USE ONLY						
DEP CODE #	CLIENT ID #	SITE ID #	APS ID #	AUTH ID #		

This planning module component is used to satisfy the sewage facilities planning requirements for subdivisions of 10 lots or less (*including residual lands*) intended as building sites for detached single family dwelling units served by individual onlot sewage disposal systems. The number of lots includes only those lots created after May 15, 1972. Refer to the instructions for help in completing this component.

- NOTE: All soil testing must be field verified by the Sewage Enforcement Officer (SEO). The SEO must notify the approving agency verbally or in writing at least 10 days prior to testing. In some cases, a representative of the approving agency may wish to observe the soil testing.
- REVIEW FEES: Amendments to the Sewage Facilities Act established fees to be paid by the developer for review of planning modules for land development. These fees may vary depending on the approving agency for the project (DEP or delegated local agency). Please see Section K and the attached instructions for more information on these fees.

A. PROJECT INFORMATION (See Section A of instructions)

- 1. Project Name 6277 Franklin Hill Road
- 2. Brief Project Description A proposed three-lot residential subdivision (1 existing lot, 2 proposed lots)
- 3. Total Number of Lots:

	Number of Lots Being Proposed		2	_
+	Residual Land Parcel/Lot	+	1	_
+	Number of Previous Lots Developed from Present Tract As it Appeared on May 15, 1972	+	0	_
	Total	=	3	*

* If total exceeds 10, do not use this form. Contact DEP for correct forms.

B. CLIENT (MUNICIPALITY) INFORMATION (See Section B of instructions)

Municipality Name Smithfield	County Moroe	City	Bo	pro Twp
Municipality Contact - Last Name Heilakka	First Name Julia	MI	Suffix	Title Township Manager
Additional Individual Last Name Wolfe	First Name Ken	MI	Suffix	Title Zoning Officer
Municipality Mailing Address Line 1 1155 Red Fox Road		Mailing Address Line 2		
Address Last Line City East Stroudsburg		State PA	ZIP+4 18301	
Phone + Ext. (570) 223-5082	FAX (optional) ()	Email julia@	(optional) smithfieldto	wnship.com

C. SITE INFORMATION (See Section C of instructions)

Site (Land Development Project) Name 6277 Franklin Hill Road

Site Locatio	on Line 1		Site Locati	on Line 2		
62// Frank	(IIN HIII Road	Chata	-		l atituda	
Site Locatio	on Last Line City	State	4	21P+4	Latitude	Longitude
East Stroug	dsburg	PA		18301	41°01'07.5"N	75°08'48.9"W
Detailed W	ritten Directions to Site	DA 19701: Cot on DA 200 S	from \//ilkog	Perro Ph	ud 6 min (2.2 mi): C	ontinuo on DA
309 S to Pl	ains Townshin 2 min (17	7 mi): Get on I-80 E in Blakesi	26 min (2	0.6 mi) [.] E	ollow I-80 E to PA-2	147 N/US-209
N in Smithf	ield Township Take exit	309 from I-80 F 24 min (25 7	mi): Follow l	JS-209 N	and Music Center [Dr to Franklin
Hill Rd 4 m	in (1.8 mi); and site is loo	cated 6277 Franklin Hill Rd, Ea	ast Stroudsb	urg, PA 1	8301	
Description	of Site (Project)			J,		
Existing res	sidence and barn with wo	ooded areas.				
Site Contac	ct (Developer) Last Na	me First Name	MI	Suffix	Phone	Ext.
Olenick (Sł	nukaitis)	Alice				
Site Contac	ct Title		Site C	Contact Fi	rm (if none, leave b	lank)
Executor of	t the Estate of Nancy Shi	ukaitis				
FAX			Email			
Mailing Ad	dress Line 1		Mailin	a Addres	s Line 2	
			Wallin			
Mailing Add	dress Last Line Citv		State		ZIP+4	
D. PRO	JECT CONSULTANT	INFORMATION (See Section	on D of instru	uctions)		
Last Name	JECT CONSULTANT	First Name	on D of instru	uctions) MI		Suffix
Last Name Bernard	JECT CONSULTANT	First Name Tara	on D of instru	uctions) MI	S	Suffix
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title	DJECT CONSULTANT	First Name Tara Consulting Firm	on D of instru	uctions) MI	5	Suffix
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S	DJECT CONSULTANT	First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC.	on D of instru	uctions) MI		Suffix
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add	pecialist dress Line 1	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC.	on D of instru Mailing Ad	uctions) MI ddress Lir	ne 2	Suffix
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add 1590 Cana	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC.	Mailing Ad	uctions) MI ddress Lir	1e 2	Suffix
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add 1590 Cana Address La	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC.	Mailing Ad	uctions) MI ddress Lir	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co	Suffix
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add 1590 Cana Address La Quakertow	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC.	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lir	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co 8951 US	Suffix
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Ado 1590 Cana Address La Quakertow Email tbernard@y	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lir Z Ext.	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co 8951 US F	Suffix Suntry SA FAX
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add 1590 Cana Address La Quakertow Email tbernard@ E. AVA	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n vw-consultants.com	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lir Z Ext.	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co 8951 US F (Suffix Suntry SA FAX
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add 1590 Cana Address La Quakertow Email tbernard@ E. AVA	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n vw-consultants.com	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006 KING WATER SUPPLY with drinking water from the form	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lir Z Ext.	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co 8951 US F (ck appropriate box)	Suffix Juntry SA FAX
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add 1590 Cana Address La Quakertow Email tbernard@ E. AVA This	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n vw-consultants.com ILABILITY OF DRINK s project will be provided Individual wells or ciste	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006 KING WATER SUPPLY with drinking water from the forms	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lir ddress Lir Z Ext.	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co 8951 US F (ck appropriate box)	Suffix Suntry SA FAX
D. PRO Last Name Bernard Title Planning S Mailing Add 1590 Cana Address La Quakertow Email tbernard@ E. AVA This	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n ww-consultants.com ILABILITY OF DRINK s project will be provided Individual wells or ciste A proposed public wate	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006 KING WATER SUPPLY with drinking water from the forms. er supply	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lin Z Ext.	ne 2 2 2IP+4 Co 8951 US F (ck appropriate box)	Suffix Jountry SA FAX ()
D. PRO	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n ww-consultants.com ILABILITY OF DRINK s project will be provided Individual wells or ciste A proposed public wate	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006 KING WATER SUPPLY with drinking water from the forms. er supply. r supply.	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lin Z Ext.	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co 8951 US F (ck appropriate box)	Suffix Juntry SA FAX
D. PRO	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n ww-consultants.com ILABILITY OF DRINK s project will be provided Individual wells or ciste A proposed public wate An existing public wate	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006 (ING WATER SUPPLY with drinking water from the forms. er supply. r supply. supply. supply.	Mailing Ad State PA	ddress Lin Z Ext.	ne 2 21P+4 Co 8951 US F (ck appropriate box)	Suffix Juntry SA FAX
D. PRO	pecialist dress Line 1 ry Road ast Line City n ww-consultants.com ILABILITY OF DRINK s project will be provided Individual wells or ciste A proposed public water An existing public water If existing public water documentation from the	INFORMATION (See Section First Name Tara Consulting Firm VW Consultants, LLC. Phone (215) 536-7006 KING WATER SUPPLY with drinking water from the forms. er supply. r supply. supply is to be used, provide the water company stating that it	Mailing Ad State PA ollowing sour	ddress Lin Z ddress Lin Z Ext. rce: (Che rce: (Che he water o e project.	ne 2 ZIP+4 Co 8951 US F (ck appropriate box)	Suffix Jountry SA FAX

Name of water company: _

F. PROJECT NARRATIVE (See Section F of instructions)

A narrative has been prepared as described in Section F of the instructions.

The applicant may choose to include additional information beyond that required by Section F of the instructions.

G. GENERAL SITE SUITABILITY (See Section G of instructions)

1. PLOT PLAN

Attach an original or copy of a 7½ minute USGS topographic map with the area of the proposed land development plotted and labeled. Attach a copy of the plot plan of the proposed subdivision showing the following information:

- a. Location of all soils profiles and percolation tests.
- b. Slope at each test area.
- c. Soil types and boundaries.
- d. Existing and proposed streets, roadways, access roads, etc.
- e. Lot lines and lot sizes.
- f. Existing and proposed rights-of-way.
- g. Existing and proposed drinking water supplies for proposed and contiguous lots.
- h. Existing buildings.
- i. Surface waters.

j. Wetlands from National Wetland Inventory Mapping and USDA Hydric Soils Mapping.

