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MR. PRIDE: Good evening. It is 6:05 PM. This is the regular business meeting of the Smithfield Township Board of Supervisors. Please join me in reciting the pledge of allegiance.
(Off stenographic record.)

MR. PRIDE: Thank you very much for joining us this evening. Members include myself, Chairman Jacob Pride, Vice Chair, Robert Lovenheim, Supervisor Brian Barrett via Zoom, Township Manager Julia Heilakka, our solicitor, Ron Karasek, and our engineer this evening, Amy Montgomery from LVL Engineers.

For announcements, later on this evening we will consider an additional item to the agenda pursuant to the Pennsylvania Sunshine Law.
(Off stenographic record.)
MR. PRIDE: Next, and $I$ believe the main event here, is the public hearing for Orchard BJK and the Scott petition to rezone select parcels from R-1 to ED. I believe many of you are here for that reason.

Before $I$ turn it over to our solicitor to
conduct the introductory portion of the hearing, I want to be clear that this evening's consideration is not for a warehouse, it is for their petition to consider rezoning the district. So, if the petition were to be approved, it would be required of them to file a separate land development plan, which is its own proceeding. So, there would be a second set of hearings. But, we do not yet know if that will be approved; that will be determined this evening. Without further adieux, I'll turn it over to our solicitor, Ron Karasek.

MR. KARASEK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good evening, everyone. This is a continued hearing from the hearing that was initially held on December 14, 2022. At that time the hearing for this matter was opened, the township introduced its exhibits, and then the applicant requested that the matter be rescheduled so that they, because there's more than one applicant, would have the opportunity to review recent review letters that had come in with respect to the project.

Now, understand that this is unlike a
hearing in which there is a conditional use application, which is decided by the supervisors, or a variance, which is decided by the Zoning Hearing Board
or matters such as those. This is a legislative hearing in which the individuals involved are requesting their -- basically, the board to make a change to the law. That happens every day. It's nothing that is improper and it's very routine, although you may not be in favor of the change that is being requested and that's certainly understood. Understand, that's what's being done.

This is asking that -- there are, I believe, five or six additional properties to be added to the Economic Development Zoning District and, in that regard, to follow up on the comments made by the Chair, if someone wants to put a warehouse in the Economic Development district they have to come before the board again and have a formal judicial hearing as a conditional use in order to be able to do that. It is not a permitted use. If you want to put in a mixed building incentivized option, those are permitted. A warehouse is not a permitted use in this district and there has to be a formal hearing held to determine whether or not that could go forward. So, in following up on those comments keep that in mind.

This is not a hearing to determine whether there should be a warehouse, a mixed use, hotel, motel, it's a hearing to determine whether or not five or six,
and the applicant will be clear on that, additional properties should be added to the Economic Development district.

Now, the way we will proceed is $I$ will have the applicant, through their attorney and their witnesses, present their case sort of in a quasijudicial fashion where we will have a witness called up to a witness chair, which we'll put here somewhere, and have questions asked of that person and then, of course, the supervisors would also ask questions.

In this particular matter, again, since it's legislative, it's not necessarily a case in which there are party individuals. So, the crowd may not necessarily ask questions, but you certainly can make comment as the meeting -- usually at the end of the meeting, but sometimes, depending upon what's being said, comment at a certain time makes more sense.

So, that being said, let's put a chair up here and the way we'll proceed is Attorney Ralph Matergia is here on behalf of the applicant, so he can then proceed to call his witnesses and make his presentation here.

Understand again, this is a public hearing, which is basically a public meeting, but it's meant to inform the public as to what a particular project is.

So, it's a public meeting, but it has that additional twist that is to inform the public as to what, in fact, is before the board for consideration.

So, that being said, Mr. Matergia, you're on.
MR. MATERGIA: Thank you. Members of the board and the public, as Mr. Karasek has mentioned, this is a legislative proceeding. We'll try to be succinct in our presentation.

Zoning matters, as you know, first day of Property Law, a long time ago when $I$ was in law school, when we got to the zoning chapter the professor says, "Zoning brings out the best and the worst in everyone," and there is some truth to that.

So, these type of matters never come without controversy and they never come in a manner where everybody is happy, but we will, during this presentation, certainly respect the opinions of those that are here to appear this evening. We ask the board simply keep an open mind as you seek to make judgment in your best discretion. We recognize that this is a matter of legislative prerogative, not necessarily a matter of right.

That being said, I want to simply open by introducing who $I$ represent. I represent Russell and Craig Scott. They are in the audience this evening,
both here. They are property owners and residents of your community.

I represent M. Manor Holdings, LP, which is a company that is a property owner and taxpayer in your community. Michael Byrne is a representative of $M$. Manor. Both the Scott property and the M. Manor property are subject to the zoning map amendment change request.

I represent Orchard BJK Company, LLC, the principal of which is Mr. John Herman. He is a real estate professional and a developer who is under contract to purchase both the Scott property and the M. Manor Holding property and is joining with them seeking to have the zoning ordinance amended so that Mr. Herman can then proceed to make application for a warehouse use on the property.

The petition itself proposes merely a change in the map and an extension of the zoning district. There are no other language changes, there are no definition changes being requested. If, in fact, the supervisors find the wisdom of extending this zone further back from where it now exists to encompass the properties that are being suggested to be rezoned, the applicant's idea - or, excuse me, Mr. Herman's idea of what he'd like to do with this property will be a
permitted use subject to land development. Zoning will not be involved, but land development will, and the details of a project will then be brought forward to the Board of Supervisors and to the members of the public to take issue with what is being proposed.

Now, the subject property includes -- in addition to the property owned by the Scotts and the property owned by M. Manor, it includes two other small parcels; one owned by Holly Scott and one owned by Michele Crompton. Holly Scott, although not necessary for a property owner whose property is sought to be rezoned to consent, she has, nevertheless, given her consent and that consent is in writing and I'll present that to the board.

Michele Crompton is here this evening and she's indicated to me that she is not opposed to the zoning amendment and, to that extent, we suggest that she consents as well.

So, again, we'll respect each other as we make this presentation. Not everybody is going to be happy with the decision that the supervisors will be asked to make, that's a given, but we'll, nevertheless, hope to keep an open mind regarding what we're about to present in the exercise of your sound judgment.

With that, we'd like to call Mr. John Cote of

Langan Engineering.

JOHN COTE, P.E., having been duly sworn according to law, testified as follows:

MR. COTE: My name is John Cote from Langan Engineering and Environmental Services. Last name is spelled C-o-t-e.

What I'll do is I'll put up a series of boards to orientate everybody for where we're talking about the properties in question. I realize the orientation -- if somebody can't see I'll try to adjust as we go along.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MATERGIA:
Q Mr. Cote, just briefly since -- those of us that work with you know who you are and what you do, but let the public have a little idea of your background and your involvement with property development in this community.

A Sure, absolutely. So, again, John Cote from Langan Engineering \& Environmental Services. I'm a site development engineer. I'm a site civil engineer licensed in the State of Pennsylvania. I've been before this board under various years. I'm the
engineer of record for the project down the road that's being built right now, Smithfield Gateway, the medical office building that's being constructed there, as well as what was formerly referred to as the Pocono Medical Center office center along 447 that's now the Lehigh Valley Health Network facility.

So, I've been in the community for about 28 years going back to the days of different properties throughout the stretch. I can mention names of prior developers, but the idea being I've been around this area, working in this area specifically on different tracks within the area on 447, 209, and Business 209.

And for purposes of -- in terms of what $I$ do, we do the site civil design, permitting, and then construction documents for projects moving forward into the market.

So, what $I$ 'm referring to here in terms of the property, this is the overall zoning map for the township. What we've done is we've overlaid on the township's map the properties that are commonly referred to as Mountain Manor. The actual area is the tract that is just to the north side of 209, Business 209, bounded on the west, southwest, by Craigs Meadow Road and on the north split by Marshalls Creek Road and the track, basically, is adjacent to the corridor on

209, but what you see on this map is a series of red parcels that are identified on Route 209, 447, and Business 209 corridors and those are the ED zones that exist there today.
(Off stenographic record.)
A Yeah, projecting my voice has always been the challenge.

So, the point $I$ 'm trying to point out here is that the corridor, the commercial corridors, your Route 209, Business 209, and 447, are predominantly where the $E D$ zone has been adopted throughout that stretch to encourage, I assume, economic development along those stretches.

This portion is last piece, this stretch here that's not connected to the ED zone along Route 209, Business 209.

So, with that mind and that orientation I'll refer now to the specific parcels.

So, in total, to Mr. Karasek's point earlier, there's a total of 10 parcels involved in the ED -transition to the ED zone. I'll start with everything that is west of Marshalls Creek Road and north of Business 209.

So, the series of the seven parcels that are identified on this plan are basically the predominant
southern end of the Mountain Manor tract. The parcels stretch from a connection on Craigs Meadow Road up along Marshalls Creek Road and then connect down to Route -- Business 209.

In that parcel is Michele's Crompton's tract, which is a small parcel adjacent to two other parcels that are in the $E D$ zone and adjacent to Business 209.

This area here constitutes -- the predominant portion of the tract is overall 144 acres worth of property. 120 is the tract that was referred to earlier as the Scott property and the M. Manor property portion just north of that is 24 acres that basically encompass everything you see here hatched in red.

So, this represents, more or less, everything to the west of Marshalls Creek Road.

MR. LOVENHEIM: John, what's the total acreage?

A So, 144 west of the Marshalls Creek Road and I'm going to talk about the east side being another 19 acres on top of that.

So, keeping in mind that's the left half of the, you know, west side of Marshalls Creek Road and what's on the drawing now, this next exhibit, Exhibit B3 - $\quad$ the prior exhibit was B2 -- is a hatched area showing just parallel and running along Marshalls Creek

Road on the east side of Marshalls Creek Road and represents really in the big picture this is a sliver of property that actually is the - combined with the tract across the way as one property 'cause it happens to have Marshalls Creek Road cut through it. So, they're not actually two parcels, in the tax maps it's one, so what we did is we just showed it separately because Marshalls Creek Road bisects it.

So, this is a total of $19-\mathrm{pl} u \mathrm{~s}$ acres on this portion of the site and then adjacent to that there's an unhatched area here that's also part of the scotts overall property that we'll refer to. It's basically another 90 acres that is not hatched, not intended to be put into the ED zone, and Mr. Scott will refer to that in his discussion with the board for the intent of that particular portion of the property.

Within this side the parcels that are also here, there's the Holly Scott parcels that were referred to, Mr. Matergia, regarding her components. There's two parcels there that are within this hatched area.

So, these three parcels, the parcels on the other side of the road are the overall parcels being requested to be put into that ED zone.

And, again, basically looking at this piece
here as being a part of, really, what was the other tract, the tract on the west side of Marshalls Creek Road under one parcel.

What $I$ can do now is $I$ can refer to, if you'd like, a little bit more -- I can go into the intent?

Q Let's just make clear for the benefit of the record and the public how the property is currently zoned.

A The property right now is currently zoned R-1 and the property has been before this board under various design scenarios. You may be familiar with what was referred to previously as a planned residential development that was proposed by a prior developer that got conditional use approval for the property. That tract was around -- just under a thousand residential units, about 65,000 square feet of retail, and then office and other components that were part of that mixed use development and that's what basically encompassed the majority of the property beyond even what we're asking to be rezoned here and is, basically, the entire $400-\mathrm{pl} u \mathrm{~s}$ acres of the overall property that the Scotts had represented that time for that application.

Q And, Mr. Cote, you had experience with that prior project, did you not?

A Right. We were the traffic engineers and part design engineers for the project. We did, actually, the traffic for this particular corridor as well as Route 209 and 447 under that engagement.

Q And that project, fair to say, was preliminarily plan approved?

A Yes.
Q And currently has the approvals.
A For historical context, yes.
Q So --
A I can refer to that a little bit further as we go along just for comparative sake.

Q Yes, please, and take us now to what's proposed.

A So, keep in mind this is a concept for the purposes of what the property could yield relative to its overall size and the ability to look at how it would interact with the adjacent roads and highway and this is a warehouse, distribution center type warehouse shown basically more or less orientated east-west.

It has parking fields provided at both ends, an access point out to Route 209. This access point would be subject to DOT, so right now we're showing it on our property, but the likelihood is that access will move somewhere along Route 209.

But, the idea behind this plan was basically to focus the development back behind 209. You know, the parcel is separated from 209 by other tracts.

The overall parcel itself encompasses, basically, 120 acres worth of land here. There'd be elements of stormwater management and other components of the infrastructure associated with it.

The idea here would be that all the truck traffic associated with it and the employee traffic would focus out to the 209 intersection, be a signalized intersection subject to DOT's approval and their standards in terms of what would need to be required.

The site itself for this particular case, this is a million square foot warehouse. It's showing about 1144 parking spaces and the idea behind it, again, this is basically the layout that connects this to 209 and allows the site -- this particular development to be set back from 209 based on where we're at.

So, this is just, again, a concept, but the idea is a test fit for Mr. Herman's looking at what the possibility is with the tract and it'll be subject to all the different approvals that were just discussed earlier in addition to the county's approval, the conservation district's approval, DEP approval. So,
what you see here, again, is just conceptual to get an order of magnitude of what could be done with the property. So, representing that.

So, to the discussion a moment ago, I want to flip back to basically what was previously proposed on the site. In the past the development that was looked at was a mixed use center, so $I$ brought up, basically, a prior concept plan, basically a rendering of the old plan, and this basically shows the layout of what was previously proposed on the overall tract including not only what we're talking about along the portion -- the 120 acres or 124 total acres we were talking about before, but the entire 400 acres and the east side of Marshalls Creek Road being developed as well as the north end of the property.

So, this site was a site with about -- again, I think it was like 991 residential units and, again, back to the numbers of the retail, office, 65,000 square feet of retail, about $100-p l u s$ thousand square foot of office, and then other uses throughout the site.

So, one of the things $I$ think a lot of people come here, $I$ gather, from a concern point of view is what's the nature of the traffic associated with a project like this. You have a warehouse versus what
you see as a residential type development.
So, to kind of give you just a quick order of magnitude, the daily trips of a site like this warehouse would be in the range of around 1700 cars a day on a daily count. The daily traffic associated with this would be $14,000-\mathrm{pl} u \mathrm{~s}$ cars a day to the area. So, you're looking at different orders of magnitude between residential and multi-use, multi-use residential and commercial, versus the warehouse.

So, there's a lot of different things that people want to understand about traffic and I'll just do a little bit just to kind of give the order of magnitude of what people are looking at as far as issues.

The primary issue when you look at the peak times, you have peak $A M$ and peak $P M$ times that people are concerned about. "What happens during that time in the morning when $I$ 'm trying to get through, that time of the evening when $I$ 'm trying to come back?" depending on what your commute is and where you're trying to traverse.

The difference of a warehouse in terms of its actual peak $A M$ and $P M$ type traffic compared to a mixed use development like this is -- order of magnitude is roughly a about a quarter of what you would get volume
wise on the road looking at a warehouse versus this type of mixed use.

So, I realize that most people don't recognize that because what a lot of people don't think about is a warehouse is over the course of a day. It's not over these two peak -- their peak times are not your peak times when you're trying to traverse the road and go on with your commute. So, the idea behind it -- I don't know how questions will be answered, so I apologize. I'll let Mr. Karasek --

VOICE: You're not mentioning tractor trailers.

MR. MATERGIA: Ma'am, you'll have an opportunity to speak and question.

VOICE: But he's telling how many cars. I would like to know --

MR. MATERGIA: Ladies and Gentlemen --
VOICE: Excuse me. We can't see the presentation.

MR. MATERGIA: -- you'll have an opportunity --

VOICE: Excuse me. Can you hear me on Zoom? Because we cannot see the presentation. It's absolutely unintelligible to us.

A So, orientation wise the only way $I$ can do it
is to put it in front of the Owl at some point to find a place where possibly you can see it on the board, but, again, looking at the size of this image here $I^{\prime} m$ not sure what --

MR. PRIDE: Documents are made available on the website.

MR. KARASEK: Can we put it back behind you?
A I realize the question was not meant to be asked during this session, but I'll clarify. I am talking about total vehicle trips which includes both trucks and cars when $I$ mentioned the numbers.

MR. KARASEK: And just so the audience is aware, the stenographer can't take down two people talking and not knowing who they are, et cetera. So, that's why we ask that everyone wait until their turn and then state their name. And they're welcome to speak.

> So, let's see if that's any better.

A So, what I'll do for the audience that's on the Zoom call, $I$ 'm going to really quickly recap what $I$ said a moment ago relative to the two portions of the property.

So, just quickly again, and $I$ don't know orientation wise whether people in the back will be able to see this better, but this first board, Exhibit

B2, represents the bulk of the property that's involved north of Business 209, west of Marshalls Creek Road, east of Craigs Meadow Road showing the areas that are being requested to be rezoned to the ED zone. And that's roughly about 144 acres. If you're looking at the map, it's basically -- the exhibits that have been provided to the township have the area hatched in red.

VOICE: What do you mean by tract?
A The overall tract is a combination of 10 parcels. There are tracts that are -- a tract meaning parcels that are combined together for this exercise, but they are individual parcels owned by the owners that are represented here tonight.

So, portions of land east of Marshalls Creek Road are basically -- I'll call it a sliver for the discussion. It's around 19 acres on the east side of Marshalls Creek Road that runs parallel to the road and then there's a portion that's unhatched here that's represented by the same ownership and that portion will be discussed a little bit further in the hearing.

That 19 acres on this side, there's no proposed development from our side of it in terms of the intent. There's other opportunities as to what could be done with that, but the prominent conversation tonight is about the main tract and in the main tract
what $I$ was putting up on the screen before, on the board, was a concept plan that represents a warehouse showing its development along the east-west access of the overall property. There's areas designated northwest, southeast, and along a portion of it in the south that are for stormwater management.

The idea would be that this site would be supported by a primary access connection point to Route 209, Business 209, and, ultimately, this is the -- kind of a test fit for the property with the idea the ED zone being the adopted ordinance under that scenario.

For comparison purposes, because $I$ was asked to look at -- people will question a warehouse, traffic associated with the warehouse. This plan that has approval on the property is a plan that was previously, again, proposed by a prior developer. That property, mixed use, in the order and magnitude of 991 units of residential, 65,000 square foot of retail, about $100,000-p l u s$ of office, and various other elements within it in terms of the total mixed use community, planned residential development.

What $I$ was trying to illustrate was that when people talk about total vehicles on the road this has a generation -- and when I talk about generation I'm
talking about the Institute of Traffic Engineering's standards for how do you determine traffic generated by a use if you look at the different uses, whether it's retail, office, warehouse, whatever it might be, and then you generate numbers based on the square footages of the number of units.

So, the numbers $I$ was referring to earlier in terms of -- to clarify, the total vehicle trips for a development like this is over 14,000 vehicles a day traversing the network of roadway around the site.

When you look at a warehouse, and, again, understanding the same idea that within a given day's time frame this would be 1700 or so, plus or minus, trips a day relative to this size warehouse.

The idea behind that is to understand where are those trips occurring, when, time wise. So, this design would only permit trucks to come out to Route Business 209. The only purpose of connections for the Craigs Meadow Road and Marshalls Creek Road are for either passenger vehicles or for emergency vehicles. Emergency ambulances, fire could also use that route. And the idea behind this is that this generation of traffic occurs over the course of an entire day. It's not the $A M$ peak and the $P M$ peak that you see for your purposes of rush hour in the morning and rush hour at
night. They're a quarter of the volume of traffic compared to the residential mixed use development $I$ was referring to earlier.

Now, I know there's a lot of questions about that, but the purpose behind that is just to give you some order of magnitude. People think we're asking to generate volumes of traffic well beyond anything you can think of, other uses, and that's not the case. It's the opposite in this particular case.

So, when you look at it from a comparison point of view, the idea behind it would be this use based on its connection, trucks coming out Business 209, passenger vehicles also using Business 209 with options to use Craigs Meadow Road and Marshalls Creek Road, no trucks on those two roads, and from a development point of view it's got to be approved through all the different layers that were discussed earlier; not only local, but county, state, and the state levels, multiple levels in terms of DEP as well as PennDOT as well.

MR. LOVENHEIM: John, you're an engineer. You're usually very precise. You keep using the word warehouse. What do you define as a warehouse?

A If you want to use the term warehouse as a distribution center --

MR. LOVENHEIM: That's a different thing, isn't it?

A No, it's actually a subset of warehouse. So, the range of different uses --

MR. LOVENHEIM: I'm just asking, what is your --

A I'm giving you --
MR. LOVENHEIM: As an engineer what's your definition?

A Yeah. So, a distribution warehouse is a facility that has a combination of automated and laborbased operations within it to manage materials that come into the building and then go back out.

Think of your common, everyday practice when you're ordering something on line. You click for a particular object, you're asking for something, you're purchasing something that typically is going through being processed by a distribution center.

MR. LOVENHEIM: Thank you. That's good. I'm glad you clarified that.

A So, presentation wise, I think that's the heart of it.

Q Mr. Cote, we know that there are residents who live near or access Craigs Meadow Road and perhaps even Marshalls Creek Road as well that will have
concerns about everyday traffic and, so, although we're not in a planning stage with a project in land development how can those concerns be handled if and when you get to that point in terms of directing traffic?

A Sure. So, the primary function will be the DOT process in terms of how we distribute traffic. The idea behind the layout is to support traffic going to Business 209 as the primary feed. So, that's one element that you'll be looking for in a land development process and the access component with DOT to confirm that that's what's happening.

Looking at other components of emergency access off of the side roads is a safety-related issue that $I$ think is a primary need, but the primary goal of the traffic flow from here is to use Business 209.