-

- k. Floodplain and floodways (Federal Flood Insurance Mapping).
- I. Designated open space areas.
- m. Remaining acreage under the same ownership and adjoining lots.
- n. Existing onlot or sewerage systems; pipelines, transmission lines, etc.
- o. Prime agricultural land.
- p. Orientation to North.
- 2. RESIDUAL TRACT PLANNING WAIVER REQUEST

A waiver from sewage facilities planning \Box is, \boxtimes is not requested for the residual land tract associated with this project. (See Section H, I and J and instructions for additional information).

- 3. SOILS INFORMATION
 - Attach copies of "Site Investigation and Percolation Test Report" (3800-FM-BPNPSM0290A) (formerly known as "Appendix A") form(s) for the proposed subdivision.
 - b. Marginal conditions for long-term onlot sewage disposal ☐ are, ⊠ are not present. See marginal conditions information in Sections H and J and in attached instructions.
 - c. If one or more lots in this subdivision are planned to be served by Individual Residential Spray Irrigation Systems (IRSIS), please see the specific information on IRSIS in Section G of the instructions.

Both the soils description preparer and developer must sign below indicating acknowledgement of the false swearing statement.

I verify that the statements made in this component are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Jos	eph A Valentine		Alice Olenick	
Soi	S Description Preparer Name (Print)	12/2/2024	Developer Name (Print)	12-2-2024
Sig	nature	Date	Signature	Date

н.	MUNICIPALITY'S CERTIFIED SEWAGE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (See Section H of instructions)
1.	I have confirmed the information relating to the general suitability for onlot sewage disposal contained in this component. Confirmation of this information was based upon on-site verification of soil tests, general site conditions and other generally available soils information. The proposed development site:
	Is generally suitable for onlot disposal. This module does not constitute individual permit approval.
	Is marginal for long-term onlot disposal. (See instructions for information on marginal conditions).
	Is not generally suitable for onlot disposal. (See my attached comments regarding this determination).
	Cannot be evaluated for general site suitability because of insufficient soils testing.
2.	The proposed development site is considered "marginal for onlot disposal" or for long-term onlot system use because one or more of the following conditions exist. (Check all that apply).
	Soils profile examinations which document areas of suitable soil intermixed with areas of unsuitable soils.
	Site evaluation which documents soils generally suitable for elevated sand mounds with some potential lots with slopes over 12%.
	Site evaluation which documents soils generally suitable for in-ground systems with some potential lots with slopes in excess of 20%.
	Lot density of more than 1 residential dwelling/acre.
3.	Residual Tract Facilities (For use only when there is an existing septic system on the residual tract)
	□ I have inspected the lot on which the existing building and existing septic system is located and have concluded, based on soils mapping or soils evaluation, permit information or site inspection that the long-term sewage disposal needs of this site and the building currently served can be met.
	□ I further acknowledge that no violations of the Sewage Facilities Act are known to me or have become apparent as a result of my site inspection. No inferences regarding future performance of the existing septic system should be drawn from this acknowledgement.
	A brief description and sketch of the existing system and site is attached.

-					
I. –	PROTECTION OF RARE,	ENDANGERED OR T	HREATENED SPECIES (See Section I of instructions)	

Check one:

The "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Environmental Review Receipt" resulting from my search of the PNDI database and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are attached.

Certification

A completed "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Planning & Environmental Review Form," (PNDI Form) available at <u>www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us</u>, and all required supporting documentation is attached. I request DEP staff to complete the required PNDI search for my project. I realize that my planning module will be considered incomplete upon submission to the Department and that the DEP review will not begin, and that processing of my planning module will be delayed, until a "PNDI Project Environmental Review Receipt" and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are received by DEP.

Applicant or Consultant Initials

Date

J. PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW (See Section J of instructions)

This planning module has been reviewed by the existing municipal planning agency and zoning officer and has been found to be \boxtimes consistent, \square inconsistent with municipal zoning ordinances or subdivision and land development ordinances. A waiver of the sewage facilities planning requirements for the residual tract of this subdivision \square has \boxtimes has not been requested. If requested, the proposed waiver \square is \square is not consistent with applicable ordinances administered by this agency.

Smithfield Township

Municipal Planning Agency Name

Signature of Certified Sewage Enforcement Officer with

jurisdiction in municipality where development is proposed

Planning Agency Signature (Authorized Official)

No municipal planning agency exists

Zoning Officer Signature

No municipal zoning ordinance exists

K. MUNICIPAL ACTION (See Section K of instructions)	
The municipality must act within 60 days of receipt of a complete	e sewage facilities planning module package.
This planning module has been reviewed by the municipal g Approval of this planning module does not constitute individu	overning body and has been found to be ACCEPTABLI al onlot system permit approval.
This planning module is NOT ACCEPTABLE because:	
Check appropriate reason(s)	
The subdivision does not comply with municipal zoning of the subdivision does not comply with municipal z	ordinances.
The subdivision does not comply with municipal subdivision	ion and land development ordinances.
The subdivision is not suitable for the use of individual or	nlot subsurface absorption areas.
The subdivision does not meet the requirements for (Administration of Sewage Facilities Planning Program).	use of this module or other provisions of Chapter 7
Other (Explain)	
The proposed development has been identified in Section G concerns for the long-term use of onlot sewage systems. providing long-term sewage disposal to this subdivision: (Ch	and/or Section H as having marginal conditions or othe The municipality has selected the following method (neck one)
Provision of a sewage management program meeting th	e minimum requirements of Chapter 71, Section 71.73
Replacement area testing	
Scheduled replacement with sewerage facilities	
Reduction of the density of onlot systems	
The justification required in Section J of the instructions is at	tached.
A waiver of the planning requirements for the residual tract o	f this subdivision has been requested.
The municipality acknowledges acceptance of this proposa requirements for the residual tract designated on the su responsibility now and in the future to identify any violation required sewage facilities planning for the designated residu sewage-generating structure on the residual tract of the sub information may require municipal officials to be responsible the residual tract in the future.	I and requests a waiver of the sewage facilities plannin bdivision plot plan. Our municipal officials accept fu of this waiver and to submit to the approving agency ar ual tract should a violation occur or construction of a ne division be proposed. We understand that such plannin e for soil testing and other environmental assessments for
Chairperson/Secretary of Governing Body Smithfield Township Municipality Name	Signature Date
<u>1155 Red Fox Road, East Stroudsburg, PA 18301</u> Address	(Area Code) Telephone No. <u>(570)</u> 223-5082

L. RE	VIEW	FEE (See Section L of instructions)
The Sew project a module p "delegate determin	rage Fac Ind invo prior to ed local lie these	cilities Act establishes a fee for the DEP planning module review. DEP will calculate the review fee for the ice the project sponsor OR the project sponsor may attach a self-calculated fee payment to the planning submission of the planning package to DEP. (Since the fee and fee collection procedures may vary if a agency" is conducting the review, the project sponsor should contact the "delegated local agency" to details.) Check the appropriate box.
	uest Di	EP calculate the review fee for my project and send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand the project will not begin until DEP receives the correct review fee from me for the project.
I ha instr <i>Pen</i> revie my beg	ve calce ructions msylva ew of m check c in until	ulated the review fee for my project using the formula found below and the review fee guidance in the I have attached a check or money order in the amount of \$70 payable to "Commonwealth of nia DEP". Include DEP code number and/or project name on check. I understand DEP will not begin y project unless it receives the fee and determines the fee is correct. If the fee is incorrect, DEP will return or money order and send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand the DEP review will NOT have submitted the correct fee.
I red lot a sub	quest to and is t division	be exempt from the DEP planning module review fee because this planning module creates only one new he only lot subdivided from a parcel of land as that land existed on December 14, 1995. I realize that of a second lot from this parcel of land shall disqualify me from this review fee exemption. I am furnishing a dead reference information in support of my fee exemption.
the	tollowin	g deed reference montation in cappets and g
Col		Book Number
Dee	ed Volu	Date Recorded
Pa	ge Num	ber Date Recented
Formul	a:	
# <u>2</u>		Lots X $35.00 = 70$
Note:	(1)	To calculate the review fee for any project, use the number of lots created in the above formation, the
	(2)	When using the number of lots, include only the number of lots being proposed when calculating the review fee. Do not include any "Residual Land Parcel/Lot".
Alice ()lenick	
Develo	oper Na	me (Print)
an	ù Oto	12-2-2024
Signat	ure	Date

3800-FM-BPNPSM0350 2/2015 Checklist

Pennsylvania DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU OF POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT

Completeness Checklist

The following items should be checked off by the applicant as each is completed. The municipality should confirm that the required items have been included within ten days of receipt and if complete, sign and date the checklist. Submissions not containing the following information will be considered incomplete.

- Complete Component 1
- Letter from public water supplier (if applicable)
- Plot plan and 7.5' topo map showing subdivision
- Site Investigation and Percolation Test Report(s)" with results of *ALL* profile examinations and percolation tests (suitable and unsuitable)
- Signature of soils description preparer
- Signature of developer
- SEO signature
- PNDI "Project Planning & Environmental Review Form" (request DEP search) or "Project Environmental Review Receipt" (self completed search) and all appropriate documentation for the form submitted.
- Planning Agency Signature
- Zoning Officer Signature (if applicable)

Signature of Municipal Official

Date submittal determined complete

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name: Franklin Hill Subdiv Rev Date of Review: 9/9/2024 03:48:37 PM Project Category: Development, Residential, Subdivision containing more than 2 lots and/or 2 single-family units Project Area: 17.40 acres County(s): Monroe Township/Municipality(s): SMITHFIELD TOWNSHIP ZIP Code: Quadrangle Name(s): EAST STROUDSBURG Watersheds HUC 8: Middle Delaware-Mongaup-Brodhead Watersheds HUC 12: Marshalls Creek Decimal Degrees: 41.018904, -75.147034

Degrees Minutes Seconds: 41° 1' 8.560" N, 75° 8' 49.3208" W

2. SEARCH RESULTS

Agency	Results	Response
PA Game Commission	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required
PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required
PA Fish and Boat Commission	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Potential Impact	MORE INFORMATION REQUIRED, See Agency Response

As summarized above, Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) records indicate there may be potential impacts to threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources within the project area. If the response above indicates "No Further Review Required" no additional communication with the respective agency is required. If the response is "Further Review Required" or "See Agency Response," refer to the appropriate agency comments below. Please see the DEP Information Section of this receipt if a PA Department of Environmental Protection Permit is required.

COMPONENT 1 SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE

PROJECT NARRATIVE

6277 Franklin Hill Road, East Stroudsburg, PA 18301 Smithfield Township, Monroe County TM# 16.7.2.23-1

1. The Property Owner is proposed 3-lot subdivision of 6277 Franklin Hill Road in Smithfield Township, Monroe County. The existing parcel contains two residential homes and an existing barn. One of the existing homes will remain on Lot No. 1 and currently serviced by a functioning on-lot sewage disposal system and well. Lot No. 3 contains the other existing home and barn which is also serviced by a functioning on-lot sewage disposal system and well.

Lot No. 2 is the lot that will contain the proposed home. The property owner will be selling this lot in the future therefore no home is shown on this lot. A primary and reserve area has been tested for a 4-bedroom home.

VW Consultants (VW) performed deep-hole test pits and percolation testing on the lots to delineate a replacement on-lot sewage disposal area for the existing homes and a primary and reserve for the proposed home. The testing was witnessed by the Township Sewage Enforcement Officer. Based on the soils observed on the property had seasonal high water table limiting zones (mottling/redox features) generally greater than 20 inches below the existing ground surface. Therefore, the proposed method of sewage disposal is conventional sand mounds.

Runoff from the site and adjacent areas flow to Candle Creek which is classified as HQ-CWF in Chapter 93.

- 2. Per Title 25 of the PA Code, Chapter 73, the projected daily sewage flow for this 3-lot subdivision is 1,300 gallons per day. The two existing dwelling is three-bedrooms at 400 gallons per day, and the proposed dwelling will be four-bedrooms at 500 gallons per day. Therefore, the calculated EDUs for the project is 3.25.
- 3. Total gross site acreage is 17.56 acres. Lot 1 will contain an existing residence and will consist of 2.04 acres, and Lot 2 will consist of 2.14 acres, and Lot 3 will contain an existing residence and consist of 13.38 acres.
- 4. There is no acreage adjacent to this site under the same ownership. Residential properties border the project site and all utilize on-lot sewage disposal and individual wells. The surrounding properties do not have a high rate of on-lot system malfunctions.

Franklin Hill Subdiv Rev



Sources: Esri, Airbus DS, USGS, NGA, NASA, CGIAR, N Robinson, NCEAS, NLS, OS, NMA, Geodatastyrelsen, Rijkswaterstaat, GSA, Geoland, FEMA, Intermap and the GIS user community



Franklin Hill Subdiv Rev

Sources: Esri, Airbus DS, USGS, NGA, NASA, CGIAR, N Robinson, NCEAS, NLS, OS, NMA, Geodatastyrelsen, Rijkswaterstaat, GSA, Geoland, FEMA, Intermap and the GIS user community

RESPONSE TO QUESTION(S) ASKED

Q1: Accurately describe what is known about wetland presence in the project area or on the land parcel by selecting ONE of the following. "Project" includes all features of the project (including buildings, roads, utility lines, outfall and intake structures, wells, stormwater retention/detention basins, parking lots, driveways, lawns, etc.), as well as all associated impacts (e.g., temporary staging areas, work areas, temporary road crossings, areas subject to grading or clearing, etc.). Include all areas that will be permanently or temporarily affected -- either directly or indirectly -- by any type of disturbance (e.g., land clearing, grading, tree removal, flooding, etc.). Land parcel = the lot(s) on which some type of project(s) or activity(s) are proposed to occur.

Your answer is: Someone qualified to identify and delineate wetlands (holding a natural resource degree or equivalent work experience) has investigated the site, and determined that wetlands ARE located in or within 300 feet of the project area. (A written report from the wetland specialist, and detailed project maps should document this.)

Q2: The proposed project is in the range of the Indiana bat. Describe how the project will affect bat habitat (forests, woodlots and trees) and indicate what measures will be taken in consideration of this. Round acreages up to the nearest acre (e.g., 0.2 acres = 1 acre).

Your answer is: No forests, woodlots or trees will be affected by the project.

Q3: Is tree removal, tree cutting or forest clearing necessary to implement all aspects of this project? **Your answer is:** No

Q4: Is tree removal, tree cutting or forest clearing of 40 acres or more necessary to implement all aspects of this project?

Your answer is: No

Q5: How many acres of woodland, forest, forested fencerows and trees will be cut, cleared, removed, disturbed or flooded (inundated) as a result of carrying out all aspects or phases of this project? [Round acreages UP to the nearest acre (e.g., 0.2 acres = 1 acre).] Your answer is: 1 to 10 acres

3. AGENCY COMMENTS

Regardless of whether a DEP permit is necessary for this proposed project, any potential impacts to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources must be resolved with the appropriate jurisdictional agency. In some cases, a permit or authorization from the jurisdictional agency may be needed if adverse impacts to these species and habitats cannot be avoided.

These agency determinations and responses are **valid for two years** (from the date of the review), and are based on the project information that was provided, including the exact project location; the project type, description, and features; and any responses to questions that were generated during this search. If any of the following change: 1) project location, 2) project size or configuration, 3) project type, or 4) responses to the questions that were asked during the online review, the results of this review are not valid, and the review must be searched again via the PNDI Environmental Review Tool and resubmitted to the jurisdictional agencies. The PNDI tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer impacts than what is listed on this PNDI receipt. The jurisdictional agencies **strongly advise against** conducting surveys for the species listed on the receipt prior to consultation with the agencies.