Now, I can go back a little bit in history if people would like about -- so, when the original development that was proposed here back in the day was up and running, was considering to be built and being approved by the board, the DOT had an issue with the bypass. The bypass -- ran out of money to be able to complete the bypass.

So, one of the things that DOT reached out to was the business community to find out what's going on
in the area. There was a recognition that the DOT's project had inflated the background traffic. They kept every year showing increases in traffic, but development never occurred in the region to justify that particular increase, and they basically were building a roadway that was much bigger than the actual traffic they thought was being generated over the years and making it happen.

So, the DOT actually took traffic studies that we had prepared for the region. We did a Business 209, 447, and Route 209 overall traffic study that actually when they re-did the bypass they utilized those numbers and put this project in its built condition in the background traffic of that bypass. So, as it's built today it actually has the background numbers from the original proposal, project, that was on the books at the time.

So, that's just a piece of, I'll say, history there relative to how when the bypass had to become -be put to a project that could be built based on the money available they based it on that background data.

Q Address, if you would, the extent to which development of this nature will be visible to the residents and passer-bys?

A So, there's two components of the visibility.

If you were driving through here there's actually a grade change that makes it way through the site. There's a physical --

Q For the record, driving from where?
A Driving on Business 209, heading what would be considered south on Business 209.

This site -- this is set back from 209. I can measure here, but off the top of my head -- I don't know if it's -- you know, roughly about 800,900 feet back from Business 209.

The idea behind this would be that you'd have not only the physical ground itself as a barrier from visualizing or seeing the warehouse, but, also, you -and $I$ would expect the board here to also ask for buffering and plantings to also assist in that particular layout.

Q And what's the height of a warehouse building that's permitted in the ED zone?

A The height required would be around 40 feet, permitted, and then the market's anywhere between 40 to 45 feet in its general size.

Q How about visibility from the two roads, Craigs Meadow and Marshalls Creek?

A Yeah. They would be set back from the two roads approximately 4 to 500 feet based on the layouts
that are here and then at the same time, again, these areas here would be used for screening that particular area, the areas adjacent to Craigs Meadow Road and the areas adjacent to Marshalls Creek Road. The gap in between there would be used for screening.

Q Fair to say that it's subject to buffering, but that development in that area -- in terms of the topography, buildings will be visible regardless of what's built there.

A Yes.
Q In terms of your experience with the traffic planning that went into the prior project and your ability to project the traffic planning for a proposed project were this zoning amendment to be adopted, can you tell us whether the artery roads, in fact, can handle the traffic that this project would -- as proposed would generate?

A So, the primary access to -- that ultimately would end up on Route 209 again carries that background traffic. The area for Business 209 would take -- would require off-site improvements, lane widening, accel-decel lanes onto the roadway, to permit this connection of what would basically be a fully signalized intersection.

Q Can the round-about handle the traffic that
would be generated from a project like this?
A Yeah. The round-about was actually designed with the higher level of traffic that was previously proposed with the prior development, so this would be less traffic going into that round-about. Relative to the DOT's project.

MR. KARASEK: May I interrupt for one moment? I don't mean to tell you how to present your case, Mr. Matergia, by any means, but this is almost like a conditional use hearing on the warehouse. That's not what we're here for. We're here simply to determine whether or not the board wants to add these parcels to the Economic Development district. We can be here with 50 concept plans; hotels, motels, warehouses, mixed use, incentivized options.

That's not what we're here to do. We're simply here to advise the board and the public as to what you are requesting be done in this matter. And I understand that this is a concept plan, it's not necessarily what you are going to do, but we may be starting to get a bit far beyond what tonight's hearing is as opposed to a conditional use hearing later on a warehouse or distribution center that may be proposed because. We don't even know if that's proposed yet.

MR. MATERGIA: Point taken, Mr. Karasek,
but, by the same token, we'd like some transparency. We recognize that this application, sought to increase the extent of the $E D$ zone, will invite development. That's the purpose. We're not trying to, you know -we should be completely transparent about that.

I would open Mr. Cote up to questions if the board has questions.

MR. PRIDE: Robert, do you have any
questions?
MR. LOVENHEIM: I have no questions.
MR. KARASEK: See if Brian can hear and see if --

MR. PRIDE: Brian, do you have any questions for Mr. Cote?

BY MR. BARRETT:
Q Only that we mentioned the round-about and then traffic would -- their traffic would be routed towards Route 80. Is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Do you know that there's some restrictions on some of the bridges on that road?

A Yeah, any type of truck route would be subject to PennDOT's regulations in terms of the route that they take. So, from a truck route point of view
they have to honor any of the State's or, for that matter, locate or county restrictions on their routes.

Q I understand that, but if they cannot do that, comply with those restrictions, do you have an alternate route or do they have an alternate route in mind?

A Again, the route would have to be based on only where it's allowed to go. So, from a layout -from a route point of view they would have to pick the route that's permittable. Otherwise, they wouldn't be allowed to do it, period.

So, from that point of view if you're looking at -- I can't tell you what specific element you're referring to in terms of the restriction -- I apologize. I feel like I'm talking to the ceiling here.

Q Several of the bridges on 209 have restrictions, weight restrictions on them.

A Sure.
Q And we've run into it before and you may have -- I would look into that before I go too much further.

A Yeah.
MR. LOVENHEIM: Just as a point of information, and what Brian is talking about, is there
is, was, will be a plan to replace a small bridge on Hollow Road and the school buses that use Hollow Road have to make a detour onto Milford Road going down to the Weis Market, making the turn, then coming back on Independence Road because the bridges on 209 are not, you know, re-enforced enough for the weight of a school bus.

MR. PRIDE: They're not rated for that.
Correct.
MR. LOVENHEIM: So, that may be what Brian is talking about, but it's a real concern.

MR. PRIDE: Okay.
MR. MATERGIA: If there are no other questions of Mr. Cote, we'd offer our next witness.

MR. KARASEK: Okay. We'll save the comments for the end so that everyone can hear everything and then make comment.

MR. PRIDE: Correct.
VOICE: I have a question. Is now the time for it?

MR. PRIDE: We'll have public comment after the presentation has been made, but we'll certainly make sure you're heard. Thank you.

MR. COTE: I'll leave the board up for east of Marshalls Creek.

MR. MATERGIA: Mr. Albert Murray, Jr. is
assisting me. He is counsel to Russell Scott and his brother, Craig Scott, and he wants to present Mr. Scott as a witness.

MR. MURRAY: Blessings to everyone. My name
is Albert Murray. Yes, $I$ am, unfortunately, an attorney. Just to say this, $I$ 'm kind of assisting Ralph. Ralph is on his own. He represents the potential buyer. I represent Russ and Craig in the event that the supervisors expand the ED zone and do all of that and, then, what $I$ will do when Russ and Craig then decide to do a deal, a formal deal with Mr. Herman, $I$ will help them do that. So, basically, I'm here just in case. If it's denied, then I'm out; if it's granted then I'm going to help Russ and Craig.

I might just mention, too, that $I$ 've been in
the Poconos since $I$ was five years old from the standpoint that my family used to own the Hillside Inn, which is located in East Stroudsburg, and $I$ was a lawyer -- I'm still one -- lawyer here. I was a public defender here, $I$ was an assistant district attorney here, and then in 1979 I became a United States attorney for the Department of Justice and $I$ worked out of Harrisburg, Scranton, and all over and I left in 1988.

I'm going to get to the point, though. I left in 1988 and because we built a new Hillside Inn, which, ultimately, $I$ shut down in 2009, but $I$ sold it to Pyramid Health Care, if you know where that is in East Stroudsburg, and now they own it and my mother -my mother and father, basically, owned it. My father was a judge in criminal court.

But, what's more important, I remember when 209 was Ho Chi Minh Trail, if y'all remember that. It was like "What?" It was so many cars and trucks up and down and, fortunately, that all got changed and I, personally -- and, please, nobody go to my house and beat me up and hurt me. I live on Marshalls Creek Road thanks to -- where's the guy -- where is Pat Forney? He's in here somewhere. He sold me my cabin. I live in a log cabin down Marshalls Creek Road and I've been there for 44 years and $I$ go right past Mountain Manor. And I met Craig and Russ because Mountain Manor -- I'm getting a little emotional here. Their family were the only ones that treated us with respect back in the day when we had Hillside Inn. They helped us and they helped my family and I love them and that's why.

But, you know what I did? When $I$ found out that they were selling it or something to somebody that
might put a distribution center or even a big thing $I$ said "What? I go up and down that road. I've been going up and down for 44 years." And trucks -"I don't want to have trucks around. I don't want to have all this around. What is all this about? Come on. Try something else. Go see somebody else," and then when $I$ heard about 850 units and all that $I$ was like "Huh? What?"

And, then, finally $I$ sat down and said, "Well, you need to tell me about who's the person that wants to try to develop this property and who you're selling it to," and when they did that and they told me about Mr. Herman and his organization and who he was and then $I$ wanted to know all about that $I$ then said to myself -- I did the research and said, "Well, okay, I'm cool with that. I'm cool with that, but $I$ want to make sure that what you do, if it's approved," which I hope it is, "that it be done correctly so that me, as a property owner and a person who's up and down that road all the time, it's not going to be anything of inconvenience." And now there's Bulldog and all kinds of other developments. And they said that they would, they'd make sure.

So, I said, "Well, how can you do that?" I said, you know, "How can you make sure? Well, I want
to see and go over your engineering, $I$ want to go over everything you're doing, and $I$ want to -- Mr. Cote, everybody," and $I$ have and I've read all the stuff, I've looked at it, and talked to Mr. Matergia, who I've known since 1974 when $I$ became an attorney with Ralph years ago, that $I$ think it's something that can be done and that in the future, depending on what happens here tonight or whatever, will depend on whether or not $I$ continue to represent Craig and Russ.

And with that, what $I$ would like to do is introduce Russ Scott and he has a little handout for everybody here to explain why he's selling this property. Okay?

RUSSELL D. SCOTT, III, having been duly sworn according to law, testified as follows:

MR. R. SCOTT: Brian, I'll say hello to you before $I$ get started. I hope you're doing well and I'm glad you joined us.

MR. BARRETT: Thank you.
MR. R. SCOTT: Gentlemen, thanks for your time and $I$ see congratulations are in order for our chairman here tonight. He has now taken on a new position where he is now the Chief of Staff for

Representative Maureen Madden. Congratulations and you're going to get busy, I'm sure.

MR. PRIDE: Absolutely.
MR. R. SCOTT: All set, Ralph?

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MATERGIA:
Q Please.
A What this handout is about is explaining exactly what our arrangement with Mr. Herman is. It's something you haven't heard yet tonight. It relates to the map that's up there right now and we'll answer any questions as we go forward.

Tonight, gentlemen, you're in charge of Smithfield's future. I am here to present the future of Smithfield Township and the possible affects on its residents.

Two largest land events will be discussed tonight. How this came about was Mr. Herman wanted to purchase land between Marshalls Creek Road and Craigs Meadows Road. We refused indicating we would sell all the land between Route 402 and Craigs Meadows Road. Mr. Herman had no need for all that land, but agreed to purchase it and he said he would gift the land to the township and the beauty of all this is that there's no tax impact on the East Stroudsburg School District.

There are no children.
First, the largest economic project in the history of Smithfield Township, the project would sit on 147 acres. You're hearing some other numbers, but, ballpark, that's based on my projections. Once -which is about 75 percent of impact. The project will produce 3 million dollars of tax revenue yearly. To match the 3 million dollar annual income, 800 homes will need to be built. This event will produce 2.5 children at $\$ 16,000.00$ a child and this totals out to a negative 32 million dollars a year to the East Stroudsburg taxpayer to educate that population.

Also, East Stroudsburg School District has a ratio of 14 to 1 , students to teachers. To maintain that ratio, an additional 70 teachers would need to be hired at 4.6 million dollars per year. I estimate that our millage, tax millage, today is 187 mills would probably have to go to 197 mills in a 10 year period. Can you afford to stay in your home at that rate?

The second phase of this project is 100 acres of property, which is owned by -- which at one time was owned by Mountain Lake House starting in 1902. They built eight log cabins in the $1930 s$ which became seasonal rentals. The owner of Mountain Lake House at the time in the '50s and '60s was an outdoorsman.

Mr. Elwood Huffman. He was also the head of the Pennsylvania Game Commission and that hundred acres was his private hunting preserve stocked with quail, pheasant, turkey, and ducks.

In 1981 Mountain Manor Resort acquired this property and continued to rent the log cabins.

In 1983 construction was started to expand Mountain Manor's golf course to 54 golf holes. The environmental impact for that construction stands today. The land was cleared, filled, graded, drained, topsoil hauled in, seeded and mowed to a golf quality turf.

When we opened the golf holes, 6000 feet of paved cart paths were installed and still the next day two steel bridges and concrete bridges over the Marshalls Creek meet the hundred year flood requirements near the parking lot at which I discussed in the paper $I$ 've handed out to you is grandfathered and an HOP is a Highway Occupancy Permit -- you'll see that definition -- and this is grandfathered in and allows the township, should they own that, to immediately have access to that parking lot, this public access to the Marshalls Falls plus an additional access off of Route 402.

To suggest this property cannot be built on

I would defer to the township engineer and as the land has already been environmentally impacted the housing subdivision would only need to manage the impervious stormwater impact. Also now known as the land has been timbered twice in my lifetime and, the point is, total earth disturbance has happened. The federal term for this is made over.

As the Marshalls Creek flows from Marshalls Falls Park to the bridge on Route 402 on the western boundary of this hundred acres, a rare high quality cold water fishery considered in the 1920 s to be one of the top trout streams in the entire State of Pennsylvania. The beauty of this trout stream is that it's mowed right up to the edge of the stream allowing young people to have easy access to the water to fish. Plus, there is no danger or impact from the warehouse project.

To conclude, Smithfield Township is part of a case study called Municipal Action to Protect and Improve Water Quality in the Delaware River Watershed. This happened when Brian Barrett was chairman and the study -- in the study the township agrees to protect water quality and this is a chance for them to do that.

Also in the case study in 2016 the voter approved 2 million dollars referendum to buy open
space. Mr. Chairman, this 2 million dollars referendum can purchase property only if it appraises for the value of the 2 million or less. In other words, appraisal won't let you buy it beyond that point.

The benefit of the completed project and the 2 million dollar gift is a huge advantage to Smithfield Township and the taxpayer as the township can continue to mow, build athletic fields, add restrooms and pavilions.

All the utilities are on the property; electric, phone, Blue Ridge cable, and a modern drilled well.

This land would be the largest addition to Smithfield's parks in the future and would extend their park system into the next hundred years.

Finally, like you, my brother and I are here for the future. We hope you consider all the options. Thank you.

Q Mr. Scott, who is Holly Scott?
A That's my oldest daughter.
Q And did you speak to her about your interest in rezoning her property?

A Yes.
Q And did she consent to that?
A She did.
(AGREEMENT marked for identification as
Petitioner Exhibit No. 1.)
Q And would you have identify what we've marked as Petition 1 ?

A Yes. This is a written agreement that she has signed indicating that she would allow her property to be part of it.

Q Thank you. So, in summary, in addition to benefiting, of course, the sale of your property as proposed for commercial development should the zoning amendment be adopted, there is a component in this deal with Mr. Herman to donate the land that you refer to to the township.

A That's what the deal is, yes.
MR. MATERGIA: Questions from the board?
MR. PRIDE: Robert, any questions? Brian?
MR. BARRETT: Yes.
MR. PRIDE: Do you have any questions?
MR. BARRETT: Hello?
MR. PRIDE: Can you hear us, Brian?
MS. HEILAKKA: Is someone else on Zoom?
Are you still able to hear us in the meeting room?
VOICE: Yes, we can hear you.
MS. HEILAKKA: Thank you.
MR. PRIDE: Brian, can you hear us?

MS. HEILAKKA: Brian, are you there? Can you
hear us?

MR. BARRETT: Now $I$ can, yeah. There was a total blank.

MS. HEILAKKA: Got it. Okay. Jacob is wondering if you have any questions for Mr. Scott.

MR. BARRETT: And you're going away again.
VOICE: That was a little faint from the room.

MS. HEILAKKA: My apologies. Brian, do you have any questions for Mr. Scott?

MR. BARRETT: Questions of Russell?
MR. PRIDE: Correct.

BY MR. BARRETT:
Q One question that $I$ guess I'm concerned about is will this be the only structure, business, built on this parcel or would there be opportunity for others?

A To my knowledge, Brian, it's the only structure. Mr. Herman can answer that better.

Q Okay. Mr. Herman?
A Well, he'll testify in a minute, Brian. MR. PRIDE: I don't have any further questions.

MR. MATERGIA: Then we're prepared to offer
the next witness.
MR. PRIDE: Please proceed.
MR. MATERGIA: I call Mr. John Herman.

JOHN HERMAN, having been duly sworn according to law, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MATERGIA:
Q Mr. Herman, for the record and the benefit of the public, would you tell us who you are here and what you do?

A Okay. I am John Herman. I'm a lawyer by training. Haven't practiced in, like, 35 years. That's why I need people like Ralph.

I own several real estate companies. I own the largest Century 21 office in the northeast and a commercial brokerage company and we also have a management company. We manage maybe 5 million square feet of office and warehouse space and my primary business is real estate development.

Q And what is your interest in the Scott and M. Manor Holding properties?

A My interest in their property is to develop it for a warehouse distribution facility.

Q And in concept is that in keeping with the concept that Mr. Cote presented?

A That is correct.
Q And you've worked with Mr. Cote to develop that concept.

A Yes, we worked together in concert to develop that layout.

Q And what is your experience with large scale warehouse development?

A I've developed several million square foot warehouses in the past let alone of smaller sizes. I just did a 400,000 square footer in Coolbaugh Township and have a 300 that is on the way and I've developed from Hagerstown, Maryland up through across 78 in Pennsylvania and up here.

Q And in so doing are you familiar with the fiscal as well as the economic benefits of those types of projects?

A I am.
Q Did you prepare a schedule for us for the board this evening?

A I did.
(COMPARATIVE SUMMARY marked for
identification as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2.)
Q And, if you would, please, I'm handing you what is Petitioner's Exhibit 2 and ask you if you would simply identify what that is.

A That is a -- basically an outline of some of the economic impact of both the alternative use here, which would be 800 homes, versus the impact of a warehouse.

Q Would you hand these to Mr. Karasek? He can pass those down.

A I have some more if you need them.
MR. BARRETT: Hello?
MS. HEILAKKA: Brian, can you still hear us?
A Here's a couple more.
Q So, Mr. Herman, having this experience, have you studied specifically with respect to this property and your concept for this property what the fiscal impacts would be to the community?

A Yes. Surely, I'm not an economist, but a warehouse project like this would probably about around 150 million dollar project and 800 homes at $\$ 200,000.00$ a home would be close to that value. It would be 160 million dollars.

Resulting real estate taxes for the two are about the same. County tax would be 293,000, township tax would be 73,000 , and school taxes would be 2.8 million with a total of over 3.1 million dollars worth of taxes generated by both homes and the warehouse.

The difference is is that there's very little detrimental tax impact on the community through a warehouse. There are no students, additional students in the school. There are -- usually the roads are not dedicated. In a residential development, the roads are dedicated and the municipality has to maintain those and snowplow them and do all that stuff. There's usually no increase in need for police or hospitalization. So, the impact on the community is much less.

I know currently the budget, the school budget, this year was $\$ 179,500,000.00$ with a projected loss of over 6 million dollars and the cost per student is with $I$ guess -- my research showed that there were 6,307 students in the school system, 10 schools, six elementary, two intermediate, two high schools, with 504 teachers. So, the cost per student is $\$ 29,491.00$ and if we assumed only a thousand new students, which is probably a low estimate, that's 29 million 491 more dollars needed to run the school system and, as Russ mentioned, the current student-teacher ratio is 14 to 1 and if you wanted to maintain that ratio you'd need 70 new teachers. And average salary is $\$ 80,000.00$ per teacher which would be an additional \$5,600,000.00.

So, that would be the impact of having a housing development there as opposed to a distribution
facility let alone we didn't talk -- John talked about traffic and how, actually, a housing development has at least four times or more total traffic impact than a warehouse would have.

I have here, in addition, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce did a study in 2022, which $I$ have to hand out.
(COMMUNITY ECONOMIC IMPACT marked for identification as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3.)

Q So, let me, just for the record, have you identify what we've marked as Petitioner's No. 3 and, while you're doing that, maybe you could pass a few of these out to the board members.

A So, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce --
Q Excuse me. For the record, just identify the exhibit, if you would.

A Oh. The exhibit is a Community Economic Impact of New Distribution Center by the U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

Q Thank you.
A So, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce did an economic impact study of distribution facilities and the interesting part -- it was done in 2022 and they used 30 -- they took 30 communities across the country with million square foot warehouses that -- the communities ran in size from 100,000 people to

20 million. Obviously, 20 million doesn't apply here; it's closer to the smaller end.

The smaller the community, the higher the impact was to the economic development of the community to the plus. The average worker made $\$ 3,000.00$ more per year after the facility came in and over a 20 year period that adds up to a lot.

For every job created in the warehouse . 7 new jobs were created in the community because of the warehouse being brought in because of community services, people having more money to spend, and the type of jobs that are created in these facilities are not just packers and people that drive tow trucks and things like that. They're very high tech, so they have different types of engineers, mechanical engineers, technical engineers 'cause, as we know, there's -- the demand for these facilities is such that -- you see them growing all over the place and that's the reason why. 16 percent of all retail sales are now done through the internet and, so, this is a facility that supports that.

Q And, Mr. Herman, you listened to Mr. Scott's testimony concerning a portion of the property that's proposed to be gift to the municipality. Can you identify what that is and confirm your intentions?