PA Game Commission RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

PA Fish and Boat Commission

RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

RESPONSE:

Information Request: Conduct a Bog Turtle Habitat (Phase 1) Survey in accordance with USFWS Guidelines for Bog Turtle Surveys (April 2020). Evaluate all wetlands within 300 feet of the project area, which includes all areas that will be impacted by earth disturbance or project features (e.g., roads, structures, utility lines, lawns, detention basins, staging areas, etc.). IF THE PHASE 1 SURVEY IS DONE BY A QUALIFIED BOG TURTLE SURVEYOR (see Pennsylvania Qualified Surveyors | FWS.gov): 1) Send positive results to USFWS for concurrence, along with a project description documenting how impacts will be avoided. OR, conduct a Phase 2 survey and send Phase 1 and 2 results to USFWS for concurrence. 2) Send a courtesy copy of negative results to USFWS (label as "Negative Phase 1 Survey Results by Qualified Bog Turtle Surveyor: USFWS Courtesy Copy"). USFWS approval of negative results is not necessary when a qualified surveyor does the survey in full accordance with USFWS guidelines. IF THE PHASE 1 SURVEY IS NOT DONE BY A QUALIFIED SURVEYOR: Send ALL Phase 1 results to USFWS for concurrence, and if

potential habitat is found, also send a project description documenting how impacts will be avoided. As a qualified bog turtle surveyor, I Mac in Corn (name) certify that I conducted a Phase 1 survey of all wetlands in and within 300 feet of the project area on 4 · 28 - 22 (date) and determined that bog turtle habitat is absent.

Signature) Avoidance Measure: The proposed project is located in the vicinity of northern long-eared bat spring staging/fall swarming habitat. To ensure take is not reasonably certain to occur, do not conduct tree removal from May 15 to August 15. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service determined take is not reasonably certain to occur from tree removal if

activities are avoided during the pup season (i.e., the range of time when females are close to giving birth (i.e., two weeks prior to birth) and have non-volant (i.e., unable to fly) young). For more information, see the Interim Voluntary Guidance for the Northern Long-Eared Bat: Forest Habitat Modification, available here: https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/interim-habitat-modification-guidance.

As the project proponent or applicant, I certify that I will implement the above Avoidance Measure:

N. (Sunkarfis) (tim (Signature)

ALICEN, (SHUKAITS) OLENICK

SPECIAL NOTE: If you agree to implement the above Avoidance Measure and if applicable, any Information Requests, no further coordination with this agency regarding threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources is required. If you are not able to comply with the Avoidance Measures, you are required to coordinate with this agency - please send project information to this agency for review (see "What to Send" section).

WHAT TO SEND TO JURISDICTIONAL AGENCIES

If project information was requested by one or more of the agencies above, upload* or email the following information to the agency(s) (see AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION). Instructions for uploading project materials can be found <u>here</u>. This option provides the applicant with the convenience of sending project materials to a single location accessible to all three state agencies (but not USFWS).

*If information was requested by USFWS, applicants must email, or mail, project information to <u>IR1_ESPenn@fws.gov</u> to initiate a review. USFWS will not accept uploaded project materials.

Check-list of Minimum Materials to be submitted:

_____Project narrative with a description of the overall project, the work to be performed, current physical characteristics of the site and acreage to be impacted.

_____A map with the project boundary and/or a basic site plan(particularly showing the relationship of the project to the physical features such as wetlands, streams, ponds, rock outcrops, etc.)

In addition to the materials listed above, USFWS REQUIRES the following

____SIGNED copy of a Final Project Environmental Review Receipt

The inclusion of the following information may expedite the review process.

____Color photos keyed to the basic site plan (i.e. showing on the site plan where and in what direction each photo was taken and the date of the photos)

Information about the presence and location of wetlands in the project area, and how this was determined (e.g., by a qualified wetlands biologist), if wetlands are present in the project area, provide project plans showing the location of all project features, as well as wetlands and streams.

4. DEP INFORMATION

The Pa Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requires that a signed copy of this receipt, along with any required documentation from jurisdictional agencies concerning resolution of potential impacts, be submitted with applications for permits requiring PNDI review. Two review options are available to permit applicants for handling PNDI coordination in conjunction with DEP's permit review process involving either T&E Species or species of special concern. Under sequential review, the permit applicant performs a PNDI screening and completes all coordination with the appropriate jurisdictional agencies prior to submitting the permit application. The applicant will include with its application, both a PNDI receipt and/or a clearance letter from the jurisdictional agencies. Under concurrent review, DEP, where feasible, will allow technical review of the permit to occur concurrently with the T&E species consultation with the jurisdictional agency. The applicant must still supply a copy of the PNDI Receipt with its permit application. The PNDI Receipt should also be submitted to the appropriate agency according to directions on the PNDI Receipt. The applicant and the jurisdictional agency will work together to resolve the potential impact(s). See the DEP PNDI policy at https://conservationexplorer.dcnr.pa.gov/content/resources.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The PNDI environmental review website is a preliminary screening tool. There are often delays in updating species status classifications. Because the proposed status represents the best available information regarding the conservation status of the species, state jurisdictional agency staff give the proposed statuses at least the same consideration as the current legal status. If surveys or further information reveal that a threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources exist in your project area, contact the appropriate jurisdictional agency/agencies immediately to identify and resolve any impacts.

For a list of species known to occur in the county where your project is located, please see the species lists by county found on the PA Natural Heritage Program (PNHP) home page (<u>www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us</u>). Also note that the PNDI Environmental Review Tool only contains information about species occurrences that have actually been reported to the PNHP.

6. AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

Bureau of Forestry, Ecological Services Section 400 Market Street, PO Box 8552 Harrisburg, PA 17105-8552 Email: <u>RA-HeritageReview@pa.gov</u>

PA Fish and Boat Commission

Division of Environmental Services 595 E. Rolling Ridge Dr., Bellefonte, PA 16823 Email: <u>RA-FBPACENOTIFY@pa.gov</u>

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Pennsylvania Field Office Endangered Species Section 110 Radnor Rd; Suite 101 State College, PA 16801 Email: <u>IR1_ESPenn@fws.gov</u> NO Faxes Please

PA Game Commission Bureau of Wildlife Management Division of Environmental Review 2001 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110-9797 Email: <u>RA-PGC_PNDI@pa.gov</u> NO Faxes Please

7. PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Name:		1 -
Company/Business Name:	and the second shares and shares	
Address:	A Const Const C. A. C.	200
City, State, Zip:		lant
Phone:()	Fax:()	61
Email:		

8. CERTIFICATION

I certify that ALL of the project information contained in this receipt (including project location, project size/configuration, project type, answers to questions) is true, accurate and complete. In addition, if the project type, location, size or configuration changes, or if the answers to any questions that were asked during this online review change, I agree to re-do the online environmental review.

applicant/project proponent signature

date

Site	Pit	Limiting Zone	Perc Rate
		(in)	(min/in)
Lot# 2 Primary	1	24	8.25
	2	27	
Lot#2 Reserve	3	24	10.71
	4	27	
Lot #1	5	23	
	6	32	
Lot#1 Reserve	7	25	11.54
	8	37	
Lot#3 Reserve	9	23	11.49
	10	27	

	Application No. 6277 Fra						Munic	ipality _	Smithfield To	ownship	County	M	onroe
	Site L	ocat	tion		627	7 Franklin I	Hill Road		Subd'n Name	Lot#2 The	e Estate of N	Nancy Mich	ael Shukatis
7	Suitable	e			Soil Type	Mardin Tax	Slope	3-8%	Limiting Zone	24"M	Ave. Perc.	Rate	8.24
	Unsuita	able		Mottling	g 🗆 Seeps	or Ponded Wa	ter	Bedrock	□ Fractures	□ Coarse	Fragments	🗆 Pei	rc. Rate
				🗆 Slop	oe 🔲 Unstat	oilized Fill	□ Flood	plain 🛛 Oth	er	_			
		INS	STR	UCTIC	NS FOR	COMPLE	ION OF	THIS FOR	M ARE LOCATE	D ON THE R	EVERSE		
	SOIL Soi	S DE Is De	ESCI escri	Date:	10/28/24	1							
	Inches Pit# 1							Description	n of Horizon			l Pits	
Ар	0	то	8	"	10YR 3/4,	Channery, S	ilt Loam, I	Noderate, Med	ium, Granular, Very	Friable		'M	
Bw1	8	то	24	"	10YR 4/4,	Cobbly, Silt	Loam, Mo	derate, Mediur	n, Subangular Blocky	/, Friable		_	
Bw2	24	то	28	"	10YR 4/4,	Channery, S	ilt Loam, I	Moderate, Med	ium, Subangular Blo	cky, Friable			
2CB	B 28 TO 36 " 10YR 4/4, Channely, Si Common distinct redox 10YR 4/4, Extremely Fla Common distinct redox						features aggy, Silt	Loam, Structu	reless, Massive, Fria		_		
	TO"						leatures						
	TO"											Depth to Zone:	Limiting
		то		<u> </u>									Inches