A Do you want me to go up to the map?
Q Yes, $I$ think that would be appropriate.
Thank you.
A This is Marshalls Creek Road here. So, the warehouse was on the west side of Marshalls Creek and this 90 to a hundred acres to the east of Marshalls Creek itself $I$ would be donating to the community for a -- whatever their park system, whatever their intended use might be.

It's unfortunate. I had an expert to come to testify as to the value of this tonight. Dr. Hugh Archer was supposed to be here. He was Deputy Director of DEP and was president of the waters of the Delaware watershed and he was going to come testify to that, but at 12 o'clock today $I$ got a text from him that he had COVID and went to the hospital.

Q Did you have him visit the site with you?
A I did not have him visit the site, but he's been up here his whole life. He knows it intimately and he knew the stream very well about the high quality waters, but he was not on site with me.

Q Had he been here -- you've discussed his testimony with him. Had he been here what would he say?

A He would have -- he volunteered this would
make a wonderful park because of the nature of the topography and -- he's an expert at that, I'm not, but he was talking about the high quality water stream and how that's integral to the Delaware watershed system. He thought it was a wonderful idea and great to keep it in perpetuity.

Q So, why is it that you're proposing to do that in your deal with the Scotts?

A Because I don't need it for my use.
Q And they're requiring you to purchase it nevertheless --

A Yes.
Q -- and make this offer.
A Yes. The deal that $I$ made was either purchase it or no deal and $I$ thought it would be a good thing to do.

Q Now, you were at the planning commission presentation several weeks ago?

A I was.
Q And did you hear comment made that there was other adequate ED-zoned properties in Smithfield Township that would be available or suitable for the type of use that you're proposing?

A I did hear that.
Q And what did you do as a result of that?

A I called Commercial Realtor Spiros ....
Q Bilianis?
A ... Bilianis and asked him if he was knowledgeable about the area, which he said he was, and whether he would do a study to see whether or not there was other land in the $E D$ zone that would be suitable for this type of project.

Q And did he generate a report for you?
A He did. He generated a report. He said he would have testify in person, but he's on vacation.
(BILIANIS REPORT marked for identification as Petitioner Exhibit No. 4.)

Q Can $I$ hand you what we've marked as your Petitioner No. 4?

A Yes. That's the report.
Q That's the report that Mr. Bilianis prepared?
A Correct.
Q And are you familiar with it?
A I am.
Q And, essentially, what does it provide?
A It says that the Scott site is the only suitable site for a warehouse development of this sort.

Q And for the benefit of the board and the public, did you, in fact, examine and tour other parts of Smithfield Township with the idea of finding
alternate locations?
A I have. I've looked all over the area. That's why $I$ have some in Coolbaugh and here.

Q And are there properties that are either accessible from the standpoint of highway access or topography or both that might be suitable?

A None that $I$ came across.
Q Right. In fact, most of the ED zone properties are in sloped areas where there's --

A Sloped areas and they're smaller parcels owned by multiple people that don't have the width or the depth to allow for this type of development.

Q As well as being accommodating for highway access.

A Correct. Access is paramount.
MR. MATERGIA: I would offer the witness to the board if the board has any questions of the witness.

MR. LOVENHEIM: No questions.
MR. PRIDE: No questions. Brian, do you have any questions for Mr. Herman?

MR. BARRETT: No. It was really broken up, though.

MR. HERMAN: Do you want me to repeat it?
MR. BARRETT: For one reason or another, I
didn't really get much of it. But no questions.
MR. MATERGIA: That being the case, we're not in a formal proceeding, but I'd like the board to accept the exhibits that we've marked, 1 through 4, and $I$ think we should probably also mark the handout that Mr. Scott gave.

So, if somebody has one of those we can mark that No. 5 and that would essentially conclude the record exhibits from the petitioner.

MR. PRIDE: Ron, I don't have any issue with the exhibits.

MR. KARASEK: I don't, either. I'm just going to just review them. We'll be off the record and then we'll go back on if we need to.
(SCOTT HANDOUT marked for identification as Petitioner Exhibit No. 5.)

MR. KARASEK: This is off the record.
(Off record.)
MR. MATERGIA: May we go back on the record?
So, that concludes our formal presentation before the board this evening. I know you want to hear comments from the public and you, of course, may do that.

MR. KARASEK: And let me just say to the public, so you are aware, that this is a public comment
period. It is not a question and answer period, it's a public comment period and, generally, under the PA Open Meeting Law only individuals who live in the township or pay taxes in the township can comment. Now, that's not saying the township would not allow others to comment because they have generally been very free in that regard, but keep that in mind.

So, that being said, we would ask whoever would want to comment to please stand, state your name and your address and, of course, make your comment.

MR. O'MERLE: Carl O'Merle, Browning Road in East Stroudsburg. I think I understood, referring to the map in the front of the room, that there's going to be this beautiful scenic park that was described by Mr. Herman and I don't understand how that would be a beautiful, scenic, peaceful park if it's a next door neighbor to this 45 foot gigantic warehouse. I mean, that's, like, ludicrous.

MS. BOOTH: My name is Tammy Booth. My address is 490 Tailor Drive.

I just want to say that we were aware of this. I know this is the first stage. I was quite surprised all this came out. The neighbors -- and I can probably get more signatures. I've collected 109 signatures concerning this project and it says, "The
undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described above do hereby protest against any changes which would rezone the property from R-1. The property directly adjoins residential property and we oppose any zoning that would increase the noise, the traffic that would detrimentally affect peaceful enjoyment of our homes and we also feel that any change in zoning of this property would negatively affect the value of our homes, safety of our children, and esthetics to the environment and the wildlife." I'd like to present this to the people.

MR. PRIDE: Sure.
MS. воотн: I'd also -- I raised my hand before because $I$ can't see real good and I wanted to see exactly where they were proposing these lines if I could go and look because it just so happens that three sides of my property are Mountain Manor and I'm a little worried here.

So, I'm not going with this one. This is where ....

MR. R. SCOTT: She's not on any one of them.
MS. BOOTH: I'm on Craigs Meadow Road and I'm up here and my property abuts ....

MR. R. SCOTT: You're up on the far left
corner beyond the map.
VOICE: You're off the map.
MS. воотн: Okay. So, over here is where the pond is?

MR. R. SCOTT: No, no, no, no. The pond's there where that gentleman's hand is. Beyond that. Over there. Right. Look at where Craigs Meadows Road is over here on the left. Follow that up. The pond is right where your hand is and you're beyond that a half a mile.

MR. SCHRYVER: Tailor Drive.
MS. BоOTH: Are you getting that? Because I'm not getting that. But you're saying that these maps are on the sites, the township sites.

MR. PRIDE: Correct.
MS. BOOTH: So everybody knows that.
MR. PRIDE: All of the maps are available.
MS. BOOTH: You know, I have one -- we're not allowed to ask questions, but --

MR. PRIDE: You can -- I want to be clear, you can ask questions. It's just going to be if they are able to answer.

MS. BOOTH: I want to know about taxes and all that. Do you have anything written in there where you're not required to do taxes for the first 10 years?

Anything like that if you would bring a warehouse in? I'm just curious. I didn't see anything. I'd like to know.

MR. R. SCOTT: Are you familiar with the LERTA zone?

MR. MATERGIA: No, I think what she's referring to is tax anticipation financing and there's no tax anticipation or impact financing proposed.

MS. BOOTH: Okay. So, I'm coming to the township asking for the neighbors who live around there who have lived there their whole lives, okay? I understand you're giving this other part of the property. And $I$ know the Scotts, $I$ know them. I'm kind of surprised. Maybe not. But $I$ know they're giving this little thing here. The township's going to get this little piece of property. I want you to think of the impact it has on these people.

MR. R. SCOTT: Define little.
MS. BOOTH: The impact that it has on the environment. And $I$ also want you to think if the warehouse does come through what the emissions does as far as the air quality and everything.

So, thanks for letting me talk.
MR. PRIDE: Thank you.
MS. TALLADA: Yeah, $I$ just want to say the
same thing. I live up Craigs Meadow Road, 252 Orchard Road.

MR. KARASEK: You need to identify yourself.
MS. TALLADA: I'm Deborah Tallada. I live on Orchard Road off Craigs Meadow and when we turn into No Outlet Road we all live back up in there and it meets the golf course. The golf course is below us and we're above it, so we're going to see all these bright lights from a warehouse, we're going to hear trucks beeping all night long and during the day, you're going to have diesel smell. The round-about is so bad now with just cars. I mean, ask people. They'll tell you. I mean, I even talked to one of our representatives, state representatives, and they said the same thing, that that was the worst thing that was ever built, okay? So, that's personally $I$ know that.

But, then, the animals, too. You're pushing more animals out all over the place. My yard looks like $I$ own a deer field because they have no place to go, which $I$ don't mind, but, still, the environment, the pollution from the trucks. I can't stand the smell of diesel personally, but that's just me and, like I said, the traffic, you know, our kids. We have young children now live up in there. So, that's my concern. Also, the water for the animals and stuff and
going into the Delaware or wherever the water goes to I'm concerned about for the environment for that reason, also, and public health.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you.
MS. BARRETT: One thing, Russ, I want to ask you about. The Marshalls Falls property. You've promised the township to give us parking and when it came time that we needed it -- and I think Robert knows this -- you wouldn't answer the phone. So, it was never -- Robert had to buy property so we'd have parking.

MR. R. SCOTT: Okay. You're Pam?
MS. BARRETT: Yes.
MR. R. SCOTT: Brian wanted an easement to the park, using the parking lot.

MS. BARRETT: And you agreed to it.
MR. R. SCOTT: Now listen to me. At this time we were selling the property to Dee Rake. Dee Rake did not want the easement because he was going to use some of the golf course to expand his project.

MS. BARRETT: But you wouldn't answer any of us.

MR. R. SCOTT: Answer you?
MS. BARRETT: No. You wouldn't answer Robert, you wouldn't answer Brian. In fact --

MR. R. SCOTT: Frankly, I have no idea what you're talking about on the answering because $I$ pick up my phone. So, the point is the deal was not conducive to the owner who wanted to buy it and he's since deceased and that project is gone.

MS. BARRETT: Okay, another thing. The only place to build a warehouse. Are you guys aware that Mr. DePetris has plans to build a warehouse behind the Dairy Queen?

MR. R. SCOTT: I have no idea.
MS. BARRETT: And Frank's having a fit. Fortunately, Frank had it in his -- when he sold the property --

MR. R. SCOTT: How is that --
MS. BARRETT: Well, you're saying there's no other place to build a warehouse.

MR. R. SCOTT: What? No, no, no. You missed the point.

MR. HERMAN: My understanding is there's a restrictive covenant on that property, so they can't do it and the warehouse is one-fifth the size.

MS. BARRETT: But they own property across the street. Anyway. I'm asking you -- and I tried to send you things. This has gotten to be a plague everywhere. You go over in New Jersey. There's
beautiful farmland on the other side of the river. Every house has a sign on it. No Warehouse. I mean, I guess $I$ can't say truck, but $I$ know the Ho Chi Minh Trail? I know four people personally that were killed by trucks.

MR. R. SCOTT: Most of the people in this room don't know those days, so you'll have to remind them of that.

MS. BARRETT: A little girl over here, second grade, went to get on the bus and she got killed. It's just not --

MR. R. SCOTT: But did you hear the engineer's traffic study comments?

MS. BARRETT: I know $I$ can't say truck.
MR. R. SCOTT: It's trips per day.
MS. BARRETT: Russell, I read. I'm not stupid. I know -- it just is not something -- and we're a vacation area, we're not a warehouse area. Everybody is having a fit. Nobody wants these damn things. Excuse me.

MS. TALLADA: Come vacation in the Poconos. Boom, get off 209, there's a warehouse.

MS. BARRETT: And you can say, Russ, that you're giving that property. I've got 550 acres with our township. I think that was a pretty good chunk of
property we have. And it's nice that we have lots of parks, but they cost money to keep them up. Somebody's got to take care of them. So, it's not really a great thing. Thank you.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you.
MR. SCHRYVER: Doug Schryver, planning commission. Formerly chairman, now just a rank and file member, and I'm here with my colleague, Mitch Boyer, and all I'm here to do is just a little housekeeping is to say that after the meeting with these fine gentlemen at the planning commission two other of our members voiced their opposition via e-mail to the board and, so, you should -- all I wanted to do is mention that, that both Mitch and David Strunk voiced their opposition. So, that kind of raises the against/for ratio to 4-2.

MR. LOVENHEIM: We received those letters.
MR. SCHRYVER: So, you got those letters?
I just wanted to make sure and that's my housekeeping.
And our decision was based on the rezoning only, no consideration as to the warehouse situation or truck traffic or anything else. However, there's been an awful lot of talk about it tonight from the applicant, so it sounds like the warehouse deal and the truck traffic and all that is part and parcel of this
and that's what $I$ said at the planning commission meeting and I'll say it again now. So, thank you.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you.
MS. O'MERLE: Hi. I'm Mary Jane O'Merle. Red Fox Road. First, I'd like to say we loved it when the Scotts had the golf course. I mean, it was just heavenly. So, I'm so sorry that that's not still there and a viable economic thing. I just -- we loved it. We went to dinner all the time at the -- it was just a wonderful place to be. So, my heart is just so sorry for that.

Now, $I$ am not in favor of moving this to residential -- I like the residential. I certainly do not want to go to a warehouse and rezone it.

I've lived here 50 -- the 50 years that I've lived right here on -- right in this area, Red Fox Road, I remember when the trucks did go by. I lived here then and my bedroom just heard it on and on and on and on and they used to gear up to get -- so, it went on and on and $I$ cannot imagine 14,000 of them running by. I just cannot imagine that to the peace and quiet. And I can't imagine them trying to get to Route 80.

I mean, what happens to the Dairy Queen, Odd Lot, all those places? I will never go on that road again. I mean, we all know the back roads, so
we'll have to go that way. We will never go that way. We will never go that way.

And what about the tourists who are coming up here to go to Shawnee and to go to the ski places, to go -- they want to go by 14,000 trucks? I mean, I know they come all hours. It's all hours of the night and day.

MR. COTE: I apologize. You're misquoting the 14,000. That's the residential trips. The truck --

MS. O'MERLE: How many -- I'm writing numbers down.

VOICE: 1700 .
MS. O'MERLE: Let's go to the residential. I'd much rather that. I think he said 991 units. How many years do you think it would take them to get 991 houses in there? So, we might have 20 years or 25 years where there might be 150 houses. That's okay with me, you know, rather than all this truck traffic going.

And we talked about the parks. We're talking about -- what about this little park over here? How about Waterfront Park? So, you know -- that's a nice park. What about coming in from Alaska Pete's and now the 14 -- 1700 trucks are coming in and the kids are
coming in there for soccer every night? You know, it's going -- why don't we take care of that park and love that park. You know -- can I finish? You guys had a lot of time --

MR. COTE: I'm just going to give you some context 'cause you're speaking out of turn and $I$ just really want to clarify. Of the 1700 vehicles a day, 1100 of those are passenger vehicles, 600 are trucks.

MS. O'MERLE: You didn't say that.
MR. COTE: So, just -- yeah, when I was talking about the overall numbers --

MS. O'MERLE: Well, 600 of those - I
remember when it was going that way, I lived it.
MR. COTE: 14,000-plus are vehicles from residential. That's --

MS. O'MERLE: Okay, residential, but I'd rather the residential. I would rather that.

The other thing is -- oh, they talked about all these careers that we're going have. Engineers are going to come and they're going to get all this money. I have friends -- do you have friends in here that work for Amazon? They haven't been making that big money, they're running those -- what are those tractor things that they go and they have to get so many things and put them up on the charts, up on the --

MR. KARASEK: Gentlemen, this is a little too loud. The steno cannot take people all talking over one another, so would you please keep it down so that the steno can hear whoever is speaking?

MR. BARRETT: Thank you.
MS. O'MERLE: So, I think that -- there are going to be engineers, there are going to be some good jobs, but $I$ don't think the vast majority of them.

And, then, the environment. I totally -my yard has deer in it and $I$ worry about that, too.

The only thing -- I had a question. I did not understand the red. If somebody could explain what is the red going all around.

MR. BARRETT: Hello? Hello?
VOICE: Yeah, we cannot hear the room anymore.

MS. HEILAKKA: Ma'am, hold on.
MR. BARRETT: I'm really ....
MS. HEILAKKA: Someone on Zoom, can you hear me? It looks like we're having a little bit of a technical issue. It looks like kind of they're frozen. MR. BARRETT: Well, maybe we should continue with the public comment. MR. PRIDE: Okay.

VOICE: We lost visual of the room, but there
are at least two people on Zoom who have comments to make and it seems like you can hear us.

MR. PRIDE: We can hear you. We're certainly going to get to Zoom after we complete those that are in person.

MS. O'MERLE: So, the red is what? That was my question. What is the red?

MR. COTE: It's the --
MR. PRIDE: It's the commercial zone.
MS. O'MERLE: Commercial zone. What -- the warehouse is in the middle and then what's all around the outside?

MR. PRIDE: The outside is zoned residential at the moment.

MS. O'MERLE: So, they're going to put houses there.

MR. PRIDE: No, no, no.
MS. O'MERLE: Oh, that's residential.
MR. PRIDE: Their proposal is to rezone 140some acres from $R-1$, low density residential, to Economic Development, which is a commercial zone.

MS. O'MERLE: And would somebody -- that drawing that's there, are they parking lots by Craigs Meadow? Is that a parking lot?

MR. PRIDE: That's correct. On this
left-hand side.
MS. O'MERLE: Is that a parking lot? Yes. So, that's the parking lot and, then, where is the actual warehouse? Is that in the middle?

VOICE: That's the middle.
MS. O'MERLE: That's the whole area in the middle. And what's going along the outside? Is that more parking or is that --

MR. COTE: North and south of the building are the truck courts and --

MS. O'MERLE: Truck courts, okay.
MR. COTE: -- east and west are the employee parking.

MS. O'MERLE: Okay, now I've got it. I didn't understand that. Thank you very much. I remember when the trucks went by and $I$ remember the day they stopped. That's -- I can remember the day they stopped and $I$ could sleep without having trucks with the windows -- thank you very much for listening.

MR. PRIDE: Sir?
MR. KELLY: My name is Wayne Kelly. I was born in Monroe County and now $I$ reside in Craigs Meadow -- or Birch Acres and, you know, for the way our community has been -- you know, like ... what I'm trying to say is that these great engineering jobs and
hi-tech jobs, maybe we could have the residential part here and they can go to the Lehigh Valley to have their jobs.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you. Ma'am?
MS. ACKERMAN: Jessica Ackerman. I live on Wayne Avenue, so $I$ understand the purpose we're here is for the rezoning. I feel that regardless of what goes in there if it is rezoned something -- a door opens for anything to go in there whether it's a warehouse, whether it's another waterpark, whether it's whatever anybody with enough money or getting enough money will want to put in there. It doesn't matter what we want. Doesn't matter what any of us want.

I grew up on 402 at White Heron Lake and for the longest time there was no tractor trailer traffic. All of a sudden they were allowed. That road is destroyed. They redo it every couple years or so, I get a few chipped windshields, and right back to normal.

Trash. Route 209? Everybody keeps mentioning the Ho Chi Minh Trail. If anybody here drives any road in this township or this county they all feel just like that. They are horrible. Gap View Drive was my back road to get to -- closer to the end of 209. I now need back roads for my back roads
between the traffic and even just regular RVs coming through, people who don't belong there, people who don't know where they're going. It's a nightmare.

I don't care if it's 10 tractor trailers. If this zoning goes through, a warehouse is built and $I$ can enjoy my cup of coffee on my back porch staring at a four, five story building -- I don't know how many trees you're going to put up. I'm still going to see it and hear it.

My kid walks down the road to get to his bus stop. The truck traffic. Ten trucks, 20 trucks, 600 trucks? And it's not going to be AM/PM rush hour, it will be all day and night. The lights will be on all day and night and the noise will be there and the roads will continue to get destroyed because that's not a priority in this town.

And that's it.
MR. PRIDE: Thank you.
MR. MAUL: I'm Jimmy Maul. I live up
Marshalls Creek Road. I want to just question a little bit of what $I$ heard about taxes.

900 houses plus. I just wonder how far along that preliminary was actually taken. We made a lot of references to it, a lot of comparisons. This gentleman said that 200 K per house, 900 houses.

VOICE: Eight hundred houses.
MR. MAUL: Eight hundred houses and he was giving us taxation bill of about --

VOICE: A hundred sixty million.
MR. MAUL: Yeah, right.
MR. COTE: It's all based on current millages
off public --
MR. MAUL: Today's houses are being built at roughly $\$ 200.00$ a square foot. If they were $\$ 2,000.00$ assessment that would mean that all these 800 houses were going to be approximately 1,000 square foot homes.

The other thing $I$ would like to know is we talked about the exceptional water quality. When all of this was preliminarily done, how far along was that? Was there a plan submitted for these 800 houses? Was it going to be on-site septic? Was there going to be a septic plant that was going to send their effluent into this high quality creek? Where is all of the water runoff because in that plan $I$ really don't see, with all the square footage of these parking lots, where it's going to go.

We're not going to put it across the street because we're going to have a park over there. Okay. Where is the effluent water going to go?

I'm just -- I'm thoroughly confused. I'm
just confused by how the taxes are being figured out, how the benefits are going to come to Monroe County residents. I'm confused by the fact that --

MR. R. SCOTT: I'll address your statements, if you'd like.

MR. MAUL: Sure.
MR. R. SCOTT: Can you pop that map back up, please?

MS. HEILAKKA: The one with the warehouse? MR. R. SCOTT: Yeah, that's good.

Sir, you see the ponds there just north of the warehouse? Up there. Right here? See these ponds? The level of those ponds are 8 -foot below the Marshalls Creek. Understand that? That will be the area of stormwater drainage. The entire valley from Craigs Meadows Road -- actually, all the way to East Stroudsburg, but Craigs Meadows Road to Marshalls Creek is a glaciated valley. In the center it's roughly 60 feet to bedrock and it's all gravel and glaciated.

All of the -- and the engineer can speak to this better than $I$ can, but he will tell you that we have to slow down the stormwater runoff because it seeps into the ground so quickly that the DEP does not like that. They want it slowed down. So, the retention basins would be part of that stormwater right
there.
The other aspect of your tax comment, I didn't quite follow your numbers exactly, but let me share with you our problem. We would still be in the golf business today. In 1990 the millage on this property was 38 mills. Today it's 187 mills and the township treated all the resorts in the township well giving us residential permits to expand the resort umbrella. However, the county is the one who charges us accordingly and the rateable on the tax is four times so that if $I$ had a house on there instead of paying residential like most of the folks living in their house we were paying four times that rateable. They considered us commercial. The town wanted us to stay open space, meaning Smithfield, and that's what happened and they finally put us out of business by property tax because we always took the capital that we made that year -- and our margins are always small -but put it back in to capitalize the business and expand such as you heard my conversation related to golf holes and all.

And I also will share with you that we were second largest amount of golf rounds in the entire State of Pennsylvania annually and, with that being said, our market was New Jersey and New York, all of
that, and all these resorts that are gone today had the same problem. And I can ask my attorney here -- he was in the resort business -- to speak to the tax numbers, too, but that's what basically happened and that's what's put us in this position today where we're trying to find what we feel is a good answer for the community, but based on the ratables, and also the open space, which you environmentally made comment about, I agree with. That's kind of why we're trying to do what we're doing. If that answers your question.

Oh, yeah, about the sewer. I spent 22 years on the sewer authority and chaired it most of the time. In Craigs Meadow there's a sewer plant, a pump station that runs to Lake Valhalla sewer plant. There's a thousand EDUs. An EDU is an Equivalent Dwelling Unit. That constitutes 200 gallons per EDU and there's a thousand.

We also have an agreement with the East Stroudsburg Borough to expand their system, so we could put a thousand, 2000 units on that property if the permits would allow it. There's enough sewer available.

Also, as the people in Craigs Meadows know, they were brought in under the Pennsylvania American Water use because their water system was so poor, but

Brodhead Water Authority is the preferred user in Smithfield Township and they would have plans to bring in water if it's needed which then reduces your lot size to accommodate more buildings.

Does that take care of most of it?
MR. MAUL: Yeah, except $I$ was just wondering how far along because of the constant references to the housing, the $R-1$ residential, how far along was that actually --

MR. R. SCOTT: Okay. I would -- John, can you answer that? You were the engineer on that. How far along was the preliminary plan for LTS?

MR. COTE: Conditional use approval under the planned residential development rules, guidelines of the town.

VOICE: What was the date?
MR. COTE: The date? I don't know the date off the top of my head.

MRS. BARRETT: It was like 16 years ago.
MR. R. SCOTT: 2007?
MR. PRIDE: It's no longer a current approval.

MR. COTE: If a developer was willing to pick up and use that approval they could build what $I$ was referring to earlier.

MRS. BARRETT: I just have one thing $I$ want to say. Maybe as supervisors it's something you ought to think about. I hate to bring it up, but you know the Mosier's development. We're all talking about taxes and how much it costs to put a kid through school. They got a TIF, so they won't be paying any money to the school district. And they're making it all housing. They approved it for a shopping center, which we all knew nobody goes to brick and mortar stores.

I just read an article where hospitals are over building just like we're getting down here and what's happening with the medical profession. So, there you sit with a big block of land that's pretty much flat and it doesn't have restrictions on it like Frankie's did. If you really want a warehouse and you're right there at 80 and 209 put it there.

MR. MATERGIA: It's not available.
MR. BARRETT: Sheriff's sale.
MR. MATERGIA: Pam, it's not available.
I represent the owner of the property. It's not available.

MRS. BARRETT: How long is it going to sit there with it empty?

MR. MATERGIA: It's nice to say, "Put it
there," but it's not available.
MR. MURRAY: If you don't mind, can $I$ make a quick comment? And this is involving Middle Smithfield Township because my Hillside was in Middle Smithfield and Jimmy -- that's my buddy here 'cause we're both in Middle Smithfield and we always want PennDOT or whatever to fix the Marshalls Creek Road because it's nasty. It's 2.6 miles and more accidents and them always having to fix it and all.

Okay, but $I$ just want to go back just a bit. One of the things that - Hillside was the oldest African American Jewish hotel in the country, in the United States, and one of the problems we had -- and as I said, I'm originally from Brooklyn and New York, but, we -- I was concerned when I saw -- and Russ told me, forgive me, that if this doesn't happen you may have this huge residential facility there, huge.

That's what put Hillside out of business, I'm just going to say to you. When the school taxes went up we were paying something like -- I don't know, I can't totally remember, but we were paying something like 50,000 a year and it went to like 150,000 , plus they had room taxes and we had to pay room taxes, too, to the point that we couldn't pay school taxes on all the people that were coming from New York and New Jersey
because all of a sudden their kids stayed here and it was no longer Hillside, the resort, but it was Hillside -- we have Murray Pocono Estates around it and the schools all of a sudden started building and building and the next thing you know they're taxing us and they taxed us out of business and that's kind of -even though mine was Middle Smithfield, you know, it's where Frutchey Drive is, Russ is -- the same thing happened to him and all the other resorts in the Poconos, $I$ hate to say, like the Ahnerts and all of us because of the school taxes.

So, when $I$ saw that a person that $I$ know, LTS, was going to do 800 and something houses? I mean, residential? I believe, unless I'm totally off the mark, that those people are going to bring their children and the cost on schools are going to go up and up and $I$ just say, well, God bless you, Smithfield, 'cause --

VOICE: But aren't they building residential in Smithfield Gateway?

MRS. BARRETT: Yeah, 990 units and they won't be paying any real estate taxes.

MR. MURRAY: I was just saying --
MR. PRIDE: Yes, they have approval for some tentatively and it's in a totally separate zone and the
way the TIF works is there's a ratio that pays off the debt for the road improvements over the course of that 20 years and at the mid point of that 20 years, which the previous board had approved, the ratio flips and it pays the local taxes.

So, there is a difference, but, that said, I want to entertain more public comments on this matter before we proceed to a vote.

So, sir, you've been standing up.
MR. GARR: My name is Tom Garr. Our property is on Milford Road which adjoins this property and it's private.

I understand that sooner or later that property will be developed and that's going to happen. My biggest concern is through changing the zoning and opening the door to projects of this nature - I'm hearing a lot of talk about the number of truck trips and things like that. My biggest concern is infrastructure. You know, the infrastructure is poorly able to handle the vehicle traffic that it has right now. Talk about the roundabout. I couldn't imagine seeing 600 tractor trailers move through that roundabout in the course of a day.

So, I just want -- my concern is about the zoning change, opening the door to other projects like
this.
And when you consider -- talk about the one million square foot warehouse logistical space, you see where all these projects are going down along 33. They're right next to major infrastructure that's already there or they just built an interchange at Tatamy to accommodate that. They're not in residential areas that I can see.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you.
MS. KATZ: Maria Katz. I live on 402 as well. The thing $I$ want to know is that that stretch of 209 that you're going to have to use to get to Interstate 80 right now is a main artery that tourists use to come into our area, especially to, like, Shawnee resort.

I'm kind of curious. Does Shawnee resort know about this? Because if you start putting 600 trucks on that two lane road -- it's already jampacked now with tourists. If you don't make that into a five lane road or four lane road that road is going to be impossible for the tourists to get in and that can actually really hurt Shawnee and all the resorts here.

So, I'm just kind of curious. Does Shawnee know about all this? Do all these resorts know about it?

MS. BARRETT: Shawnee knows about it.
MR. PRIDE: To our knowledge, yes.
MS. KATZ: And you're not going to have any plans to improve that road by putting 600 trucks --

MR. PRIDE: That would be -- that's a PennDOT road, so that wouldn't be the township.

MS. KATZ: What?
MR. PRIDE: That would be a PennDOT road, it's a state road, so the township does not --

MS. KATZ: So, you don't care. You're just going to say put it here and PennDOT --

MR. PRIDE: No, no, that's not what we're saying. What I'm saying is $I$ have no ability to influence what PennDOT is going to do. I don't think any supervisor asked for the roundabout and they built it anyway. So, that's what I'm saying. From our perspective.

MS. KATZ: So, if we build that and PennDOT says, "Eh, we're not going to do anything on this road," what we'll have now is a huge mess.

MR. PRIDE: That's what we all have to consider.

## Vince?

MR. DELLA FERA: My name is Vince Della Fera and I live on Mount Nebo Road. I have a few questions.

And $I$ realize this is not $a \operatorname{and} A$ session, but I'd just like to put them out there.

First of all, I'd like to know -- I'm presuming that the trucks will be arriving and leaving for 24 -- for a full 24 hour cycle. They won't be -or will they be -- would you anticipate more trips during the day as opposed to overnight?

I also would like to know exactly how many acres you are planning to dedicate, which $I$ think is a -- it would be a good thing, the open space, and how much of that open space -- as I recall, the original plan had considerable open space on it and how much of that new open space is going to be - I'm sorry, the original plan had a lot of steep slopes that they were going to build on and I'd like to know how many of the steep slopes are going to be in the open space.

And $I$ just had a number -- a quick question about how many EDUs would you require for the factory?

MR. MATERGIA: Can you try to address those?
MR. COTE: So, big picture wise -- I'll just try to recount each thing, so you can correct me if $I$ miss one of them.

On the steep slope side, the intent of this development is to actually stay off those steep slopes. That's why it's all focused down at the bottom of the
hill. Steep slopes, pretty much what you see, as soon as you make your way up the aisle where the development's shown on there --

MR. DELLA FERA: So, to clarify, the open space will contain the steep slopes, the existing steep slopes.

MR. COTE: So, the open space isn't really the properties north of where the development's shown. The open space is actually the lands east of the Marshalls Creek Road. So, it is those slope embankments that bring you down to the creek, but, ultimately, it's that low lying land that is where the creek is now, not all the sleeps slopes on top of the hill.

MR. KARASEK: Everyone just hold on for a moment. We've been going two hours. Do you need a break?

MR. PRIDE: My intention is to conclude this tonight, so I'd like to entertain a couple more comments and then we also have Zoom.

MR. KARASEK: So, maybe we should take a five minute break or so to give Donna a chance to --

MR. LOVENHEIM: Let's keep going.
MR. KARASEK: You want to keep going? She's the one that's taking this down. If she's not being
able to do it -- okay, it's your choice.
MR. DELLA FERA: I also have a question as to how much land is in the open space.

MR. COTE: So, approximately a hundred acres is dedicated to open space. Ten acres of that is a parking lot that basically we understand will be of interest to the town that's on the north side.

MR. MURRAY: Excuse me. Could you do that again? We'll have the dog and the pony. Move your butt over here.

MR. COTE: So, if you're looking at this entire tract here, right, 402 on the east side, Marshalls Creek on the west side, basically this stretch of land here is 90 acres outside of the ED zone that we're referring to tonight. Ten acres of that will also be dedicated, which is, basically, to allow for a parking field to service this particular area as open space.

MR. DELLA FERA: And Marshalls Falls.
MR. COTE: And Marshalls Falls. So, basically, you're looking at about a hundred acres worth of dedication.

MR. SCHRYVER: John, show the lake along ....
MR. COTE: You're talking about the creek or the ...?

MR. SCHRYVER: The lake part.
MR. COTE: Oh, this part here you mean?
MR. PRIDE: Okay. Once you've concluded this exercise $I$ 'm going to entertain --

MR. SCHRYVER: Isn't there a lake up in here?
MR. COTE: No.
MR. R. SCOTT: There are four ponds.
MS. HEILAKKA: Brian, that was your microphone providing feedback. So we're going to give you a couple minutes to fix whatever just happened and we're going to unmute you. I know you're on a land line right now.

MR. PRIDE: He can press the button just like he normally would.

We have two people on Zoom. We have two individuals on Zoom that would like to make a comment. So, one of you has raised a yellow hand, so I'll -the walrus, $I$ think, was your photo, so please identify yourself and then make your comment.

MR. DEPAUL: First of all, can you hear me okay?

MR. KARASEK: Yes.
MR. DEPAUL: My name is Adam Waterbear DePaul. I am the Chief of Education and the tribal story keeper for the Lenape Nation of Pennsylvania,
the indigenous community of these lands.
I am not here to offer an official position from Council because our Council has not been contacted by any of the parties who have spoken today or by any other party involved, but $I$ am here to raise concerns about the environmental impacts of rezoning this area that resonate throughout the indigenous community and other communities that watch over the environments of Monroe County.

I have lived in the county for my full 41 years as had my ancestors before me since time immemorial and we have taken care of these lands for time immemorial.

Now, I have heard that $I$ am not allowed to ask questions, so $I$ will phrase my concerns in the form of comments. I have noted that the speakers seem able to reply, which seems a bit lopsided if we are not allowed to question them, but given this structure my comments are this.

The numbers that the speakers are offering seem intentionally misleading in order to show a bias to rezone this project. They mention that there will be a greater number of vehicles if this is a residential area, but they say nothing about the fact that each commercial vehicle that would come in as a
result of the warehouse would emit something like 50 times more emissions than any of the residential vehicles that would be here.

The speakers say that they are making deals with other agencies to help increase and expand their systems, but they have offered nothing about the environmental or ecological impacts of those expansive construction projects.

The speakers have said, for instance, each job created by this warehouse would, in turn, create something more -- something like, I believe the speaker said, seven more jobs throughout the community.

MR. HERMAN: Point seven.
MR. DEPAUL: That seems to me like a direct admission that rezoning this property will be a gateway to exponential commercial increasement throughout the county and area. If every one job in the warehouse leads to seven more jobs throughout the community that means more commercial growth for each one of those jobs and more traffic.

MR. MATERGIA: That's a misstatement.
It's.7.
MR. DEPAUL: The speakers have mentioned that there will be a number of people. They mention the number of people involved with there being
residential and they have focused on taxes, right? We hear a lot about how school taxes will go up, be higher if this is residential. God forbid we give more money to our schools. We know we don't want to do that or have more teachers. However, they have not offered any information to the effect that residents who live here pay taxes to the state, contribute to those taxes, contribute to our community, and the more people that are contributing to those taxes are reducing the number of taxes that each member has to pay. I have taught in the middle school, in the high schools, and in the colleges of these areas.

They -- and, lastly, in addition to these numbers, just to bring up how these numbers can be manipulated towards a purpose, the speaker on the table with the blue, long-sleeved shirt and the glasses, he said, quote, "We," I assume he -- you, meaning the council, "We have no influence over what PennDOT will do." He said this in response to another concern that was raised that trucks mean that PennDOT's going to turn two lane roads into four lane roads and just increase construction exponentially throughout the community. He said that you have no influence over what PennDOT will do. I disagree. You have every influence over what PennDOT will do in the future and
the way you influence whether or not PennDOT will make these incredibly huge construction projects is whether you choose to vote yes or no on this. Voting yes will open the door to this increased traffic and will give PennDOT a reason to have these expansive construction projects. Voting no will mitigate that before it becomes an issue.

So, the last part of my comment is that you do, in fact, have a very strong influence over what PennDOT and what commercialization and construction in general happens in your township. Thank you.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you. And I also have one other on Zoom, Rebecca August, and then I'll resume in person.

MS. AUGUST: Hi. Well, first I'm going to say that $I$ am so honored to speak after Mr. DePaul and, then, I want to say my name is Rebecca August. I've been part of this community for 45 years. I used to live on Marshalls Creek Road for a very short time and now $I$ own property and pay taxes in White Heron Lake.

I want to say that $I$ agree with all the concerns of the different speakers that have spoken and, actually, I'm going to save time because my comment was also about the trucks. Diesel trucks emit 223 metric tons of carbon dioxide per year, so that is
about 50 times the pollution of a car which -- so 600 trucks, as was said would be part of this project, equals roughly 30,000 cars. So, the comparison is -and that doesn't even account for highway wear and all the other impacts that trucks have.

So, that's my -- that's all $I$ have to say, but $I$ appreciate all the other speakers and I agree with much of what has been said.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you. Debbie Kulick?
MS. KULICK: Debbie Kulick. I'm president of Bushkill Emergency Corps and one of the things, I just want to say, which is in ancillary project connected to this one is an independent donation of property to the ambulance corps on the 402 side and it will require in the future somewhat of a zoning change because it is not allowed to have an ambulance building there. So, I guess maybe heads up and I'm sorry we have diesel ambulances.

MR. PRIDE: Who needs ambulances, right, Debbie?

MS. KULICK: Right.
MR. PRIDE: Thank you, Debbie. Are there any final comments?

MR. BOYER: I'm Mitch Boyer. I'm here to comment as a resident in Sunrise Village off Mount Nebo

Road and also as a member of the planning commission.
The first thing $I$ would like to request is to have the e-mail that $I$ wrote to all members of this group and the planning commission on December 13th entered into the public record. Is that possible?

MR. PRIDE: Sure. I don't see why it wouldn't be.

MR. BOYER: Great. So, this group represents a very, very small percentage of the 7,500 people that live in this township that will be affected by zoning changes and I'm not sure that we handle those in exactly the right way.

I don't know much about being on the planning commission because I'm very new there, but when I got on I attended a course that was put on by the State of Pennsylvania that says what it takes to be a good planning group and commission and what $I$ found out is that what the state says is that we're supposed to have a comprehensive plan and the last time we did a comprehensive plan for Smithfield Township -- all municipalities in the state, all townships, are supposed to have that -- was in 2001. When I bring that up people say, "Well, that doesn't apply anymore because it's too old."

So, I was around here in 2001. I didn't get
part of the survey that went out, but $I$ agree with the results of the survey. So, just so you know, and I've commented on it, $I$ want to say a couple of things. What that training course said is that the planning agency shall prepare the comprehensive plan for the development of the municipality -- in our case, that's the township -- and present it for consideration to the governing body, which is this group right here.

It is the governing -- this comprehensive plan is supposed to be the governing body's adopted developmental policy. It is future oriented. It is the basis for the land use regulations, which $I$ believe means zoning, and provides the rationale for regulating private property.

When you look at what that plan said in 2001, and what it said was important to the people of this township, it said what the results of a large group that analyzed this, their conclusion was, goals include, amongst others, maintaining the distinctive character of the municipality, upgrading the image of the Poconos, and promoting the historic character of area communities.

So, when we think about zoning changes those are things that $I$ can look back in history and say that's what the people of the township -- many of you
were probably here in 2000. How many of you were here? Okay.

So, then you can look at some of the specific comments that were made in the conclusion of that comprehensive plan and there are several of them here. It says that the residents of Smithfield value the township's predominantly rural atmosphere. I'm not sure that that is taken into consideration in 2022 as we look at all the things that come before the supervisors.

It also said survey respondents thought regulation of land development in general was extremely important giving the highest priority to the density of the development, proximity to commercial development, and the proximity of that commercial to residents and impact on streams, many of the things that you've commented on already.

It says specifically small office buildings, woodcraft manufacturing, research facilities, other light manufacturing were also encouraged while shopping centers, large office buildings, corporate parks, motels, hotels, wood processing, metal fabrication, warehouse/distribution facilities, printing companies, and trucking terminals were discouraged.

I don't know that that has changed since

2001 when this very comprehensive plan was put together.

My comment is it's time to do another comprehensive plan. I don't care what the cost and I don't care what the --

MR. LOVENHEIM: I care about the cost.
It's somewhere around $\$ 50,000.00$. We can spend it on better things.

MR. BOYER: I'm only commenting from my personal point of view. I just think it's time to do another comprehensive pl an and ask the 7,500 residents of this township what they want the development to be, not what we --

MR. LOVENHEIM: I think it's time for a motion.

MR. PRIDE: All right.
MS. BARRETT: Send a message to these two that $I$ think it's time that we put a group of citizens together and sit down and talk what we see of the future of Smithfield Township.

Robert's been rewriting our ordinances, God bless him. We need a professional. He can't just do things -- it's like me practicing medicine. And $I$ know you got the e-mail when $I$ said, "Let's get together people from all walks of life." I know years
ago we did it with the sign ordinance. And sit down and work it out. It shouldn't just be in Robert's hands, it shouldn't -- we all live here. We all -I think we'd gladly give our time to sit down together and decide what we want to see for Smithfield Township.

MR. PRIDE: That's absolutely our intention. So, that said --

MR. MATERGIA: Excuse me. Given that this is our petition, may $I$ make a simple close?

MR. PRIDE: Sure. You can be the last comment and then Attorney Matergia --

MS. GARR: I'm sorry. My name is Tammy Garr. I live across the street here on Milford Road. We're on Birch Lane. And $I$ was just wondering with the picture of the warehouse what part of 209 are you going onto the property? Like, between the traffic light and Dollar General. Is that how -- is that how you're entering on that part of 209 or is it on Creek Road?

MR. COTE: It would be on Business 209 proper. The location is shown right now where the properties are currently under control, but the likelihood is that it would have to be shifted to be about a thousand feet away from the other intersection of Marshalls Creek Road --

Well, just to give you a quick perspective
on it, this is -- again, the reason $I$ put together this concept is because right now this is shown as the entrance drive here, but -- the entrance to the east here would likely have to be shifted to the west, but that property is not under control right now. Part of that is in discussion with the developer for control, but ultimately PennDOT --

MS. GARR: Where is Country Kettle? I'm too far, honestly to -- where is Craigs Meadow Road?

MR. COTE: So, Craigs Meadow Road is the western boundary.