PERCOLATION TEST:

Perc	colation T	est Co	mple ⁻	ted by:		VW Co	nsultants LL		Date:	11/6/24		
Weat Soil (her Cond	litions : s:		Below 40 F Wet ☑ Dr	☑ 40 F or Abo y	ove 🗹 Dr en	ý	🛛 Rain, Slee	et, Snow (last i	24 hours)		
	Hole No.	H20 I	_eft No	Reading Interval	Reading No. 1: Inches of drop	Reading No. 2: Inches of drop	Reading No. 3: Inches of drop	Reading No. 4: Inches of drop	Reading No. 5: Inches of drop	Reading No. 6: Inches of drop	Reading No. 7: Inches of drop	Reading No. 8: Inches of drop
	1	Х		XX / 30	5.000	4.875	4.750	4.250	4.125	4.125	4.000	
	2	Х		XX / 30	3.875	3.625	3.500	3.000	3.000	2.875	2.750	
	3	Х		XX / 30	4.750	4.500	4.375	4.125	4.250	4.125		
	4	Х		XX / 30	5.250	5.125	5.125	5.000				
	5	Х		XX / 30	5.500	4.750	4.375	4.125	4.125	4.000	3.875	
	6	Х		XX / 30	3.250	3.125	3.250	3.000				
	***Water r	emaining	g in the	e hole at the end of	the final 30 mi	nute presoak	? Yes, use 30) minute interv	al; No use 10	minute interval		

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

Hole No.	Drop during final period	Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch	Depth of Hole		
1	4.000 "	7.50	20	"	The information provided is the true and
2	2.750 "	10.91	20	"	correct results of tests conducted by
3	4.125 "	7.27	20	"	me, performed under my personal super-
4	5.000 "	6.00	20	"	vision, or confirmed in a manner approved
5	3.875 "	7.74	20	"	by the Department.
6	3.000 "	10.00	20	"	
TOTAL OF	MIN/IN.	49.42 =	8.24	Min	(S)
TOTAL No.	OF HOLES	6		Inch	Sewage Enforcement Officer

	Application	No <u>.</u>			Munici	pality	Smi	thfield Tow	County	Мо	nroe			
নি	Site Locatio	on	6	6277 Franklin	Hill Road		Subd'n Na	me	Lot#2 Th	e Estate of N	lancy Micha	el Shukatis		
	Unsuitable	□ Mottlin □ Slo	Soil Ty ng 🗆 See ope 🗆 Un	pe <u>Mardin Tax</u> eps or Ponded W nstabilized Fill	Slope ater	3-8% □ Bedrock Iain □ Otl	Limiting 2 Fractu	Zone ıres	27"M □ Coarse	Ave. Perc. Fragments	Rate □ Perc	. Rate		
	INST SOILS DES	RUCTI CRIPTI	ONS FO ON:		TION OF				ON THE F	REVERSE	40/20/24			
	Solis Des	cripuon (e by:			n of Horiz	<u>lC/JAV</u>		Dale:	10/28/24			
n		8 "	10YR 3	8/4 Channery 9	Silt Loam M	Ioderate Me	dium Granu	ular. Verv Fri:	ahle					
יי ער <u>י</u>		<u> </u>		, onannery, o							-			
v1 _	<u>8 TO 1</u>	8 "	10YR 4	1/4, Cobbly, Silt	Loam, Mod	lerate, Mediu	m, Subangu	ilar Blocky, F	riable		_			
v2 _	<u>18</u> TO 2	27_"	10YR 4	4/4, Cobbly, Silt	Loam, Mod	lerate, Mediu	m, Subangu	ılar Blocky, F	riable		_			
в	3 27 TO 36 " 10YR 4/4, Extremely Channery, Silt Loam, Structureless, Massive, Friable										_			
_	то	"	Commo	on distinct redo	x features						-			
-												Depth to Limiting		
-	TO"										_2one: _ 27	Inches		
-	TO										_			
	Soil Conditi	ons: H20	ULeft		□ 40 F or Above □ Dry □ Rain, Sleet, Snow (last 24 F y □ Frozen Reading Reading Reading Reading No. 1: No. 2: No. 1: No. 2:					Reading No. 6:	Reading No. 7:	Reading No. 8:		
	Hole N	lo. Yes	No	Reading Interval	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop		
				10 / 30										
				10 / 30										
				10/30										
				10 / 30										
				10 / 30										
	***Wat	ter remaini	ng in the ho	Die at the end of t	he final 30 m	inute presoak	? Yes, use 3	0 minute inter	val; No use 1	10 minute interva	al.			
	Hole No.	Drop Drop	during durind	Percolation Perc. Rate Minutes/In	as ch	Depth of Hole								
			"			0	"		The informa	tion provided is	the true and			
-			"				"		correct resu	Its of tests cond	ucted by			
-			"						me, perform vision. or co	ed under my pe nfirmed in a ma	rsonal super- nner approved			
-			"				"		by the Depa	rtment.	p.0.0 u			
-							"							
							Min	-	(S)	Enforcement Of	ficer			
	I O I AL NO.						mon		Sewaye					

	Application No <u>.</u> Site Location 6277 Fra						Munic	ipality	Smithfield To	ownship	County	Monroe
_	Site L	.ocat	ion		627	7 Franklin I	Hill Road		Subd'n Name	Lot#2 The	Estate of N	Nancy Michael Shukatis
1	Suitable	5			Soil Type	Mardin Tax	Slope	3-8%	Limiting Zone	24"M	Ave. Perc.	Rate 10.71
	Unsuita	ble		Mottling	g 🗆 Seeps	or Ponded Wa	ter	Bedrock	□ Fractures	Coarse F	ragments	Perc. Rate
				Slop	oe 🔲 Unstat	pilized Fill	□ Flood	lplain 🛛 Ot	her			
		INS	TR		NS FOR	COMPLE			M ARE LOCATE	D ON THE R	EVERSE	
	SOIL	S DE ls De	Date:	10/28/24								
	In	che	S	Pit#	3			Descriptio	n of Horizon			Additional Pits
Ар	0	то	8	"	10YR 3/4,	Channery, S	ilt Loam, I	Moderate, Me	dium, Granular, Very I	Friable		Pit #4 27"M
Bw1	8	то	16	"	10YR 4/4,	Channery, S	ilt Loam, I	Moderate, Me	dium, Subangular Blo	cky, Friable		_
Bw2	16	то	24		10YR 4/4,	Very Chann	ery, Silt Lo	oam, Weak, C	oarse, Subangular Blo	ocky, Friable		_
2CB	B 24 TO 36 " 10YR 4/4, Extremely Flag						aggy, Silt	ggy, Silt Loam, Structureless, Massive, Friable				_
	Common distinct redo											_
		то		"								Depth to Limiting Zone:
	TO"											Inches

PERCOLATION TEST:

Perc	colation T	est Co	mple	ted by:		VW Co	Date:	11/6/24				
Weat Soil C	her Cond Conditions	litions : s:		Below 40 F Wet I Dr	☑ 40 F or Abo y	ove 🗹 Dry en	ý	🗆 Rain, Slee	et, Snow (last i	24 hours)		
	Hole No.	H20 I * * * Yes	_eft * No	Reading Interval	Reading No. 1: Inches of drop	Reading No. 2: Inches of drop	Reading No. 3: Inches of drop	Reading No. 4: Inches of drop	Reading No. 5: Inches of drop	Reading No. 6: Inches of drop	Reading No. 7: Inches of drop	Reading No. 8: Inches of drop
	1	Х		XX / 30	2.500	2.250	2.250	2.250				
	2	Х		XX / 30	2.750	2.500	2.375	2.250	2.250			
	3	Х		XX / 30	3.625	3.500	3.500	3.250	3.250			
	4	Х		XX / 30	4.625	4.500	4.500	4.125	4.125	4.000	3.875	
	5	Х		XX / 30	4.750	4.625	4.500	4.000	3.875	3.875	3.750	
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			XX / 30	3 000	2 625	2 625	2 375	2 375			

 b
 A
 XX / 30
 3.000
 2.025
 2.025
 2.375
 2.375

 ***Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30 minute presoak ? Yes, use 30 minute interval; No use 10 minute interval.

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

Hole No.	Drop during final period	Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch	Depth of Hole	I	
1	2.250 "	13.33	20	"	The information provided is the true and
2	2.250 "	13.33	20	"	correct results of tests conducted by
3	3.250 "	9.23	20	"	me, performed under my personal super-
4	3.875 "	7.74	20	"	vision, or confirmed in a manner approved
5	3.750 "	8.00	20	"	by the Department.
6	2.375 "	12.63	20	"	
TOTAL OF	MIN/IN.	64.27 =	10.71	Min	(S)
TOTAL NO	. OF HOLES	6		Inch	Sewage Enforcement Officer

	Application No <u>.</u> Site Location 6277 Frar						Munici	pality	Smithfield Township County				Monroe	
7	Site L	ocati	on			6277 Franklin	Hill Road		Subd'n Na	me	Lot#2 The	e Estate of N	lancy Micha	el Shukatis
	Jnsuita	ble		Mottling	Soil T	Type <u>Mardin Tax</u> Seeps or Ponded W Unstabilized Fill	Slope /ater □ Floodp	3-8% □ Bedrock	Limiting 2 Fracturer	Zone ıres	27"M □ Coarse	Ave. Perc. Fragments	Rate □ Perc	Rate
	SOIL	INS S DE	TRI		NS F		TION OF	THIS FOR	M ARE L	OCATED (ON THE R	EVERSE		
	Soil	ls Des	scrip	otion C	omple	ete by:		VW Cor	sultants Ll	LC / JAV		Date:	10/28/24	
	In	ches		Pit#	4			Descriptio	n of Horizo	on				
Αр	0	то_	8	."	10YF	R 3/4, Channery,	Silt Loam, N	loderate, Me	dium, Granu	ılar, Very Fria	able		_	
w1	8	то	18	"	10YF	R 4/4, Cobbly, Sil	t Loam, Mod	lerate, Mediu	m, Subangu	ılar Blocky, F	riable		_	
w2	18	то	27	."	10YF	R 4/4, Cobbly, Sil	t Loam, Mod	lerate, Mediu	m, Subangu	ılar Blocky, F	riable		_	
w3	27	то	38	"	10YF	R 4/4, Cobbly, Sil	t Loam, Wea	ak, Coarse, S	ubangular B	Blocky, Friabl	e			
-	TO "												_	
		- ··· _ 											Depth to Li	miting
-										20ne. 27	Inches			
-		то_											_	
	Weat Soil C	her C Condit	iond	litions : s: H201		Below 40 F Wet □ Dr	☐ 40 F or Ab y ☐ Froz Reading	en Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading
		Hole I	No.	Yes	No	Reading Interval	No. 1: Inches of drop	No. 2: Inches of drop	No. 3: Inches of drop	No. 4: Inches of drop	No. 5: Inches of drop	No. 6: Inches of drop	No. 7: Inches of drop	No. 8: Inches of drop
						10 / 30								
						10 / 30								
						10 / 30								
						10/30								
	10/30													
		***Wa	ater r	emaining	g in the	hole at the end of	the final 30 m	inute presoak	? Yes, use 3	0 minute interv	/al; No use 1	0 minute interva	al.	
	Hole N	Calc	ulat	ion of A Drop d final pe	Avera uring triod	ge Percolation l Perc. Rate Minutes/Ir	Rate: e as nch	Depth of Hole						
						"			"		The informat	ion provided is	the true and	
-											correct resul	ts of tests cond	ucted by	
-											me, performe	ed under my pe	rsonal super-	
-					_	"			"		by the Depar	tment.		
-					'	"			"					
	TOTA			N/IN. = ног ¤	20		.=		Min		(S)	Inforcement Of	ficer	
	1017		. 01	HOLD	_0				men		Sewage	Linorcement Of	licel	

Site Location Suitable Unsuitable	6277	Franklin Soil Typ	Hill Road (1)	09 Hidden V	alley Road)	Subd'n Na	me	Lot#1 The	Estate of N	lancy Michae	el Shukati
Unsuitable [Soil Typ	· · · _	Tox Slope 8.15% Limiting Zone 23"M Ave						Perc Rate	
Unsuitable [e Mardin Tax	Slope	8-15%	Limiting 2	Zone	23"M	Ave. Perc.	Rate	
INSTR	J Mottling	□ See	ps or Ponded W	ater	□ Bedrock	🗆 Fractu	ires	Coarse F	ragments	Perc.	Rate
INSTR	Slop	e 🗌 Uns	tabilized Fill	Floodp	lain 🗆 Otl	ner					
	UCTIO	NS FO	R COMPLE	TION OF	THIS FOR	M ARE LO	OCATED	ON THE R	EVERSE		
SOILS DESC	RIPTIO intion C	N: omplete	hv:		VW Cor	sultants I I	C / JAV		Date [.]	10/28/24	
Inches	Dit#	5				n of Horiz	on		Duto.	Additional	Dite
	"		A Crovelly Si	ilt Loom Mo	derete Medi		or Vory Ericl	blo			1.5
, 010 8	_	1018 3/	4, Glavelly, Si	iit Loam, Mo	derate, medi	um, Granula	ar, very Friar	bie		- FIL #0 32 IV	1
1 8 TO 17	_"	10YR 4/	4, Gravelly, Si	ilt Loam, Mo	derate, Medi	um, Subang	ular Blocky,	Friable		-	
2 <u>17</u> TO <u>23</u>	_"	10YR 4/	4, Cobbly, Silt	Loam, Mod	erate, Coars	e, Subangul	ar Blocky, F	riable		_	
3 <u>23</u> TO <u>33</u>											
		Commo	n distinct redo	x features							
T <u>33</u> 10 <u>40</u>		Depth to Limiting									
10	_									Zone:	Inches
то	"									20	moneo
	H20	⊥ Wet Left		Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading	Reading
Hole No	Yes	No	Reading Interval	Inches of drop	Inches	Inches	Inches	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches	Inches
			10 / 30			F			- · - · - F		
			10 / 30								
			10 / 30								
			10 / 30								
			10 / 30								
***\\//ater	remaining	n in the hol	10 / 30	he final 30 mi	nute presoak	7 Yes 1100 21) minute inter	val: No uso 10	minute interv	al	
Calcula	tion of A	Averane	Percolation F	Rate:	nato presodit	. 100, 000 0				<i>а</i> н.	
	Drop d	uring	Perc. Rate	e as	Depth						
Hole No.	inai pe	" "	winutes/in					The information	on provided is	the true and	
								correct results	s of tests cond	ucted by	
		"		•		"		me, performe	d under my pe	rsonal super-	
		"						vision, or con	firmed in a ma	nner approved	
		"						by the Depart	ment.		
	IN/IN			-		Min		(S)			
		"				"		vision, or con by the Depart	firmed in a ma ment.	nner approved	