MR. SCHRYVER: Country Kettle is here.
MS. GARR: Here.
MR. SCHRYVER: Actually, here.
MR. COTE: This is Craigs Meadow here.
MS. GARR: And what is this?
MR. COTE: That's property that's not held, that's other properties that are adjacent --

MS. GARR: So, that's my house. Okay. I just wanted to see --

MR. SCHRYVER: They're talking about this.
MR. COTE: Somewhere adjacent. About a
thousand away from the Seven Bridges Road intersection.
MR. PRIDE: All right. So, the final word on the petition is going to be given by Attorney Matergia
to make a closing statement.
MR. MATERGIA: Thank you. You've been very patient and that's appreciated.

I think what you can clearly say in a proceeding like this is that our request for a zoning change is often not popular and these matters are not designed by nature to be popularity contests.

What these matters require is for the supervisors to do what you're elected to do and that is exercise your best judgment to do the most good for the most people in your community. Sometimes that's not popular.

Now, this zoning amendment will welcome commercial economic development, that's a given, and economic development projects are a window in time. They can't be chased down the road and you oftentimes cannot go back to capture them. Opportunity presents itself. You have to make a wisdomed decision as to whether or not this is something that you want to do and want to take an opportunity to capture in the community.

Thank you for the opportunity to present this.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you. At this point since we've heard all the comment $I^{\prime}$ ll entertain a motion to
close the hearing, but we'll remain on the record so we can make a decision.

MR. KEMPER: I had my hands up and $I$ haven't got to make my comment.

MR. PRIDE: I'm sorry. You can make your final comment, sir.

MR. KEMPER: My name is Steve Kemper. I live on 3147 Pine Ridge Road. My concern is I have three parcels of land. I'm afraid -- concerned with my property values, that $I$ 'll be overlooking a million square foot warehouse.

I don't think that the comparisons are fair. Everybody keeps saying this 800 residential units. It doesn't seem like a fair comparison. If we're talking -- if the property involved is roughly 150 acres and it's zoned $R-1$ low density right now that requires a 50,000 square foot lot area. So, for 150 acres you can only put 125 lots on that.

MR. MATERGIA: It's a planned residential development. It's a different animal.

MR. COTE: A Planned Residential Development goes by different guidelines, so the density for the units, the individual properties, are much smaller than what you're referencing. You're referencing a standard subdivision. A Planned Residential Development under
the township ordinance is a whole different program in terms of how -- and that's how the other development was governed, based on those -- and approved lot sizes.

MR. KEMPER: So, how big could a lot size be?
MR. COTE: Four thousand square feet, 5000 square feet for the smallest and some are maybe as big as -- I think the biggest might be 10,000 off the top of my head. I just don't have all the numbers --

MR. KEMPER: Well, it's still not a fair
comparison 'cause when you're talking about 800 properties you're talking about all the property he owns not just the 147 acres that we're dealing with. MR. COTE: Right. And that included development on the land that's being dedicated as well. So, for the comparison you lose that as well. In other words, that tract of land --

MR. KEMPER: Okay, but all of the other property that's not involved, there's nothing to say that those homes can't be developed anyway.

MR. COTE: Not to the -- yeah, not that density because that's all steep slopes. That would change -- everything north of that is steep slopes. MR. KEMPER: But it still could be developed --

MR. COTE: Be developed at a much smaller --
yeah. If you actually look at the --
MR. KEMPER: So, you're not eliminating -by doing this, you're not eliminating 800 homes. They could still ....

MR. COTE: Actually --
MR. KEMPER: They could still build --
MR. COTE: Yeah, the --
MR. KEMPER: -- homes on the rest of the property.

MR. COTE: The 991 that were previously approved, the majority of those are in the low lying land where the warehouse is. If you look at the concept that's out here on the board, if you get a chance, you can look at that and you can see that those are all the larger residential --

MR. KEMPER: No, at the top --
MR. COTE: So, the answer to your question is the density -- so, the Planned Residential Development is higher density down below.

MR. KEMPER: Okay.
MR. PRIDE: So, this is the last person and then one more on Zoom.

VOICE: The lots that are on the north end are the larger lots, the lower density, and then the higher density are the ones that are in the -- reach
down below where the warehouse is proposed. Just to give you a perspective. I'm not trying to --

MR. KEMPER: My point is that just because this is happening, this gets rezoned doesn't mean that they're still not going to build a couple hundred houses --

VOICE: Right, but 200 houses at the north side is different than 991 residences on the --

MR. KEMPER: I just don't agree with the comparison. I don't get the whole comparison of taking that whole scope of this when we're only dealing with 147 acres.

VOICE: This goes away as dedicated land east of Marshalls Creek Road. Only the slope, the higher slope, the lower density, lower volume of homes built on the higher sloped areas here would be remaining. Everything else that we were talking about before was down here, down along Marshalls Creek Road and now along 209, higher density, where the majority of those homes are proposed. It took 700 off the books -- I'm sorry. If you take 700 off the books versus the 200 that are remaining. I'm just trying to give you a perspective.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you.
MR. KEMPER: My other comment is if this
warehouse -- if it does get rezoned, this warehouse doesn't go as planned, then we could be -- anything could be done there that's approved commercially. We could have cell towers built there.

VOICE: This is also part of your Route 209 corridor. Keep that in mind. I don't want to lose sight of that. When you look at the red, somebody asked about the red that's outlined in the overall zoning map, the township is looking to -- adopted economic development components along these commercial corridors. That's really where all the focus was. This is the gap in that today from prior zoning. MR. PRIDE: Thank you.

VOICE: For anybody who didn't get a chance to talk, whoever is against it should stand up so if you didn't get an opportunity to talk at least we know how many people are against it.

MR. PRIDE: All right. Vicky, you're the last comment before we close the hearing.

Okay, I'll take that as a no.
So, at this point $I$ 'll entertain a motion to close the hearing.

MR. LOVENHEIM: I'll make that motion to close the hearing.

MR. PRIDE: Motion by Mr. Lovenheim to close.

I'll second. All in favor?
MR. LOVENHEIM: Aye.
MR. PRIDE: Aye. Brian, are you there? Brian?

The hearing is closed. And, hopefully, we hear from Mr. Barrett. Do $I$ hear a motion on the petition?

MR. LOVENHEIM: I would like to make a motion on the petition and also statement with the motion. Attorney Karasek --

MS. OZGUN: Jacob?
MR. PRIDE: Yes, Vicky.
MS. OZGUN: I'm sorry, I had a technical
issue here with the microphone.
MR. LOVENHEIM: The hearing was closed.
MS. OZGUN: Can I address my comment if it's not late?

MR. PRIDE: Yes, it will be on the record, so please be brief.

MS. OZGUN: People that don't know me, my name is Vicky Ozgun and $I$ live in the Lake Valhalla area, the nearby community.

A lot of people don't know that dealing with this warehouse issue Lake Valhalla is also dealing with a similar situation, a company who bought the airport,

Black Buffalo, that wants to put a 3 D printing company in there. So, my concern is that you already have one that wants to be built there and then a line, according to the GPS coordination [sic] you have another warehouse proposal. So, like, we're all stuck in these warehouse areas and you have residential. You're never thinking about the pollution, the drainage, the wildlife. It will impact everybody.

So, right now Lake Valhalla is impacted because it's already considered light life industrial, but the company that wants to come is not light industrial.

So, I prefer the residentials there to fight for the R-1 zoning because, otherwise, they're going to be in the same situation like Lake Valhalla is and that's what $I$ have to say.

MR. PRIDE: Thank you, Vicky.
MR. LOVENHEIM: I'll continue with my
statement and motion. As $I$ was saying, Attorney Karasek said at the beginning of this session that this was about a zoning change, it wasn't about the use, but the petitioners have chosen to confuse the use with the zoning change and $I$ think probably that's a good thing because this is a very important parcel of land here.

There are only two parcels of land in Smithfield that are available that are this size for a future use. One is Gary Swartz's farm on Fawn Road, which is about 250 acres, and my hope, at least, is some day that's a nature park.

And then there's Mountain Manor. I think Mountain Manor has great potential for something. In 2016 we had a comprehensive plan done of that area and the planner from Philadelphia suggested sort of a walkable village center in the area with an overlay of the R-1 district. That's possible, but it seems from this discussion that quality of life is what we're all interested in and the use of this land is very important to all of us.

I have great respect for Russ and Craig Scott and for John Herman, but I'm going to say that I'm voting to deny this use.

MR. PRIDE: Robert, is that a motion to deny the motion?

MR. LOVENHEIM: Motion to deny the motion.
MR. PRIDE: Motion by Mr. Lovenheim. I will
second. All in favor? Aye.
MR. LOVENHEIM: Aye.
MR. PRIDE: Mr. Barrett, do you have any -do you have a vote? I assume you're still on with us?

MRS. BARRETT: Can $I$ vote for him?
MR. PRIDE: No, you can't vote for him, but I'm sure that's what he would do.

MR. KARASEK: If you can't hear him or if he is not able to get -- right now it's -- it would be -let's say he would be for it, it's two to one, so it would be denied in any event.

MR. PRIDE: Correct.
(Whereupon, the above concluded at 8:35 p.m. on Wednesday, January 11, 2023.)
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|  | 84/25 | 14,000-plus [2] 20/6 | 111/5 | $57 \text { [1] 3/6 }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BY MR. BARRETT: [2] | MS. GARR: [1] 100/12 | 69/14 | 209 [51] 12/12 12/12 | 490 [1] 58/20 |
| BY/15 46/14 | MS. HEILAKKA: [9] | 140 [1] 71/19 | 12/22 12/23 13/1 13/2 | 491 [1] 50/18 |
| MR. BARRETT: [13] | 45/23 45/25 46/4 46/9 | 14 | 13/3 13/10 13/10 13/15 | 5 |
| 39/20 45/16 45/18 46/2 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 46/6 46/11 49/7 56/21 | 89/7 | 147 [1] 105/12 | 17/4 17/22 17/25 18/2 |  |
| 56/24 70/4 70/17 70/21 | VOICE: [4] 21/10 23/7 <br> 70/14 70/24 | 147 acres [2] 41/4 $103 / 12$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 18 / 3 & 18 / 10 & 18 / 18 \\ 23 / 2 & 18 / 1 \\ 24 / 9 & 25 / 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \text { [5] 32/14 67/15 67/15 } \\ & 91 / 194 / 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 80/18 |  | $15 \text { [1] }$ | $28 \text { 28/13 26/13 28/9 }$ | 50,000 [2] |
| MR. BOYER: [1] 95/7 | \$ | 150 [2] 49/17 68 | 29/11 29/11 30/5 30/6 | 500 feet [1] 30/25 |
| MR. COTE: [12] 69/4 71/7 72/8 79/22 86/19 | \$16,000.00 [1] 41/10 | 150 acres [2] 102/16 | 30/7 30/10 31/19 31/20 | 5000 [1] 103/5 |
| 88/10 88/23 89/5 99/18 | \$179,500,000.00 [1] | 102/18 | 34/17 35/5 37/9 65/22 | 504 [1] 50/16 |
| 100/9 100/14 102/20 | 50/11 | 150,000 [1] 81/22 | 73/20 73/25 80/17 84/12 | 509 [1] 1/24 |
| MR. DELLA FERA: [2] | \$2,000.00 [1] 75/9 | 16 [2] 2/20 79/19 | 99/15 99/18 99/1 | 51/57 [1] 3/7 |
| 85/23 87/3 | \$200,000.00 [1] 49/17 | 16 percent [1] 52/19 | 105/19 106/5 | 54 [1] 42/8 |
| MR. HERMAN: [2] | \$200.00 [1] 75/9 | 160 million [1] 49/19 | 21 [1] 47/15 | 55/57 [1] 3/8 |
| 64/18 91/12 | \$29,491.00 [1] 50/16 | 17 [1] 2/21 | 22 [1] 78/11 | 550 acres [1] 65/ |
| MR. KARASEK: [13] | \$3,000.00 [1] 52/5 | 1700 [5] 20/4 25/13 | 223 [1] 93/25 | 57 [5] 3/5 3/6 3/7 3/8 3/9 |
| 5/11 22/6 22/11 32/6 | \$5,600,000.00 [1] 50/23 | 68/13 68/25 69/7 | 24 [2] 86/5 86/5 | 570 [1] 1/25 |
| 33/10 35/14 57/11 57/23 | \$50,000.00 [1] 98/7 | 18 [1] 2/21 | 24 acres [1] 14/12 | 58 [2] 2/13 2/13 |
| 69/25 87/14 87/23 89/21 | \$80,000.00 [1] 50/22 | 18302 [1] 1/22 | 25 [1] 68/18 | 6 |
| 110/3 |  |  |  | , |
| MR. LOVENHEIM: [8] | '50s [1] 41/25 | 19 [1] 2/22 | 28 [1] 12/7 | 6,307 [1] 50/14 |
| 26/20 33/9 35/9 56/18 | '60s [1] 41/25 | 19 acres [3] 14/20 23/16 | 29 [1] 50/18 | 60 feet [1] 76/19 |
| 66/16 87/22 107/7 | 'cause [6] 15/4 52/16 | 23/21 | 293,000 [1] 49/21 | 600 [7] 69/8 69/12 74/11 |
| 09/19 | 69/6 81/5 82/18 103/10 | 19-plus [1] 15/9 |  | 83/22 84/17 85/4 94/ |
| MR. MATERGIA: [17] |  | 1902 [1] 41/2 | 3 | 6000 [1] 42/13 |
| 8/4 21/16 32/24 35/12 46/24 47/2 57/1 57/18 |  | 1920s [1] 43/11 | 3 million [1] 41/7 | 62 [1] 2/14 |
| 61/5 80/17 80/19 80/24 | . 7 [2] 52/8 91/22 | 1930s [1] 41/23 | 3.1 million [1] 49/23 | 630 [1] 1/21 |
| 86/18 91/20 99/7 101/1 | 1 | 197 [1] 41/18 | 30 [2] 51/23 51/23 | 65,000 [3] 16/16 19/18 |
| 102/18 | 1,000 [1] 75/11 | 74 [1] 39/5 | 30,000 [1] 94 |  |
| MR. PRIDE: [61] 4/3 | 10 [7] 2/17 13/20 23/9 | 9 [1] 36/2 |  |  |
| 4/20 22/4 33/7 33/12 | 41/18 50/14 60/25 74/4 | 1981 [1] 42/5 |  | $\text { 6:05 [2] } 1 / 94$ |
| 35/7 35/11 35/17 35/20 | 10,000 [1] 103/7 |  | 32 million [1] 41/1 |  |
| 40/2 45/15 45/17 45/19 | 100 acres [1] 41/20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1988 \text { [2] } 36 / 2537 / 2 \\ & 1990 \text { [1] } 77 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $33 \text { [2] } 2 / 5 \text { 84/4 }$ | 7 |
| 45/24 46/12 47/1 56/19 | 100,000 [1] 51/25 |  | $33$ | 7,500 [2] 95/ |
| 57/9 59/12 60/16 60/19 | 100,000-plus [1] 24/20 | 2 | 38 [1] | 70 [2] 41/15 50/21 |
| 61/23 63/3 67/2 70/23 | 100-plus [1] 19/19 | 2 million [3] 43/25 44/1 | 3D [1] 108/1 | 700 [2] 105/20 105/21 |
| 71/2 71/8 71/12 71/16 | 102 [1] 2/21 | 2 44/3 |  | 72 [1] 2/15 |
| 71/18 71/24 73/3 74/17 | 107 [1] 2/22 | $2.5 \text { [1] } 41 / 9$ | 4 | 73 [1] 2/16 |
| 79/20 82/23 84/8 85/4 | 109 [1] 58/24 | 2.6 miles [1] 81/8 | 4-2 [1] 66/16 | 73,000 [1] 49/2 |
| 85/11 85/20 87/17 89/2 | 11 [6] 1/8 2/5 2/18 4/2 | 2.8 million [1] 49/23 | 4.6 million [1] 41/16 | 74 [1] 2/16 |
| 93/11 94/8 94/18 94/21 | 110/11 111/5 | $20 \text { [5] 52/6 68/17 74/11 }$ | 40 [2] 2/7 30/20 | 75 percent [1] 41/6 |
| 95/5 98/15 99/5 99/9 | 1100 [1] 69/8 | $83 / 383 / 3$ | 40 feet [1] 30/19 | 7766 [1] 1/25 |
| 100/23 101/23 104/20 | 1144 [1] 18/16 | 20 million [2] 52/1 52/1 | 400 acres [1] 19/13 | $78 \text { [1] 48/13 }$ |
| 105/23 106/12 106/17 | 1155 [1] 1/7 | 200 [2] 105/7 105/22 | 400,000 [1] 48/10 |  |
| 107/17 108/16 109/17 | 12 [1] 2/18 | 200 gallons [1] 78/16 | $400 \text {-plus [1] } 16 / 21$ | 8 |
| 109/20 110/1 110/7 | 12 o'clock [1] 53/15 | 2000 [2] 78/20 97/1 | 402 [7] 40/21 42/24 43/9 | 8-foot [1] 76/13 |
| MR. R. SCOTT: [16] | 120 [2] 14/10 19/12 | $2001 \text { [4] 95/22 95/25 }$ | 73/14 84/10 88/12 94/14 | 80 [5] 2/17 33/19 67/22 |
| 39/17 39/21 40/3 59/21 | 120 acres [1] 18/5 | $\begin{aligned} & 96 / 1598 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | 41 [1] 90/10 | 80/17 84/13 |
| 59/24 60/4 61/3 61/17 | 124 [1] 19/12 | 2007 [1] 79/20 | 44 [2] 37/17 38/3 | 800 [10] 30/9 41/8 49/3 |
| 63/11 65/5 65/11 76/3 | 125 [1] 102/18 | 2009 [1] 37/3 | 447 [6] 12/5 12/12 13/2 | 49/17 75/10 75/15 82/13 |
| 76/9 79/9 79/19 89/6 | 13 [1] 2/19 | 200K [1] 74/25 | 13/10 17/4 29/11 | 102/13 103/10 104/3 |
| MR. SCHRYVER: [8] | 13th [1] 95/4 | 2016 [2] 43/24 109/8 | 45 [2] 58/17 93/18 | 83 [1] 2/17 |
| 60/10 66/5 66/17 88/25 | 14 [5] 2/19 5/15 41/14 | 2022 [4] 5/15 51/6 51/22 | 45 feet [1] 30/21 | 84 [1] 2/18 |
| 89/4 100/11 100/13 | 50/20 68/25 | 97/8 [4] | 45/57 [1] 3/5 | 85 [1] 2/18 |
| 100/20 | 14,000 [4] 25/9 67/20 | 2023 [4] 1/9 4/2 110/11 | 46 [1] 2/8 | 850 [1] 38/7 |
| MS. BARRETT: [1] | 68/5 68/9 | 2023 [4] 1/9 4/2 110/11 | 47 [1] 2/10 | 89 [1] 2/19 |


| 8 | accept [1] 57/4 | $\overline{\mathrm{ad}}$ | 82/4 82/9 82/10 84/4 | 94/18 94/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:35 [1] 110/10 | access [16] 17/22 17/2 | admission [1] 91/15 |  | amended [1] 9/14 |
| 9 | 28/11 28/14 31/18 42 | 196/10 106 | 93/21 94/4 94/6 94/7 | 10/17 31/14 45/11 |
| 90 [1] 53/6 | 42/23 42/24 43/15 56/5 | advantage [1] | /3 95/20 95/21 | 101/13 |
| 90 acres [2] 15/13 | 56/ | advise [1] 32/17 | 98/16 98/25 99/3 9 | rican |
| 900 [2] 74/22 74/25 | accessible [1] 56/5 | affect [2] 59/7 59/9 | 101/25 103/ |  |
| 900 feet [1] 30/9 | accidents [1] | affected [2] 59/1 95/1 | 103/11 103/17 103/2 |  |
| 93 [1] 2/19 | accommodate [2] 79/4 | affects [1] 40/15 | 104/15 106/11 106/18 |  |
| $94[2] 2 / 20$ |  | afford [1] 41/19 | 107/1 108/5 109/12 | 16 |
| 99 [1] 2/21 | accommodating [1] | afraid [1] 102/9 |  | analyzed [1] 96/18 |
| 990 [1] 82/21 |  | African [1] | ance [1] $4 / 7$ | 1] |
| 991 [6] 19/17 2 | according [4] 11/4 | af | [5] 45/ | [1] |
| 8/15 68/17 104/10 | 5 108/3 <br> ly [1] 77/10 | 66/10 71/4 93/16 again [23] 6/15 7/11 | allowed [7] 34/8 34/11 | animal [1] 102/20 <br> animals [3] 62/17 62 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \text { 105/8 } \\ 992-7766[1] & 1 / 25 \end{array}$ | accordingly [1] 77/10 <br> account [1] 94/4 | again [23] 6/15 7/11 <br> 7/23 10/19 11/21 15/2 | allowed [7] 34/8 34/11 60/19 73/16 90/14 90/18 | ${ }_{62 / 25}^{\text {animals [3] } 62 / 176}$ |
|  | Ackerman [3] 2/16 73 | 17 18/20 19/1 19/1 | 94/16 | uncements [1] |
| A |  | 22/3 22/2 |  |  |
| ability [4] 17/17 31/13 | acquired [1] 42/5 acreage [1] 14/17 | 25/11 31/1 31/19 34/7 <br> 46/7 67/2 67/25 88/9 | allows [2] 18/18 almost [1] 32/9 | annual [1] 41/8 annually [1] 77/24 |
| [9] 6/16 22/25 | acreage [1] 14/17 <br> acres [32] 14/9 14/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46/7 67/2 67/25 88/9 } \\ & 100 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | almost [1] 32/9 alone [2] 48/9 51/1 | annually [1] 77/24 another [10] 14/19 |
| able [9] 6/16 | acres [32] 14/9 14/12 | 100/ | alone [2] 48/9 51/ | another [10] 14/19 |
| 28/22 45/22 60 | 14/20 15/9 15/13 16/21 | against [4] 59/3 66/16 | along [22] 11/13 12/5 | 15/13 56/25 64 |
| 90/16 110/5 | 18/5 19/12 19/12 19/13 | 106/15 106/17 | 13/12 13/15 14/3 14/25 | 73/10 92/19 98/3 |
| 03] 1/9 10 | 23/5 23/16 23/21 41/4 | against/for [1] 66/16 | 17/12 17/25 19/11 24 | 108/4 |
| 1/11 12/7 14/19 16/16 | 41/20 42/2 43/10 53/6 | agencies [1] 91/5 | 24/5 72/7 74/22 75/14 | answer [12] 40 |
| 18/16 19/11 19/12 19/16 | 65/24 71/20 72/23 86/9 | agency [1] 96/5 | 79/7 79/8 79/12 84/4 | 6/20 58/1 60/2 |
| 19/19 20/11 20/17 20/25 | 8/4 88/5 88/14 88/15 | agenda [1] 4/18 | 88/23 105/18 105/19 | 63/21 63/23 63/24 |
| 21/5 22/10 23/5 23/25 | 8/21 102/16 102/18 | ago [7] 8/10 19/4 22/2 | 106/10 | 8/6 79/11 104/17 |
| 24/19 24/24 24/25 25/1 | 2 105/12 109/4 | 39/6 54/18 79/19 99/1 | already [6] 43/2 8 | swered [1] 21/9 |
| 26/4 28/1 28/18 30 | across [7] 15/4 48/12 | agree [5] 78/9 93/21 | 84/18 97/17 108/2 | answering [1] 64/2 |
| 30/22 31/25 32/2 | 51/23 56/7 64/22 75/22 | 94/7 96/1 105/9 | 108/10 | answers [1] 78/10 |
| 34 | 99/13 | agreed [2] 40/22 63/16 | also [37] 1/16 1/20 7/10 | anticipate [1] 86/6 |
| 38/7 38/10 38/13 | action [4] 43/19 111/11 | agreement [4] 3/5 45/1 | 15/11 15/17 25/21 26/13 | anticipation [2] 61/7 |
| /18 | 111/12 111/13 | 45/5 78/18 | 30/13 30/14 30/15 41/13 | 61/8 |
| 44/21 46/16 49/16 49/21 | actual [4] 12/21 20/23 | agrees [1] 43/22 | 43/4 43/2 | any [35] 22/18 32/ |
| 51/1 53/20 54/3 55/4 | 29/6 72/4 | Ahnerts [1] 82/10 | 9/14 | 33/13 33/23 34/1 40/1 |
| 62/11 63/2 | actually [20] 15/3 15/ | air [1] 61/22 | 63/3 77/2 | /16 45 |
| 64/2 66/23 68/3 68/21 | 17/3 27/3 29/9 29/12 | airport [1] 107/2 | 78/23 86/8 8 | /23 56/ |
| 68/22 68/22 68/23 68/24 | 5 30/1 32/2 51/2 | aisle [1] 87/2 | 8/16 93/12 93 | 59/3 59/5 59/8 59/22 |
| 69/11 69/18 70/10 74/21 | /23 76/16 79/9 84/2 | Alaska [1] 68/24 | 95/1 97/11 97/20 106 | 3/21 73/13 73/2 |
| 75/3 75/13 78/8 78/11 | 86/24 87/9 93/23 100/ | ALBERT [3] 1/21 36/1 | 107/9 107/24 | /22 85/3 85/15 90/4 |
| 80/3 80/4 83/17 83/21 | 104/1 104/5 | 36/6 | alternate [3] 34/5 34/5 | 2/6 |
| 83/24 84/2 84/17 84/24 | Adam [2] 2/19 89/23 | all [104] 18/8 18/23 | 56/1 | 9/24 110/7 111 |
| 84/24 85/1 86/18 88/21 | add [2] 32/12 44/8 | 26/17 36/11 36/24 37/1 | alternative [1] 49/2 | 111/11 |
| 88/24 90/6 90/24 91/6 | added [2] 6/10 7/2 | 38/5 38/5 38/7 3 | although [3] 6/6 10/10 | anybody [3] 73 |
| 92/2 93/24 94/1 95/13 | addition [6] 10/7 18/24 | 38/20 38/21 39/3 40/4 | 28/1 | 73/21 106/14 |
| 96/23 98/6 99/23 100/21 | 44/13 45/8 51/5 92/13 | 40/20 40/22 40/24 44/10 | always [5] 13/6 77/1 | anymore [2] 70/1 |
| 100/22 103/10 103/11 | additional [8] 4/18 6/10 | 44/17 50/7 52/18 52/19 | 77/18 81/6 81/9 | 95/23 |
| 105/17 106/8 108/7 | 7/11 8/1 41/15 42/23 50/3 | 56/2 58/23 60/17 60/24 | am [19] 20/16 20/23 | anything [9] 26/7 38/20 |
| 108/21 108/21 109/4 | 50/23 | 62/6 62/8 62/10 62/18 | 22/9 25/24 36/6 40/14 | 60/24 61/1 61/2 66/22 |
| above [4] 1/6 59/2 62/8 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { address [6] 29/22 58/10 } \\ 58 / 20 \\ 76 / 486 / 19 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 66/9 66/13 66/25 67/9 | 47/11 48/17 55/19 67/12 <br> 74/12 89/24 90/2 90/5 | 73/9 85/19 106/2 anyway [3] 64/23 85/16 |
|  | 16/4 86/49 107/16 | 67/24 67/25 68/6 68/6 | 74/12 89/24 90/2 90/5 <br> 90/14 93/16 111/9 | ${ }_{103 / 19}^{\text {anyway [3] } 64 / 2385 / 16}$ |
| above-captioned [1] 1/6 | dequate [1] 54/2 | 70/13 71/11 73/16 73/23 | 111/11 111/12 | anywhere [1] 30 |
| solutely [4] 1 | adieux [1] 5/10 | 74/13 74/13 75/6 75/10 | AM/PM [1] 74/12 | apologies [1] 46/10 |
| /24 40/3 99/6 | jacent [9] 12/25 14/6 | 75/13 75/18 75/20 76/16 | Amazon [1] 69/22 | apologize [3] 21/10 |
| abuts [1] 59/24 <br> accel [1] 31/22 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 7 \quad 15 / 10 \quad 17 / 1831 / 3 \\ & 31 / 4100 / 18 \quad 100 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 76/19 76/20 77/7 77/21 <br> 77/25 78/1 80/4 80/8 <br> 80/9 81/9 81/24 82/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ambulance [2] 94/14 } \\ & 94 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 34/15 68/8 appear [1] 8/18 |

(2) 8:35-applicant

## A

applicant... [5] 5/19 7/1 7/5 7/20 66/24
applicant's [1] 9/24
application [4] 5/24
9/15 16/23 33/2
apply [2] 52/1 95/23
appraisal [1] 44/4
appraises [1] 44/2
appreciate [1] 94/7
appreciated [1] 101/3
appropriate [1] 53/2
approval [10] 16/14
18/11 18/24 18/25 18/25
24/16 79/13 79/22 79/24
82/24
approvals [2] 17/8 18/23
approved [12] 5/5 5/9
17/6 26/16 28/21 38/17
43/25 80/8 83/4 103/3
104/11 106/3
approximately [3] 30/25

## 75/11 88/4

Archer [1] 53/12
are [132]
area [31] 12/11 12/11
12/12 12/21 14/8 14/24
15/11 15/21 20/6 23/7
29/1 31/3 31/7 31/20
55/4 56/2 65/18 65/18
67/16 72/6 76/15 84/14
88/17 90/6 90/24 91/17
96/22 102/17 107/22
109/8 109/10
areas [11] 23/3 24/4
31/2 31/3 31/4 56/9
56/10 84/8 92/12 105/16 108/6
aren't [1] 82/19
around [15] 12/10 16/15
20/4 23/16 25/10 30/19
38/4 38/5 49/16 61/10
70/13 71/11 82/3 95/25 98/7
arrangement [1] 40/9
arriving [1] 86/4
artery [2] 31/15 84/13
article [1] 80/11
as [100] 6/1 6/15 7/15
7/25 8/2 8/6 8/9 8/19
10/18 10/19 11/4 11/13
12/3 12/4 12/4 12/21
14/11 $15 / 4$ 16/1 $16 / 12$
17/3 17/4 17/11 19/14
19/14 20/1 20/13 20/13
23/23 26/19 26/20 26/20
26/23 26/24 27/8 27/25
28/9 29/15 30/12 31/16

32/17 32/22 34/24 36/4 38/18 39/16 40/12 43/1 43/4 43/8 44/7 45/1 45/4 45/9 47/6 48/15 48/15 48/22 50/19 50/25 51/8 51/10 52/16 53/11 54/25 55/11 55/13 56/13 56/13 57/15 61/21 61/22 66/21 77/20 78/23 80/2 81/13 84/10 86/7 86/11 87/1 87/2 88/2 88/17 90/11 90/25 94/2 94/25 95/1 97/8 100/2 101/18 103/6 103/7 103/14 103/15 105/13 106/2 106/20 108/19
ask [14] 7/10 7/14 8/18 22/15 30/14 48/24 58/8 60/19 60/21 62/12 63/5 78/2 90/15 98/11
asked [7] 7/9 10/22 22/9
24/13 55/3 85/15 106/8
asking [7] 6/9 16/20
26/6 27/5 27/16 61/10 64/23
aspect [1] 77/2
assessment [1] 75/10
assist [1] 30/15
assistant [1] 36/21
assisting [2] 36/2 36/7
associated [5] 18/7
18/9 19/24 20/5 24/15
assume [3] 13/12 92/17 109/25
assumed [1] 50/17
athletic [1] 44/8
atmosphere [1] 97/7
attended [1] 95/15
attorney [12] 7/5 7/19
36/7 36/21 36/23 39/5
78/2 99/11 100/25
107/10 108/19 111/12
audience [3] 8/25 22/12

## 22/19

August [4] 2/19 93/13 93/15 93/17
authority [2] 78/12 79/1
automated [1] 27/11
available [10] 22/5
29/21 54/22 60/17 78/22
80/18 80/20 80/22 81/1 109/2
Avenue [1] 73/6
average [2] 50/22 52/5
aware [4] 22/13 57/25
58/21 64/7
away [4] 46/7 99/23
100/23 105/13
awful [1] 66/23

Aye [4] 107/2 107/3
109/22 109/23
B
B2 [2] 14/24 23/1
B3 [1] 14/24
back [31] 9/22 12/8 18/2
18/19 19/5 19/18 20/19
22/7 22/24 27/13 28/17
28/19 30/7 30/10 30/24
35/4 37/21 57/14 57/19
62/6 67/25 73/18 73/24
73/25 73/25 74/6 76/7
77/19 81/10 96/24
101/17
background [6] 11/19
29/2 29/14 29/15 29/21
31/19
bad [1] 62/11
ballpark [1] 41/5
BARRETT [28] 1/12 2/5
2/8 2/17 4/14 43/21 63/5 63/13 63/16 63/21 63/24
64/6 64/11 64/15 64/22
65/9 65/14 65/16 65/23
70/14 79/19 80/1 80/23
82/21 98/17 107/6
109/24 110/1
barrier [1] 30/12
based [13] 18/19 25/5
26/12 27/12 29/20 29/21
30/25 34/7 41/5 66/20
75/6 78/7 103/3
basically [29] 6/3 7/24
12/25 13/25 14/12 15/12
15/25 16/19 16/21 17/20
18/1 18/5 18/17 19/5
19/7 19/8 19/9 23/6
23/15 29/5 31/23 36/13
37/6 49/1 78/4 88/6
88/13 88/16 88/21
basins [1] 76/25
basis [1] 96/12
be [181]
beat [1] 37/13
beautiful [3] 58/14
58/16 65/1
beauty [2] 40/24 43/13
became [3] 36/22 39/5 41/23
because [43] 5/18 15/8
21/4 21/23 24/13 32/24
35/5 37/2 37/18 52/9
52/10 54/1 54/9 58/6
59/15 59/17 60/12 62/19
63/19 64/2 74/15 75/19
75/23 76/22 77/17 78/25
79/7 81/4 81/7 82/1
82/11 84/17 90/3 93/23

94/16 95/14 95/24 100/2 bigger [1] 29/6 103/21 105/3 108/10 biggest [3] 83/15 83/18 108/14 108/24
become [1] 29/19
becomes [1] 93/7
bedrock [1] 76/19
bedroom [1] 67/18
been [30] 11/3 11/24
12/7 12/10 13/6 13/11
16/10 23/6 35/22 36/16
37/16 38/2 39/15 43/2
43/4 47/5 53/19 53/22
53/23 58/6 66/22 69/22
72/24 83/9 87/16 90/3
93/18 94/8 98/21 101/2
beeping [1] 62/9
before [19] 1/6 4/25
6/14 8/3 11/25 16/10
19/13 24/1 34/20 34/21
39/19 57/21 59/15 83/8 90/11 93/6 97/9 105/18 106/19
beginning [1] 108/20
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10/5 12/2 12/3 12/10
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29/7 52/10 56/13 57/2
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believe [6] 4/21 4/24 6/9
82/14 91/11 96/12
belong [1] 74/2
below [4] 62/7 76/13
104/19 105/1
benefit [4] 16/6 44/5
47/8 55/23
benefiting [1] 45/9
benefits [2] 48/15 76/2
best [4] 8/12 8/20
101/10 111/8
better [5] 22/18 22/25
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between [7] 20/8 30/20
31/5 40/19 40/21 74/1
99/16
beyond [7] 16/20 26/7
32/21 44/4 60/1 60/6
60/9
bias [1] 90/21
big [7] 15/2 38/1 69/22 80/14 86/20 103/4 103/6 bridge [2] 35/1 43/9

103/7
Bilianis [5] 3/8 55/2
55/3 55/11 55/16
bill [1] 75/3
Birch [2] 72/23 99/14
bisects [1] 15/8
bit [10] 16/5 17/11 20/12
23/20 28/17 32/21 70/20
74/21 81/10 90/17
BJK [3] 1/3 4/22 9/9
Black [1] 108/1
blank [1] 46/4
bless [2] 82/17 98/22
Blessings [1] 36/5
block [1] 80/14
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boards [1] 11/10
body [1] 96/8
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books [3] 29/17 105/21 105/21
Boom [1] 65/22
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born [1] 72/22
Borough [1] 78/19 both [10] 9/1 9/6 9/12
17/21 22/10 49/2 49/24
56/6 66/14 81/5
bottom [1] 86/25
bought [1] 107/25
boundary [2] 43/10 100/11
bounded [1] 12/23
BOX [1] 1/24
Boyer [5] 2/20 66/9 94/24 94/24 98/9
break [2] 87/17 87/22
BRIAN [22] 1/12 4/14
33/11 33/13 34/25 35/10 39/18 43/21 45/16 45/20
45/25 46/1 46/10 46/19
46/22 49/9 56/20 63/14
63/25 89/8 107/3 107/4
brick [1] 80/9

Bridges [6] 33/22 34/17
35/5 42/15 42/15 100/23
brief [1] 107/19
briefly [1] 11/16
bright [1] 62/8
bring [7] 61/1 79/2 80/3
82/15 87/11 92/14 95/22
brings [1] 8/12
Brodhead [1] 79/1
broken [1] 56/22
brokerage [1] 47/16
Brooklyn [1] 81/14 brother [2] 36/3 44/16 brought [4] 10/3 19/7
52/10 78/24
Browning [1] 58/11 buddy [1] 81/5
budget [2] 50/10 50/11
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12/3 27/13 29/6 30/17
72/9 74/7 80/12 82/4
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bulk [1] 23/1
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bus [3] 35/7 65/10 74/10
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busy [1] 40/2
butt [1] 88/10
button [1] 89/13
buy [4] 43/25 44/4 63/10 64/4
buyer [1] 36/9
bypass [6] 28/22 28/22 28/23 29/12 29/14 29/19 Byrne [1] 9/5

| bys [1] 29/24 |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{C - o - t - e ~ [ 1 ] ~} 11 / 8$ |
| cabin [2] 37/15 37/16 |
| cabins [2] 41/23 42/6 | cable [1] 44/11

call [5] 7/21 10/25 22/20 23/15 47/3
called [3] $7 / 743 / 19$ 55/1 came [5] 40/18 52/6 56/7 58/23 63/8
can [77] 7/14 7/20 9/15 12/9 16/4 16/4 16/5
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car [1] 94/1
carbon [1] 93/25 care [10] 37/4 66/3 69/2 74/4 79/5 85/10 90/12 98/4 98/5 98/6
careers [1] 69/19
Carl [2] 2/13 58/11
carries [1] 31/19
cars [7] 20/4 20/6 21/15 22/11 37/10 62/12 94/3 cart [1] 42/14
case [11] $7 / 6$ 7/12 18/14 26/8 26/9 32/8 36/14 43/19 43/24 57/2 96/6
ceiling [1] 34/15
cell [1] $106 / 4$ center [12] 12/5 12/5
17/19 19/7 26/25 27/18 32/23 38/1 51/17 76/18 80/8 109/10
centers [1] 97/21
Century [1] 47/15
certain [1] 7/17
certainly [6] 6/7 7/14 8/17 35/22 67/13 71/3 certify [2] 111/4 111/9 cetera [1] 22/14 chair [5] 4/13 5/12 6/12 7/8 7/18 chaired [1] 78/12 chairman [5] 4/12 39/24 43/21 44/1 66/7 Chairperson [1] 1/11 challenge [1] 13/7 Chamber [4] 51/5 51/13 51/17 51/20
chance [4] 43/23 87/22 104/14 106/14
chance to [1] 87/22
change [14] 1/3 6/4 6/6 9/7 9/17 30/2 59/2 59/8 83/25 94/15 101/6 103/22 108/21 108/23 changed [2] 37/11 97/25
changes [5] 9/19 9/20
59/3 95/11 96/23
changing [1] 83/15 chapter [1] 8/11 character [2] 96/20 96/21
charge [1] 40/13
charges [1] 77/9
charts [1] 69/25
chased [1] 101/16
Chi [3] 37/9 65/3 73/21
Chief [2] 39/25 89/24
child [1] 41/10
children [5] 41/1 41/10
59/10 62/24 82/16
chipped [1] 73/18 choice [1] 88/1 choose [1] 93/3 chosen [1] 108/22 chunk [1] 65/25 citizens [1] 98/18 civil [2] 11/23 12/14 clarified [1] 27/20 clarify [4] 22/9 25/8 69/7 87/4
clear [4] 5/2 7/1 16/6 60/20
cleared [1] 42/10
clearly [1] 101/4 click [1] 27/15
close [7] 49/18 99/9
102/1 106/19 106/22
106/24 106/25
closed [2] 107/5 107/15
closer [2] 52/2 73/24
closing [1] 101/1
coffee [1] 74/6
cold [1] 43/11
colleague [1] 66/8
collected [1] 58/24
colleges [1] 92/12
combination [2] 23/9 27/11
combined [2] 15/3 23/11
come [20] 5/20 6/14
8/14 8/15 19/23 20/19
25/17 27/13 38/5 53/10
53/14 61/21 65/21 68/6
69/20 76/2 84/14 90/25
97/9 108/11
coming [9] 26/12 35/4
61/9 68/3 68/24 68/25
69/1 74/1 81/25
commencing [1] 1/9 comment [28] 7/15 7/17 35/17 35/21 54/20 57/25 58/2 58/4 58/6 58/9
58/10 70/23 77/2 78/8 81/3 89/16 89/19 93/8 93/24 94/25 98/3 99/11 101/25 102/4 102/6 105/25 106/19 107/16 commented [2] 96/3 97/17
commenting [1] 98/9 comments [12] 6/12
6/22 35/15 57/22 65/13
71/1 83/7 87/20 90/16
90/19 94/23 97/4
Commerce [4] 51/6
51/13 51/18 51/20
commercial [16] 13/9
20/9 45/10 47/16 55/1
71/9 71/10 71/21 77/14
90/25 91/16 91/19 97/14
97/15 101/14 106/10
commercialization [1] 93/10
commercially [1] 106/3
commission [9] 42/2
54/17 66/7 66/11 67/1
95/1 95/4 95/14 95/17
common [1] 27/14
commonly [1] 12/20
communities [4] 51/23
51/25 90/8 96/22
community [29] 3/7 9/2
9/5 11/20 12/7 24/21
28/25 49/14 50/2 50/9
51/7 51/16 52/3 52/4
52/9 52/10 53/7 72/24
78/7 90/1 90/7 91/12 91/18 92/8 92/23 93/18 101/11 101/21 107/22
commute [2] 20/20 21/8
companies [2] 47/14 97/23
company [7] 9/4 9/9
47/16 47/17 107/25
108/1 108/11
comparative [3] 3/6
17/12 48/21
compared [2] 20/23
26/2
comparison [8] 24/13
26/10 94/3 102/14
103/10 103/15 105/10
105/10
comparisons [2] 74/24 102/12
complete [2] 28/23 71/4
completed [1] 44/5
completely [1] 33/5
comply [1] 34/4
component [2] 28/11
45/11
components [6] 15/19
16/17 18/6 28/13 29/25
106/10
comprehensive [9]
95/19 95/20 96/5 96/9
97/5 98/1 98/4 98/11
109/8
concept [12] 17/15
18/20 19/8 24/2 32/14
32/19 47/24 47/25 48/3
49/13 100/2 104/13
conceptual [1] 19/1
concern [9] 19/23 35/11
62/24 83/15 83/18 83/24
92/19 102/8 108/2
concerned [5] 20/17
46/16 63/2 81/15 102/9
concerning [2] 52/23
58/25
concerns [5] 28/1 28/3
90/5 90/15 93/22
concert [1] 48/4
conclude [3] 43/18 57/8 87/18
concluded [2] 89/3
110/10
concludes [1] 57/20
conclusion [2] 96/18 97/4
(4) bridges - conclusion