	Application No.					Munici	pality	Smi	thfield Towr	nship	County	Monroe			
	Site L	ocation	6277	Franklin	Hill Road (1	09 Hidden \	/alley Road)	Subd'n Na	me	Lot#1 The	Estate of N	ancy Micha	el Shukatis		
1	Suitable			Soil Typ	De Mardin Tax	Slope	8-15%	Limiting	Zone	32"M	Ave. Perc.	Rate			
	Unsuital	ble 🗆	Mottling	g □ See	ps or Ponded W	/ater	Bedrock	□ Fractu	ires	Coarse I	ragments	Perc	. Rate		
			🗆 Slop	e 🗆 Uns	stabilized Fill	🗆 Flood	olain 🛛 Ot	her							
		INSTR	υςτιο	NS FO	R COMPLE	TION OF	THIS FOR	M ARE L	OCATED (ON THE R	EVERSE				
	SOILS	S DESCI	RIPTIO	N: omplete	by:			neultante I I			Date:	10/28/24			
	In	s Descri	Di+#	e c			Descriptio				Date.	10/20/24			
A m	0		"		(A. Channami				JII Jan Many Enia	- h -					
Ар	0	10 8	-	10YR 3/	4, Channery,	Silt Loam, N	/loderate, Me	dium, Granu	lar, very ⊢ria	able		-			
3w1	8	TO <u>18</u>	-	10YR 4	4, Cobbly, Sill	t Loam, Moo	derate, Mediu	m, Subangu	lar Blocky, F	riable		_			
3w2	18	то <u>з</u> 2	-"	10YR 4	/4, Cobbly, Silf	t Loam, We	ak, Coarse, S	Subangular B	llocky, Friabl	e		_			
3w3	3 32 TO 40 " 10YR 4/4, Cobbly, Silt Loam, Weak, Coarse, Subangular Blocky, Friable														
	TO "														
	TO"												Depth to Limiting		
	TO"												Zone:		
	то "												Inches		
	то"														
	Weath Soil C	ner Conc ondition	ditions : s:	☐ Belo □ Wet	w 40 F	□ 40 F or At y □ Froz	pove 🗆 Dr zen	y	□ Rain, Sle	et, Snow (last 24 hours)					
			H20 I	Leπ *		No. 1:	No. 2:	No. 3:	No. 4:	No. 5:	No. 6:	No. 7:	No. 8:		
		Hole No	Yes	No	Reading Interval	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop		
					10 / 30	or arop	0. 0.00	01 0100	or urop	or arop	or arop	or anop	or allop		
					10 / 30										
					10 / 30										
					10 / 30										
					10 / 30										
	10 / 30														
		***Water I	remaining	g in the ho	le at the end of	the final 30 m	ninute presoak	? Yes, use 3	0 minute interv	val; No use 10) minute interva	al.			
		Calculat	tion of A	Average	Percolation I	Rate:									
	Hole N	lo.	final pe	uring eriod	Perc. Rate Minutes/Ir	e as nch	Depth of Hole								
				"				"		The informati	on provided is	the true and			
		_		"				"		correct result	s of tests cond	ucted by			
								"		me, performe	d under my pe	rsonal super-			
				"				"		vision, or con	firmed in a ma	nner approved			
									1	by the Depar	ment.				
								"							
	τοτα		N/IN			_		" Min		(5)					
	ΤΟΤΑ ΤΟΤΑ		N/IN. F HOLF	"		=		" Min Inch		(S) Sewage F	nforcement Of	ficer			

7	Application No. Site Location <u>6277 Franklin Hill Roa</u> Suitable Soil Type <u>Mardir</u>						Munic 9 Hidden Slope	cipality Valley Road) 3-8%	County Estate of I Ave. Perc.	Monroe Nancy Michael Shukatis Rate 11.54				
	Unsuita	able		Mottling	g 🗆 Seeps	or Ponded Wa	ter	Bedrock	□ Fractures	Coarse F	ragments	Perc. Rate		
				🗆 Slop	oe 🔲 Unstal	pilized Fill	☐ Flood	lplain 🛛 Oth	er					
	SOIL	INS S DE	STR ESCI	UCTIC RIPTIC	ONS FOR (ON:	COMPLE	TION OF	THIS FOR	M ARE LOCATED	ON THE R	EVERSE			
	Soi	Date:	10/28/24											
	Ir	nche	S	Pit#	7			Descriptior	n of Horizon		Additional Pits			
Ар	0	то	8	"	10YR 3/4,	Channery, S	ilt Loam,	Moderate, Med	ium, Granular, Very Fi	riable		_Pit #8 37"M		
Bw1	8	то	16	"	10YR 4/4,	Gravelly, Sil	t Loam, M	loderate, Mediu	ım, Subangular Blocky	, Friable		_		
Bw2	16	то	25	"	10YR 4/4,	Cobbly, Silt	Loam, We	eak, Coarse, Su	ıbangular Blocky, Frial	ble		_		
2CB	25	то	40	"	10YR 4/4,	Extremely C	hannery,	Silt Loam, Stru	ctureless, Massive, Fri	able		_		
		то		"	Common d	distinct redox	teatures							
		то		"								Depth to Limiting Zone:		
		то		<u> </u>								Inches		

PERCOLATION TEST:

Perc	colation T	est Co	mple	ted by:		VW Co	Date:	11/8/24				
Weat Soil C	her Cond	litions : s:		Below 40 F Wet I Dr	☑ 40 F or Ab Ƴ ☐ Frozo	ove 🗹 Dr en	y	🗆 Rain, Slee	et, Snow (last)	24 hours)		
	Hole No.	H20 I * * * Yes	_eft * No	Reading Interval	Reading No. 1: Inches of drop	Reading No. 2: Inches of drop	Reading No. 3: Inches of drop	Reading No. 4: Inches of drop	Reading No. 5: Inches of drop	Reading No. 6: Inches of drop	Reading No. 7: Inches of drop	Reading No. 8: Inches of drop
	1	Х		XX / 30	5.125	4.625	4.500	4.375	4.375			
	2	Х		XX / 30	4.875	4.500	4.250	4.000	4.125	4.000		
	3	Х		XX / 30	1.625	1.500	1.375	1.250	1.250			
	4	Х		XX / 30	3.375	3.250	2.875	2.750	2.750	2.625		
	5	Х		XX / 30	4.250	3.750	3.625	3.500	3.500			

2.750 XX / 30 3.000 2.875 ***Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30 minute presoak ? Yes, use 30 minute interval; No use 10 minute interval.

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

Х

6

Hole No.	Drop during final period	Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch	Depth of Hole	Г	
1	4.375 "	6.86	20	"	The information provided is the true and
2	4.000 "	7.50	20	"	correct results of tests conducted by
3	1.250 "	24.00	20	"	me, performed under my personal super-
4	2.625 "	11.43	20	"	vision, or confirmed in a manner approved
5	3.500 "	8.57	20	"	by the Department.
6	2.750 "	10.91	20	"	
TOTAL OF N	MIN/IN.	69.27 =	11.54	Min	<u>(S)</u>
TOTAL No. (OF HOLES	6		Inch	Sewage Enforcement Officer

2.750

	Appl	ication N	lo <u>.</u>			Munici	pality	Smi	thfield Towr	nship	County	Мог	nroe		
1	Site Suitabl	Location le	627	7 Frankl	in Hill Road (1	109 Hidden Valley Road)_Subd'n Name				Lot#1 The Estate of Nancy Michael Shuka					
	Unsuit	Soil Type <u>Mardin</u> Insuitable				Slope ater □ Floodg	3-8% □ Bedrock	Limiting 2 Fractune	Zone Ires	37"M □ Coarse	Ave. Perc. I Fragments	Rate			
		INST	RUCTIO	ONS FC			THIS FOR	M ARE L	OCATED (EVERSE				
	SOIL	S DESC		DN: Complete	a hw			cultante I I			Data:	10/28/24			
	30 Ii	nchos	Dif#		- Dy		Descriptio		on			10/20/24			
n	0	TO 8	"	10YR	3/4 Channery	Silt Loam M	Inderate Me	dium Granu	ular Verv Fria	able					
φ.				1011(or, onannery,	Ont Loan, N			nar, very i ne			-			
w1	8	_TO_16		10YR -	4/4, Gravelly, S	ilt Loam, Mo	oderate, Medi	um, Subang	jular Blocky,	Friable		-			
w2	16	_TO_37	. "	10YR	4/4, Cobbly, Silt	Loam, Moc	lerate, Coars	e, Subangul	ar Blocky, Fr	iable		_			
w3	37	TO 44	"	10YR -	4/4, Cobbly, Silt	Loam, Wea	ak, Coarse, S	ubangular E	Blocky, Friable	е					
				Comm	ion distinct redo	x features						-			
		_10										Depth to Limiting			
		то										Zone:			
		то	"									51	Inches		
	Wea Soil (ther Cor Conditio	nditions ns:	: 🗆 Be	low 40 F et	☐ 40 F or Ab y ☐ Froz	oove Li Dr en	Peeding			. 24 nours)	Deeding	Deeding		
			H20	Leπ *		Reading No. 1:	No. 2:	Reading No. 3:	No. 4:	No. 5:	No. 6:	No. 7:	No. 8:		
		Hole No	. Yes	No	Reading Interval	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop		
					10 / 30										
					10 / 30										
					10 / 30										
					10 / 30										
					10/30										
		***Wate	r remainir	ng in the h	ole at the end of	the final 30 m	inute presoak	? Yes, use 3	0 minute interv	/al; No use 1	0 minute interva	al.			
		Calcul	ation of	Average	e Percolation I	Rate:									
	Hole	No.	Drop (final p	during eriod	Perc. Rate Minutes/Ir	e as ich	Depth of Hole								
				"						The informat	ion provided is t	the true and			
								"		me, performe	ed under mv per	icied by sonal super-			
												Supor			
			1	"						vision, or coi	nfirmed in a mar	nner approved			
				"						vision, or coi by the Depai	nfirmed in a mar tment.	nner approved			
	тот			"		_		" " Min		vision, or coi by the Depai	nfirmed in a mar tment.	nner approved			
	TOT, TOT,	AL OF N	1IN/IN. DF HOL	" " .ES		=		" " <u>Min</u> Inch		vision, or cor by the Depar (S) Sewage B	nfirmed in a mar tment. Enforcement Off	iner approved			