| C |  | 23/3 25/19 26/14 27/24 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| concrete [1] 42/15 | corporate [1] 97/21 corps [2] 94/11 94/14 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 2331 / 340 / 194 \\ & 59 / 2360 / 762 / 162 \end{aligned}$ | Debbie [5] 2/20 94 94/10 94/20 94/22 | determine [5] 6/ 6/25 25/2 32/11 |
| condition [1] 29/14 | correct [13] 33/19 33/20 | 71/23 72/22 76/16 76/17 | Deborah [2] 2/14 62/4 | 1] 5/9 |
| 6/16 16/14 32/10 32/22 | 35/9 35/18 46/13 48/1 | 78/13 78 |  |  |
| 79/13 | 55/17 56/15 60/15 71/25 | 100/10 100/15 | deceased [1] | [1] |
| conducive [1] | 86/21 110/8 111/6 correctly [1] 38/18 | create [1] 91/10 created [4] 52/8 | decel [1] 31/22 <br> December [2] 5/15 95/4 | entally [1] |
| conduct [1] | corridor [4] 12/25 13 | $52 / 1291 / 10$ | December 13th [1] 95/4 | 47/22 48/2 48/4 |
| confirm [2] 28/12 52/2 | 17/3 106/6 | creek [45] 1/21 12/2 | December 14 [1] 5 | developed [7] |
| confused [3] 75/25 | corridors [3] 13/3 13/9 | 13/22 14/3 14/15 14/18 | decide [2] 36/12 99/5 | 48/8 48/12 83/14 10 |
|  | 106/11 | /2 | cided [2] 5/24 5/ | 103/24 103/25 |
| congratulations | cost [6] | 15/8 16/2 19/14 23/2 | decision [4] 10/21 | developer [5] 9/11 |
| $39 / 23 \text { 40/1 }$ | 66/2 82/16 98/4 | 23/17 25/19 26/14 | 66/20 101/18 102/2 | 16/14 24/17 79/23 1 |
| connect [1] 14/3 | costs [1] 80/5 | 27/25 30/23 31/4 35/25 | dedicate [1] 86/9 | developers [1] 12/10 |
| nnected [2] 13/1 | Cote [37] 2/4 10 | 37/13 37/16 40/19 42/16 | dedicated [6] 50/5 | development [57] |
| 94/13 | 11/6 11/6 11/16 11/21 | 43/8 53/4 53/5 53/7 | 88/5 88/16 103/14 | 6/11 6/14 7/2 10/110 |
| c | 16/24 27/23 33/6 33/1 | 74/20 75/18 76/14 76/17 | 105/13 | /20 11/23 13/12 16 |
| 24/8 26/12 31/23 | 35/14 35/24 39/2 47/2 | 81/7 87/10 87/11 87/13 | dedication [1] 88/22 | 8 18/2 19/1 |
| ctions [1] 25 | 69/10 6 | 24 93/19 99/18 | Dee [2] 63/18 63/18 | 23/22 24/3 24 |
|  | 72/12 75/6 79/13 79/17 | 99/24 105/14 105/18 | deer [2] 62/19 70/ | 28/11 28/19 29/4 29 |
| nt [4] 10/12 10 | 87/7 88/4 88/20 89/2 | Crompton [2] 10 | defender [1] 36/21 <br> defer [1] 43/1 | $\begin{aligned} & 128 / 1929 / 429 \\ & 732 / 432 / 1333 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/13 44/24 | 103/13 103/20 103/2 | $10 / 15$ | define [2] 26/23 61/18 | 47/19 48/7 |
| ents [1] 10/18 | 104/5 104/7 104 | Crompton's [1] | definition [3] 9/20 27 | 50/25 51/2 52/4 55/22 |
| on [1] 18/2 | 104/17 | crowd [1] $7 / 13$ | 42/20 | 71/21 79/1 |
| 18 5/3 | could [21] | cup [1] | Delaware [4] 43/20 | 86/24 96/6 97/12 97/14 |
|  | 19/2 23/2 | curious [3] 61/2 84/16 | 54/4 63 | 97/14 98/12 101/14 |
|  | 51 | 84/2 | 2/18 85/ | 101/15 102/20 102/21 |
| consideration 8 (5) $66 / 2196$ | 73 | current [3] 50/20 75/6 | 88/2 88/19 | 102/25 103/2 103/14 |
| nsidered [4] 30/6 | 103/4 103/23 |  | demand [1] 52/17 | 104/18 106/10 |
| 3/11 77/14 108/10 | 104/6 106/2 106/3 106/4 | currently [5] 16/7 <br> 17/8 50/10 99/21 | denied [2] 36/14 110 density [11] 71/20 | development's [2] |
|  | couldn't [2] 81/24 83/21 <br> council [3] 90/3 90/3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17/8 50/1099/21 } \\ & \text { cut [1] 15/5 } \end{aligned}$ | density [11] 71/20 97/13 102/16 102/22 | 87/8 devel |
| 79/7 |  |  | 104/18 104 | 96/11 |
| constucted [1] |  |  | 105/19 | developments [1] 38 did [31] 15/7 16/25 1 |
| constructed [1] 12/3 | country [4] 51/23 81/12 | daily [3] 20/3 20/5 | deny [3] 109/17 109/18 | 10 29/12 37/24 |
| construction [8] 12 | 100/8 100/12 | Dairy [2] 64/9 67/ | 109/20 | 5 44/21 44/2 |
|  | county [10] 26 | damn [1] 65/19 | DEP [4] 18/25 26 | 0 48/18 |
| 93/2 93/5 93/10 | 49/21 72/22 73/22 | danger [1] 43/1 | 53/13 76/23 | 5 |
| $\text { 1] } 90 / 2$ | 90/ | data [1] | Department [1] 36/23 | 54/25 55 |
|  | count | date [3] 79/16 79 | DePaul [7] 2/19 89/20 | 5/24 63/19 65/12 6 |
|  | coupl | 79/17 | 9/23 89/24 91/14 91/23 | 0/11 80/16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { context [2] 17/9 69/6 } \\ & \text { continue [5] 39/9 44/7 } \end{aligned}$ | 87/19 89/10 96/3 105/5 | daughter [1] 44/20 | 93/16 | t [9] 51/1 57/1 61 |
| 70/22 74/15 108/18 | course [15] 7/10 21/5 |  | end [1] 39/8 | 72/15 77/3 95/2 |
| ed [2] 5/13 42 | 25/23 42/8 45/9 |  | depending [3] 7/1 | 106/14 106/16 |
|  | 58/10 62/7 62/7 | 20/6 21/5 25/9 25/14 | $20$ | sel [4] 62/11 62/2 |
| [2] 92/7 | $\begin{aligned} & 67 / 6 \\ & 96 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | difference [3] 20 |
| [31 99 | court [1] | 72/16 72/17 74/13 74/14 | Deputy [1] 53/12 | difference [3] 20 <br> 50/1 83/6 |
| control [3] 99/21 100/5 | courts [2] 72/10 | 83/23 86/7 109/5 | described [2] 58/1 | ferent [15] $12 / 812$ |
|  | courts and [1] 72/10 |  | 59/2 | 23 20/7 20/10 |
| versation [2] 23/24 | covenant [1] 64/20 |  | design [4] 12/14 16/ | 17 27/1 27/4 52/1 |
|  | COVID [1] 53/16 | deal [9] 36/12 3 | 17/2 25/17 | 102/20 102/22 |
| [2] 38/16 | Craig [8] 8/25 36/3 36/9 | 45/11 45/14 54/8 54/1 |  | 3/1 105/8 |
| Coolbaugh [2] 48/10 $56 / 3$ | 36/12 36/15 37/18 39/9 109/15 <br> Craigs [23] 12/23 14/2 | 54/15 64/3 66/24 <br> dealing [4] 103/12 <br> 105/11 107/23 107/24 | designed [2] 32/2 101 <br> destroyed [2] 73/17 <br> 74/15 | dinner [1] 67/9 <br> dioxide [1] 93/25 direct [7] 2/5 2/7 2/10 |




| H | 110/4 110/6 | 92/11 |  | 81/14 81/18 82/14 83/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| half [2] 14/21 60/9 | he'd [1] 9/25 | higher [8] 32/3 52/3 | 68/18 71/15 74/22 74/25 | 84/16 84/23 85/ |
| half [2] 14/21 60/9 | he'll [1] 46/22 | 92/3 104/19 104/25 | 75/1 75/2 75/8 75/10 | 86/3 86/13 89/4 93/15 |
| 55/13 59/14 60/6 60/9 | he's [7] 21/15 37/15 | 105/15 105/16 105/19 | 75/15 82/13 105/6 105 | 93/23 94/10 94/17 94/2 |
| $72 / 189 / 17$ | 39/12 53/18 54/2 55/10 | highest [1] 97/13 | housing [5] 43/2 50/25 | 94/24 95/11 95/14 97/7 |
| handed [1] 42/18 | 64/4 | highway [5] 17/18 | 51/2 79/8 80/8 | 98/9 99/12 100/8 102/5 |
| handing [1] 48/23 | head [4] 30/8 42/1 79/18 | 42/19 56/5 56/13 94/4 | how [45] 16/7 17/17 | 102/9 105/2 105/21 |
| handle [4] 31/16 31/25 |  | hill [2] 87/1 87/14 | 21/9 21/15 25/2 28/3 | 105/22 107/13 109/16 |
| 83/20 95/11 | heading [1] 30/5 | Hillside [8] 36/18 37/2 | 28/7 29/19 30/22 32/8 | 09/16 110/3 |
| handled [1] 28/3 | heads [1] 94/17 | 37/21 81/4 81/11 81/18 | 38/24 38/25 40/18 51/2 | 've [20] 11/24 12/7 |
| handout [5] 3/9 39/11 | health [3] 12/6 37/4 | 82/2 82/3 | 54/4 58/15 64/14 68/11 | 12/10 36/16 37/16 38/ |
| 40/8 57/5 57/15 | 63/3 | him [10] 53/15 53/ | 68/16 68/22 74/7 74/2 | 39/3 39/4 39/4 42/18 |
| hands [2] 99/3 102/3 | hear [25] 21/22 33/11 | 53/18 53/23 55/3 82/9 | 75/14 76/1 76/2 79/7 | 48/8 48/11 56/2 58/24 |
|  | 35/16 45/20 45/22 45/23 | 98/22 110/1 110/2 110/4 | 79/8 79/11 80/5 80/23 | 65/24 67/15 67/15 72/14 |
| $83 / 14$ | 45/25 46/2 49/9 54/20 | hired [1] 41/16 | 86/8 86/10 86/12 86/15 | 93/17 96/2 |
|  | 54/24 57/21 62/9 65/12 | his [12] 7/21 7/21 15/15 | 86/18 88/3 92/2 92/14 | idea [21] 9/24 9/24 |
| 43/21 77/16 78/4 | 70/4 70/15 70/19 71/2 | 36/2 36/8 38/13 42/3 | 97/1 99/17 99/17 103/2 | 11/18 12/10 18/1 18/8 |
| $89 / 10$ | 71/3 74/9 89/20 92/2 | 53/19 53/22 63/20 64/12 | 103/2 103/4 106/17 | 18/16 18/21 21/8 24/7 |
| happening [3] 28/12 | 107/6 107/6 110/4 | 74/10 | However [3] 66/22 77/9 | 24/11 25/12 25/15 25/22 |
| $80 / 13105 / 4$ | heard [8] 35/23 38/7 | historic [1] | 92 | 6/11 28/8 30/11 54/5 |
| happens [7] 6 | 40/10 67/18 74/21 77/20 | historical [1] 17/9 | Huffman [1] | 55/25 64/1 64/10 |
| 20/17 39/7 59/17 67/23 | 90/14 101/25 | history [4] 28/17 29/18 | huge [5] 44/6 81/17 | identification [5] 45/1 |
| $93 / 11$ | hearing [26] 1/ | 41/3 96/24 | 81/17 85/20 93/2 | 48/22 51/8 55/11 57/15 |
| happy [2] 8/16 10/21 | 5/1 5/14 5/14 5/15 5/23 | Ho [3] 37/9 65/3 73/21 | Hugh [1] 53/11 | identified [2] 13/2 13/25 |
|  | 5/25 6/2 6/15 6/20 6/23 | hold [2] 70/17 87/15 | Huh [1] 38/8 | identify [7] 45/3 48/25 |
| has [40] 6/20 8/1 8/6 | 6/25 7/23 23/20 32/10 | Holding [2] 9/13 47/21 | hundred [11] 42/2 42/1 | 51/10 51/14 52/25 62/3 |
| 10/12 13/6 13/11 16/10 | 32/21 32/22 41/4 83/17 | Holdings [1] 9/3 | 43/10 44/15 53/6 75/1 | 89/18 |
| 17/8 17/21 24/15 24/24 | 102/1 106/19 106/22 | holes [3] 42/8 42/13 | 5/2 75/4 88/4 88/21 | III [2] 2/6 39/15 |
| 27/11 29/15 33/7 35/22 | 106/24 107/5 107/15 |  | 105/5 | ustrate [1] 24/ |
| 39/11 39/24 41/13 43/2 | hearings [1] 5/8 | Hollow [2] 35/2 35/2 | hunting [1] 42/3 | image [2] 22/3 96/20 |
| 43/4 43/6 45/6 50/6 51/2 | heart [2] 27/22 67/10 | Holly [4] 10/9 10/10 | hurt [2] 37/13 84/22 | imagine [4] 67/20 67/2 |
| 56/17 57/7 61/17 61/19 |  |  | I |  |
| 64/8 64/24 65/2 70/10 | height [2] 30/17 30/19 | home [2] 41/19 49/18 |  | immediately [1] 42/22 |
| 72/24 83/20 89/17 90/3 | HEILAKKA [3] 1/15 4/15 | homes [12] 41/8 49/3 | I'd [11] | immemorial [2] 90/12 |
| 92/10 94/8 97/25 109/7 | 45/21 | 49/24 59/7 59/10 | 67/5 68/15 69/16 | 90/13 |
|  | held [4] 1/5 5/14 6/20 | 75/11 103/19 104/3 | 86/1 86/3 86/15 87/19 | impact [21] 3/7 40/25 |
| 15/13 15/20 23/7 | 100/17 | 104/8 105/15 105/20 | I'Il [27] 5/10 10/13 11/9 | 41/6 42/9 43/4 43/16 |
| hate [2] 80/3 82/1 | hello [5] | honestly [1] 100/9 | 11/9 11/12 13/17 13/21 | 49/2 49/3 50/2 50/9 |
| hate [2] $80 / 382 / 10$ | 49/8 70/14 7 | honor [1] 34/ | 11 21/10 22/9 22 | 50/24 51/3 51/7 51/17 |
|  | help [3] 36/13 36/15 | honored [1] 93/ | 23/15 29/18 35/24 39/18 | 51/21 52/4 61/8 61/17 |
|  | 91/5 | HOP [1] 42/19 | /2 76/4 86/20 89/17 | 61/19 97/16 108/8 |
| 69/22 102/3 | helped [2] 37/21 37/22 | hope [5] 10/23 38/18 | 93/13 101/25 102/10 | impacted [2] 43/2 108/9 |
|  | her [5] 10/12 15/19 | 39/19 44/17 109/4 | 106/20 106/21 106/23 | impacts [4] 49/14 90/6 |
| $47 / 549 / 1150 / 2452 / 11$ | 44/21 44/22 45/6 | hopefully [1] 107/5 | 107/1 108/18 | 91/7 94/5 |
| 64/11 65/19 70/20 72/18 | here [112] | horrible [1] 73/23 | I'm [90] 11/22 11/23 | impervious [1] 43/3 |
| 65/19 70/20 72/18 | Here's [1] 49/10 | hospital [1] 53/16 | 11/25 12/17 13/8 14/19 | important [5] 37/8 |
|  | hereby [2] 59/2 111/4 | hospitaliza [1] 50/8 | 20/18 20/19 22/3 22/20 | 96/16 97/13 108/24 |
|  | Herman [21] 2/9 9/10 | hospitals [1] 80/11 | 24/25 27/5 27/7 27/20 | 109/14 |
|  | 9/15 36/13 38/13 40/9 | hotel [2] 6/24 81/12 | 34/15 36/7 36/14 36/14 | impossible [1] 84/21 |
|  | 40/18 40/22 45/12 46/20 | hotels [2] 32/14 97/22 | 36/15 36/20 37/1 37/19 | improper [1] 6/5 |
|  | 46/21 47/3 47/5 47/8 | hour [4] 25/25 25/25 | 38/16 38/16 39/19 40/2 | improve [2] 43/20 85/4 |
|  | 47/11 49/11 52/22 56/21 | 74/12 86/5 | 46/16 47/11 48/23 49/15 | improvements [2] |
|  | 56/24 58/15 109/16 | hours [3] 68/6 68/6 | 54/2 57/12 59/18 59/20 | 31/21 83/2 |
| $54 / 5$ | Herman's [2] 9/24 18/21 | 87/16 | 59/23 59/23 60/13 61/2 | incentivized [2] 6/18 |
| 5 55/9 | Heron [2] 73/14 93/20 | house | 61/9 61/13 62/4 63/2 | 32/15 |
|  | hi [3] 67/4 73/1 93/15 | 41/24 65/2 74/25 77/11 | 64/23 65/16 66/8 66/9 | clude [2] 4/12 96/19 |
| $157$ | hi-tech [1] 73/1 | 77/13 100/19 | 67/4 67/7 68/11 69/5 | included [1] 103/13 |
| 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/23 | high [7] 43/10 50/15 | housekeeping [2] 66/10 | 70/18 72/24 74/8 74/19 | includes [3] 10/6 10/8 |
| 98/22 103/11 110/3 | 52/14 53/20 54/3 75/18 | 66/19 | 75/25 75/25 75/25 76/3 | 22/10 |

(8) half - includes

Lehigh [2] 12/5 73/2 Lenape [1] 89/25 LERTA [1] 61/5 less [5] 14/14 17/20 32/5 44/3 50/9 let [8] 11/18 21/10 44/4 48/9 51/1 51/9 57/24 77/3
let's [7] 7/18 16/6 22/18 68/14 87/23 98/24 110/6
letters [3] 5/20 66/17 66/18
letting [1] 61/23
level [2] 32/3 76/13
levels [2] 26/19 26/19
licensed [1] 11/24
life [4] 53/19 98/25
108/10 109/12
lifetime [1] 43/5
light [4] 97/20 99/16 108/10 108/11
lights [2] 62/8 74/13 like [69] 9/25 10/25 16/5 19/17 19/25 20/3 20/24 21/16 25/9 28/18 32/1 32/9 33/1 34/15 37/10 38/8 39/10 44/16 47/12 47/13 49/16 52/14 57/3 58/18 59/11 61/1 61/2 62/19 62/22 66/24 67/5 67/13 70/20 70/21 71/2 72/24 73/23 75/12 76/5
76/24 77/12 79/19 80/12
80/15 81/20 81/21 81/22
82/10 83/18 83/25 84/14
86/2 86/3 86/8 86/15
87/19 89/13 89/16 91/1
91/11 91/14 95/2 98/23
99/16 101/5 102/14
107/8 108/5 108/15
likelihood [2] 17/24 99/22
likely [1] 100/4
line [3] 27/15 89/12 108/3
lines [1] 59/16
listen [1] 63/17
listened [1] 52/22
listening [1] 72/19
little [20] 11/18 16/5
17/11 20/12 23/20 28/17 37/19 39/11 46/8 50/1 59/19 61/15 61/16 61/18 65/9 66/9 68/22 70/1 70/20 74/20
live [20] 27/24 37/13 37/15 58/3 61/10 62/1 62/4 62/6 62/24 73/5