	Application	n No <u>.</u>				Munic	cipality	Smithfield	Township		County	M	onroe		
	Site Locati	on		6277	7 Franklin I	Hill Road		Subd'n Name	Lot#	#3 The	Estate of N	Nancy Mich	ael Shukatis		
7	Suitable			Soil Type	Comly Tax	Slope	3-8%	Limiting Zone	23	3"M	Ave. Perc.	Rate	11.49		
	Unsuitable	🗆 Mo	ottling	□ Seeps o	or Ponded Wa	ater	Bedrock	□ Fractures		Coarse Fr	agments	🗆 Pe	rc. Rate		
] Slope	은 🛛 Unstab	ilized Fill	□ Flood	lplain 🛛 Ot	her							
	INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED ON THE REVERSE														
	SOILS DESCRIPTION: Soils Description Complete by: VW Consultants LLC / JAV										Date:	10/28/24			
	Inches	; I	Pit#	9			Descriptio	n of Horizon				Additiona	al Pits		
Ар	<u>о</u> то_	10 "	_	10YR 3/4,	Channery, S	Silt Loam,	Moderate, Me	dium, Granular, Ve	ery Friable			Pit #10 2	7"M		
Bw1	<u>10</u> TO	23 "	_	10YR 4/4, '	Very Chann	ery, Silt Lo	oam, Weak, C	oarse, Subangular	Blocky, Fria	able		_			
Bw2	<u>23</u> TO	25 "	_	10YR 4/4, I	Extremely C	hannery,	Silt Loam, Stru	ictureless, Massive	e, Friable			_			
Cr	<u>25</u> TO	40 "	_	Common d Channers v	listinct redox with Voids	features						_			
	то	"													
	TO "										Depth to Zone:	Limiting			
	то											23	Inches		
			-									_			
	PERCOLA	TION	TES	Г:											

Percolation Test Completed by:						VW Co	nsultants Ll	Date:	11/8/24			
Weat Soil (her Cond Conditions	litions : s:		Below 40 F Wet	☑ 40 F or Ab Ƴ □ Froze	2 40 F or Above ☑ Dry □ Rain, Sleet, Snow (last □ Frozen						
	Hole No.	H20 L * * * Yes	_eft No	Reading Interval	Reading No. 1: Inches of drop	Reading No. 2: Inches of drop	Reading No. 3: Inches of drop	Reading No. 4: Inches of drop	Reading No. 5: Inches of drop	Reading No. 6: Inches of drop	Reading No. 7: Inches of drop	Reading No. 8: Inches of drop
	1	Х		XX / 30	3.375	2.625	2.875	2.750	2.625			
	2	Х		XX / 30	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000				
	3	Х		XX / 30	2.250	2.250	2.000	2.000				
	4	Х		XX / 30	3.875	4.000	3.750	3.750				
	5	Х		XX / 30	2.750	2.625	2.500	2.500				
	6	Х		XX / 30	2.250	2.000	2.125	2.000				
	***Water r	emaining	g in the	e hole at the end of	the final 30 mi	inute presoak	? Yes, use 30) minute interv	al; No use 10	minute interva	Ι.	

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

Hole No.	Drop during final period	Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch	Depth of Hole	Г	
1 2	<u>2.625</u> " 4.000 "	11.43	<u> </u>		The information provided is the true and correct results of tests conducted by
34	<u>2.000</u> " 3.750 "	<u>15.00</u> 8.00	<u>17</u> " 17"		me, performed under my personal super- vision, or confirmed in a manner approved
5	2.500 "	12.00	17 "		by the Department.
5 TOTAL OF TOTAL No	MIN/IN. 0. OF HOLES	<u>68.93</u> =	<u> </u>	Min Inch	(S) Sewage Enforcement Officer

	Application No.								Munici	pality	Smi	thfield Tow	County	Monroe				
	Site Location 6277 Franklin Suitable Soil Type Comly Tax						6277 F	ranklin	Hill Road	Hill Road Subd'n Name			Lot#3 The Estate of Nancy Michael Shuk					
4							Type <u>Co</u>	omly Tax	Slope	3-8%	Limiting	Zone	27"M	Ave. Perc.	Rate			
	Uns	suitab	le		Mottling	, □	Seeps or P	onded Wa	ater	□ Bedrock	🗆 Fractu	ures	□ Coarse	Fragments	Perc.	Rate		
					□ Slop	be □	Unstabilize	ed Fill	Floodp	lain 🗆 Otl	her							
	0		INS	TR		NS F	OR CO	MPLE	TION OF	THIS FOR	M ARE L	OCATED	ON THE R	EVERSE				
	50	Soils	s De	scri	ption C	omple	ete by:			VW Cor	nsultants Ll	LC / JAV		Date:	10/28/24			
		Inc	ches	6	Pit#	10				Descriptio	n of Horiz	on		-				
Ар		0	то_	8	"	10YF	R 3/4, Ch	annery, S	Silt Loam, M	loderate, Me	dium, Granu	ılar, Very Fria	able		_			
3w1		8	то_	18	"	10YF	R 4/4, Cha	annery, S	Silt Loam, M	loderate, Me	dium, Subar	ngular Blocky	/, Friable		_			
3w2		18 [.]	то_	27	"	10YF	R 4/4, Ver	ry Chann	nery, Silt Loa	am, Weak, C	oarse, Suba	ingular Block	y, Friable		_			
с		27 [.]	то	28	"	10YF	R 4/4. Ver	rv Chann	nerv. Silt Loa	am. Structure	less. Massiv	ve. Friable						
_		<u> </u>			-	Com	mon disti		-									
ĸ		8+	10		-	Mass	sive Shale	e Bedroc	Ж						Depth to Li	miting		
			то_		_										Zone:			
			то_		"											Inches		
	F	Perco /eath	olationer C	on T Cond	fest Co	mplet	ed by: <u> </u>	: [□ 40 F or Ab	ove 🗆 Dr	ý	🗌 Rain, Sle	et, Snow (last	Date: 24 hours)				
	So	oil C	ondi	tion	s:		Wet	🗆 Dry	y 🗌 Froz	en								
					H20	Left *			Reading No. 1:	Reading No. 2:	Reading No. 3:	Reading No. 4:	Reading No. 5:	Reading No. 6:	Reading No. 7:	Reading No. 8:		
			Hole	No.	Yes	No	Re Int	eading terval	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop	Inches of drop		
		ľ					1	0 / 30	·		•							
							1	0 / 30										
		_					1	0 / 30										
		_					1	0 / 30										
		_					1	0 / 30										
							1	0 / 30										
			***Wi	ater i	remaining	g in the	hole at th	e end of t	he final 30 m	inute presoak	? Yes, use 3	0 minute inter	val; No use 1) minute interva	al.			
			Cald	cula	tion of /	Avera	ge Perco	Diation F	Rate:	Donth								
	Но	ole N	о.		final pe	eriod	Pe M	inutes/In	ich	of Hole								
							"				"		The informat	on provided is	the true and			
						" correct results of tests						s of tests cond	ucted by					
							. —						me, performe	d under my pe	rsonal super-			
							. —						vision, or cor	firmed in a mai	nner approved			
							. —		•				by the Depar	tment.				
							_		-		Min							
			∟ Of IN∩		F HOLF	=s			-			4	(Sewage F	nforcement Of	icer			
	I U I AL NO. UF HULES										mon	Sewage Enforcement Officer						