74/19 84/10 85/25 92/6 93/19 95/10 99/3 99/13 102/7 107/21
lived [6] 61/11 67/15
67/16 67/17 69/13 90/10
lives [1] 61/11
living [1] 77/12
LLC [1] 9/9
local [2] 26/18 83/5
locate [1] 34/2
located [1] 36/19
location [1] 99/20 locations [1] 56/1 $\log$ [3] 37/16 41/23 42/6 logistical [1] 84/3 long [4] 8/10 62/10 80/23 92/16 long-sleeved [1] 92/16 longer [2] 79/21 82/2 longest [1] 73/15 look [17] 17/17 20/15 24/14 25/3 25/11 26/10 34/21 59/17 60/7 96/15 96/24 97/3 97/9 104/1 104/12 104/14 106/7 looked [3] 19/6 39/4 56/2
looking [13] 15/25
18/21 20/7 20/13 21/1 22/3 23/5 28/10 28/13 34/12 88/11 88/21 106/9 looks [3] 62/18 70/20 70/21
lopsided [1] 90/17 lose [2] 103/15 106/6 loss [1] 50/12 lost [1] 70/25 lot [25] 19/22 20/10 21/4 26/4 42/17 42/22 52/7 63/15 66/23 67/24 69/4 71/24 72/2 72/3 74/23 74/24 79/3 83/17 86/14 88/6 92/2 102/17 103/3 103/4 107/23
lots [6] 66/1 71/23 75/20 102/18 104/23 104/24
loud [1] 70/2
love [2] 37/22 69/3
loved [2] 67/5 67/8
LOVENHEIM [17] 1/11
4/13 14/16 27/1 27/5 27/8 27/19 34/24 98/6 98/14 106/23 106/25 107/2 107/15 108/18 109/21 109/23 low [5] 50/18 71/20 87/12 102/16 104/11 lower [3] 104/24 105/15 105/15

| LP [1] 9/3 |
| :--- |
| LTS [2] 79/12 82/13 |
| ludicrous [1] 58/18 |
| LVL [1] 4/16 |
| lying [2] 87/12 104/11 |
| M |
| Ma'am [3] 21/13 70/17 |
| $73 / 4$ |
| Madden [1] 40/1 |
| made [11] 6/12 22/5 |
| $35 / 2243 / 752 / 554 / 14$ |
| $54 / 2074 / 2377 / 1878 / 8$ |
| $97 / 4$ | 97/4

magnitude [7] 19/2 20/3 20/7 20/13 20/24 24/18 26/6
mail [3] 66/12 95/3 98/24
main [4] 4/21 23/25
23/25 84/13
maintain [3] 41/14 50/6 50/21
maintaining [1] 96/19
major [1] 84/5
majority [4] 16/19 70/8 104/11 105/19
make [33] 6/3 7/14 7/21
8/19 9/15 10/20 10/22
16/6 35/3 35/17 35/23
38/17 38/23 38/25 54/1
54/13 58/10 66/19 71/2
81/2 84/19 87/2 89/16
89/19 93/1 99/9 101/1
101/18 102/2 102/4
102/5 106/23 107/8
makes [2] 7/17 30/2
making [5] 29/8 35/4
69/22 80/7 91/4
manage [3] 27/12 43/3 47/17
management [3] 18/6
24/6 47/17
Manager [2] 1/15 4/14
manipulated [1] 92/15 manner [1] 8/15
Manor [15] 9/3 9/6 9/6
9/13 10/8 12/21 14/1
14/11 37/17 37/19 42/5
47/21 59/18 109/6 109/7
Manor's [1] 42/8
manufacturing [2]
97/19 97/20
many [14] 4/24 21/15
37/10 68/11 68/16 69/24
74/7 86/8 86/15 86/18
96/25 97/1 97/16 106/17
map [13] 9/7 9/18 12/18
12/20 13/1 23/6 40/11

53/1 58/13 60/1 60/2 76/7 106/9
maps [3] 15/6 60/14 60/17
margins [1] 77/18
Maria [2] 2/18 84/10 mark [3] 57/5 57/7 82/15
marked [9] 45/1 45/3
48/21 51/7 51/10 55/11
55/13 57/4 57/15
market [3] 12/16 35/4 77/25
market's [1] 30/20
Marshalls [45] 1/21 12/24 13/22 14/3 14/15 14/18 14/22 14/25 15/1 15/5 15/8 16/2 19/14 23/2 23/14 23/17 25/19 26/14 27/25 30/23 31/4 35/25 37/13 37/16 40/19 42/16 42/23 43/8 43/8 53/4 53/5 53/6 63/6 74/20 76/14 76/17 81/7 87/10 88/13 88/19 88/20 93/19 99/24 105/14 105/18
Mary [2] 2/15 67/4
Maryland [1] 48/12
match [1] 41/8
Matergia [15] 7/20 8/4
11/15 15/19 21/13 21/20
32/9 36/1 39/4 40/6
45/15 47/7 56/16 99/11 100/25
MATERIA [1] $1 / 17$ materials [1] 27/12 matter [11] 1/6 5/16 5/18 7/11 8/21 8/22 32/18 34/2 73/12 73/13 83/7
matters [5] 6/1 8/9 8/14 101/6 101/8
Maul [8] 2/16 74/19
74/19 75/2 75/5 75/8 76/6 79/6
Maureen [1] 40/1
may [12] 6/6 7/13 16/11 32/7 32/20 32/23 34/20 35/10 57/19 57/22 81/16 99/9
maybe [9] 47/17 51/11
61/14 70/22 73/1 80/2 87/21 94/17 103/6
me [37] 4/6 9/24 10/16 21/18 21/22 21/22 36/2 37/13 37/13 37/15 38/10 38/12 38/18 51/9 51/14 53/1 53/21 56/24 57/24

61/23 62/22 63/17 65/20 68/19 70/20 77/3 81/16 81/16 86/21 88/8 89/20 90/11 91/14 98/23 99/8 107/20 111/5
Meadow [17] 12/23 14/2 23/3 25/19 26/14 27/24 30/23 31/3 59/23 62/1 62/5 71/24 72/23 78/13 100/9 100/10 100/15
Meadows [6] 40/20
40/21 60/7 76/16 76/17 78/23
mean [15] 23/8 32/8
58/17 62/12 62/12 65/2 67/6 67/23 67/25 68/5 75/10 82/13 89/2 92/20 105/4
meaning [3] 23/10 77/15 92/17
means [3] 32/9 91/19 96/13
meant [2] 7/24 22/8
measure [1] 30/8
mechanical [1] 52/15 medical [3] 12/2 12/4 80/13
medicine [1] 98/23
meet [1] 42/16
meeting [9] 4/5 7/15
7/16 7/24 8/1 45/22 58/3 66/10 67/2
meets [1] 62/6
member [3] 66/8 92/10 95/1
members [6] 4/12 8/5
10/4 51/12 66/12 95/3
ment [1] 18/19
mention [5] 12/9 36/16
66/14 90/22 91/24
mentioned [5] 8/6 22/11
33/17 50/20 91/23
mentioning [2] 21/11
73/21
merely [1] 9/17
mess [1] 85/20
message [1] 98/17
met [1] 37/18
metal [1] 97/22
metric [1] 93/25
Michael [1] 9/5
Michele [2] 10/10 10/15
Michele's [1] 14/5 microphone [2] 89/9 107/14
mid [1] 83/3
middle [9] 71/11 72/4
72/5 72/7 81/3 81/4 81/6 82/7 92/11

| M |  |  | 22/16 36/5 58/9 58/19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| might [8] 25 | Mosier's [1] 80/4 | 59/14 59/23 60/3 60/1 | 183/10 85/24 |  |
| 38/1 53/9 56/6 68/17 | most [8] 21/3 56/8 65/6 | 60/16 60/18 60/23 61/9 | 93/17 99/12 102/7 | 10/ |
| 18 103/7 | 77/12 78/12 79/5 101/10 | 61/19 61/25 62/4 63/5 | 107/21 | body [3] 37/12 65/ |
| e [1] $60 / 10$ | 101/ | 63/13 63/16 63/21 63/24 | names [1] | /9 |
| miles [1] 81/8 | motel [1] | 64/6 64/11 64/15 64/22 | nasty [1] 81/8 | ise [2] |
| Milford [3] 35/3 83/11 | motels [2] 32/14 97 | 65/9 65/14 65/16 65/2 | Nation [1] 89/2 | ne [1] 56/7 |
| /13 | mother [2] 37/5 37/6 | 65 | nature [6] 19/24 | rmal [1] 73/ |
| millage [3] 41/17 41/17 | motion [14] 98/15 | 69/9 69/12 69/16 70/6 | 54/1 83/16 101/7 | ally [1] 89/1 |
| /5 | 101/25 106/21 106/23 |  | ] | [1 |
| millages [1] 75/6 |  | 72/1 | nearby [1] 107/22 |  |
| million [23] 18/15 41/7 | 8/19 109/18 | 0 85/3 |  |  |
| 41/8 41/11 41/16 43/25 | 109/19 109/20 109/20 | 8 93/15 | necessarily [4] $7 / 12$ |  |
| 44/1 44/3 44/6 47/17 |  | 1799/12 |  | 105/7 [1] 4715 |
| 48/8 49/17 49/19 49/23 | Mount [2] 85/25 94/25 | 100/8 100/16 100/19 | necessary [1] 10/10 | northeast [1] 47/15 |
| 49/23 50/12 50/18 51/24 | Mountain [11] 12/21 | 107/11 107/13 107/16 | need [17] 18/12 28/15 | northwest [1] 24/5 |
| 52/1 52/1 75/4 84/3 | 14/1 37/17 37/18 41/22 | 107/20 | 38/10 40/22 41/9 41/1 | not [116] |
| 102/10 | 41/24 42/5 42/8 59/18 | much [18] 4/11 29/6 | 43/3 47/13 49/7 50/8 | Notary [1] 111/16 |
| mills [4] 41/17 41/18 | 09/6 109/7 | 34/21 50/9 57/1 68/1 | 54/9 57/14 | noted [1] 90/16 |
| 77/6 77/6 | move [3] 17/25 83/22 | 72/15 72/19 80/5 80/15 | 73/25 87/16 98/22 | nothing [4] 6/5 90/24 |
| nd [11] |  | 86/11 86/12 87/1188/3 | needed [3] 50/19 63 |  |
| 23 13/17 14/21 17/15 | oving [2] 12/15 67/12 |  |  |  |
| 58/7 62/20 81/2 |  | multi [2] 20/8 20 | negative [1] 41/11 |  |
| /6 | mowed [2] <br> Mr [128] | multi-use [2] 20/8 20/8 | negative [1] 41/11 negatively [1] 59/9 | $19$ |
| mine [1] 82/7 | Mr. [36] 5/12 9/10 9/15 | multiple [2] 26/19 56/11 | neighbor [1] 58/17 | 3/5 38/21 39/24 39/25 |
| Minh [3] 37/9 65/3 73/2 | 9/24 10/25 15/14 16/24 | Municipal [2] 1/7 43/19 | neighbors [2] 58/23 | 1143 |
| minus [1] 25/13 | $133 / 633$ | municipalities [1] 95/21 | 61/10 | 退 |
| [2] 46/22 87/2 es [1] 89/10 | 336 | municipality [4] 50/6 | network [2] 12/6 25/10 | 63/17 66/7 67/2 67/12 |
| 1] 89/10 <br> g [1] 90/21 | 39/2 40/22 42/1 44/1 | 52/24 96/6 96/20 | er [8] 8/14 8/15 29 | 68/24 72/14 72/22 73/25 |
|  | 45/12 46/6 46/11 46/2 | MURRAY [8] 1/21 36/ | 63/10 67/24 68/1 68/2 | 8/21 84/13 84/19 85/2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ing [1] } \\ & 86 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 47/3 47/8 47/25 48/2 | 81/2 82/3 | 108/6 | 8/13 89/12 90/14 93/2 |
|  | 52/22 52/22 56/21 57 | $388 / 8$ | nevertheless [3] 10/12 | 20 100/2 100/5 |
| sstatement [1] 91/21 | 58/15 64/8 93/16 106/25 | my [81] 11/6 13/6 30/8 | 0/22 | 1/13 102/16 105/ |
| Mitch [4] 2/20 66/8 | 109/21 | 37/5 | new [14] 37/2 39/24 | 110/5 |
| 66/14 94/24 | Mr. | 37/6 37/12 37/15 37/22 | 50/17 50/22 51/17 52/8 | number [7] |
| mitigate [1] 93/6 | Mr. Chair [1] 5/12 | 41/5 43/5 44/16 44/20 |  | 86/17 90/23 91/24 91/25 |
| mixed [10] 6/176/ | Mr. Chairman [1] 44/1 <br> Mr. Cote [7] 16/24 33/6 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 1046 / 1947 / 1847 / 2 \\ & 50 / 1354 / 958 / 1958 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | next [9] |  |
| /18 | $33 / 1435 / 1439 / 247 / 25$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { next [9] } \\ 35 / 14 \text { 42/14 } 44 / 153 \\ 47 / 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[15] 19 / 18} \\ & 525 / 729 / 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 24/21 26/2 32/1 | $48 / 2$ | 62/24 64/3 64/19 66/8 | 58/16 82/5 84/5 | 41/4 68/11 69/11 |
| [1] 44/11 | Mr. DePaul [1] 93/16 | 66/19 67/10 67/18 70/10 | nice [3] 66/1 68/2 | 9 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { moment [5] 19/4 } \\ 32 / 771 / 1487 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | Mr. DePetris [1] 64/8 | 25 | 80/25 | 92/14 103/8 |
| money [10] 28/22 | Mr. Elwood [1] 42/1 | 74/6 74/6 74/10 77/20 | night [6] 26/1 62/10 | 0 |
| 52/11 66/2 69/20 69/22 |  | 83/10 83/15 83/18 83/24 | nig | o'clock [1] 53/15 |
| 73/11 73/11 80/7 92/4 |  | 85/24 87/18 89/23 90/10 | no [62] 2/3 3/4 9/19 | O'Merle [21] 2/13 2/15 |
| Monroe [3] 72/22 76/2 | 46/21 47/8 52/22 56/21 <br> 58/15 | 90/11 90/15 90/18 93/8 | no/62] 26/15 27/3 33/10 | 58/11 58/11 67/4 67/4 |
| NTGOMERY [2] | Mr. Herman's [2] 9/24 | 93/17 93/23 94/6 98/3 | 35/13 40/22 40/2 | 68/11 68/14 69/9 69 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NTGOMERY [2] } \\ & 144 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 18/21 | 98/9 99/12 100/19 102/3 | 43/16 45/2 48/22 50 | /16 70/6 71/6 |
| re [30] 5/19 | Mr. John [3] 9/10 10/25 | 102/4 102/7 102/8 1 | 8 51/8 51/10 5 | 15 71/18 71/22 7 |
| 14/14 16/5 17/20 37/8 | 47 | 103/8 105/3 105/25 | 55/12 55/14 56/19 56/20 | 6 72/11 72/14 |
| 49/7 49/10 50/18 | Mr. Lovenheim | 107/16 107/20 108/2 | 22 57/1 57/8 57/16 | object [1] 27/16 |
| 52/5 52/11 58/24 62/18 | 106/25 109/21 | 108/18 109/4 111/7 | 60/5 60/5 60/5 60/5 61 | Obviously [1] 52/1 |
| 72/8 79/4 81/8 83/7 86/6 | /3 | 111 | /19 63/2 | Occupancy [1] $42 /$ |
| 87/19 91/2 91/11 91/12 |  |  | 4/1564/17 |  |
| 1/20 9 |  | N |  | [1] |
| 92/5 92/8 104/22 <br> morning [2] 20/18 25/25 | 80/23 82/21 110/1 | name [14] 11/6 11/7 | 79/21 82/2 85/12 85/1 | Odd [1] 67/24 |

 78/11 89/2
okay [29] 35/12 35/15 38/15 39/13 46/5 46/21 47/11 60/3 61/9 61/11 62/15 63/12 64/6 68/18 69/16 70/24 72/11 72/14 75/23 79/10 81/10 88/1 89/3 89/21 97/2 100/19 103/17 104/20 106/20 old [3] 19/8 36/17 95/24 oldest [2] 44/20 81/11 on-site [1] 75/16 Once [2] 41/5 89/3 one [41] 5/19 10/9 10/9
15/4 15/7 16/3 19/22 28/9 28/24 32/7 36/20 41/21 43/11 46/16 56/25 57/7 59/20 59/22 60/18 62/13 63/5 64/21 70/3
76/9 77/9 80/1 81/11 81/13 84/2 86/22 87/25 89/17 91/17 91/19 93/12 94/11 94/13 104/22 108/2 109/3 110/6
one-fifth [1] 64/21
ones [2] 37/20 104/25
only [24] 19/11 21/25
25/17 25/18 26/18 30/12
33/17 34/8 37/20 43/3
44/2 46/17 46/19 50/17
55/21 58/3 64/6 66/21
70/11 98/9 102/18
105/11 105/14 109/1
open [20] 8/19 8/23
10/23 33/6 43/25 58/2 77/15 78/7 86/10 86/11 86/12 86/13 86/16 87/4 87/7 87/9 88/3 88/5

88/18 93/4
opened [2] 5/16 42/13 opening [2] 83/16 83/25 opens [1] 73/8
operations [1] 27/12
opinions [1] 8/17
opportunities [1] 23/23 opportunity [8] 5/19 21/14 21/21 46/18 101/17 101/20 101/22 106/16
oppose [1] 59/5 opposed [4] 10/16 32/22 50/25 86/7
opposite [1] 26/9 opposition [2] 66/12 66/15
option [1] 6/18
options [3] 26/14 32/15 44/17
ORCHARD [5] 1/3 4/22 9/9 62/1 62/5
order [9] 6/16 19/2 20/2 20/12 20/24 24/18 26/6 39/23 90/21
ordering [1] 27/15 orders [1] 20/7 ordinance [4] 9/14 24/11 99/1 103/1 ordinances [1] 98/21 organization [1] 38/13 orientate [1] 11/10 orientated [1] 17/20 orientation [4] 11/12 13/17 21/25 22/24
oriented [1] 96/11
original [4] 28/18 29/16 86/11 86/14
originally [1] 81/14 other [43] 9/19 10/8 10/19 14/6 15/23 16/1 16/17 18/3 18/6 19/20 23/23 24/20 26/8 28/13 35/13 38/22 41/4 44/3 54/21 55/6 55/24 61/12 64/16 65/1 66/12 69/18 75/12 77/2 82/9 83/25 90/5 90/8 91/5 93/13 94/5 94/7 97/19 99/23 100/18 103/2 103/15 103/17 105/25 others [3] 46/18 58/5 96/19
otherwise [2] 34/10 108/14
ought [1] 80/2
our [37] 4/15 4/15 4/25 5/11 8/8 17/24 23/22 35/14 39/23 40/9 41/17

57/20 59/7 59/9 59/10 $\quad$ PA [5] 1/8 1/18 1/22 62/13 62/23 65/25 66/12 $\quad 1 / 24$ 58/2
66/20 72/23 77/4 77/18 packers [1] 52/13
77/25 83/10 84/14 85/2 Page [2] 2/3 3/4
85/16 90/3 92/4 92/8
96/6 98/21 99/4 99/6
99/9 101/5
out [29] 8/12 13/8 17/22
18/10 25/17 26/12 27/13 28/22 28/24 28/25 36/14
36/23 37/24 41/10 42/18 51/6 51/12 58/23 62/18 69/6 76/1 77/16 81/18 82/6 86/2 95/17 96/1 99/2 104/13
outdoorsman [1] 41/25
Outlet [1] 62/6
outline [1] 49/1
outlined [1] 106/8
outside [4] 71/12 71/13
72/7 88/14
over [34] 4/25 5/10 21/5 21/6 25/9 25/23 29/7 36/24 39/1 39/1 42/15 43/7 49/23 50/12 52/6 52/18 56/2 60/3 60/7 60/8 62/18 64/25 65/9 68/22 70/2 75/23 80/12 83/2 88/10 90/8 92/18 92/23 92/25 93/9
overall [13] 12/18 14/9
15/12 15/23 16/21 17/17
18/4 19/10 23/9 24/4 29/11 69/11 106/8
overlaid [1] 12/19
overlay [1] 109/10
overlooking [1] 102/10
overnight [1] 86/7
Owl [1] 22/1
own [10] 5/6 36/8 36/18
37/5 42/21 47/14 47/14
62/19 64/22 93/20
owned [9] 10/7 10/8
10/9 10/9 23/12 37/6
41/21 41/22 56/11
owner [6] 9/4 10/11
38/19 41/24 64/4 80/21
owners [3] 9/1 23/12
59/1
ownership [1] 23/19
owns [1] 103/12
Ozgun [6] 2/22 107/11
107/13 107/16 107/20 107/21

| $\bar{P}$ |
| :--- |
| P.E [3] 1/14 2/4 11/3 |

P.E [3] 1/14 2/4 11/3
p.m [2] 1/9 110/10
P.O [1] 1/24

Pam [3] 2/17 63/12 80/20
paper [1] 42/18
parallel [2] 14/25 23/17
paramount [1] 56/15
parcel [8] 14/5 14/6
16/3 18/3 18/4 46/18
66/25 108/24
parcels [22] 4/23 10/9
13/2 13/18 13/20 13/24
14/1 14/6 15/6 15/17
15/18 15/20 15/22 15/22
15/23 23/10 23/11 23/12
32/12 56/10 102/9 109/1
park [14] 43/9 44/15
53/8 54/1 58/14 58/16
63/15 68/22 68/23 68/24
69/2 69/3 75/23 109/5
parking [16] 17/21
18/16 42/17 42/22 63/7
63/11 63/15 71/23 71/24
72/2 72/3 72/8 72/13
75/20 88/6 88/17
parks [4] 44/14 66/2
68/21 97/21
part [21] 15/11 16/1
16/18 17/2 43/18 45/7
51/22 61/12 66/25 73/1
76/25 89/1 89/2 93/8
93/18 94/2 96/1 99/15 99/18 100/5 106/5
particular [12] 7/11 7/25
15/16 17/3 18/14 18/18 26/9 27/16 29/5 30/16 31/2 88/17
parties [2] 90/4 111/10
parts [1] 55/24
party [2] 7/13 90/5
pass [2] 49/6 51/11
passenger [3] 25/20
26/13 69/8
passer [1] 29/24
passer-bys [1] 29/24
past [3] 19/6 37/17 48/9
Pat [1] 37/14
paths [1] 42/14
patient [1] 101/3
paved [1] 42/14
pavilions [1] 44/9
pay [6] 58/4 81/23 81/24 92/7 92/10 93/20
paying [6] 77/12 77/13
80/6 81/20 81/21 82/22
pays [2] 83/1 83/5
peace [1] 67/21
peaceful [2] 58/16 59/7
peak [9] 20/15 20/16
20/16 20/23 21/6 21/6
21/7 25/24 25/24
PennDOT [14] 26/20
81/6 85/5 85/8 85/11
85/14 85/18 92/18 92/24
92/25 93/1 93/5 93/10
100/7
PennDOT's [2] 33/24 92/20
Pennsylvania [9] 4/19
11/24 42/2 43/13 48/13
77/24 78/24 89/25 95/16
people [43] 19/22 20/11
20/13 20/16 21/3 21/4
22/13 22/24 24/14 24/24
26/6 28/18 43/15 47/13
51/25 52/11 52/13 56/11
59/12 61/17 62/12 65/4
65/6 70/2 71/1 74/2 74/2
78/23 81/25 82/15 89/15
91/24 91/25 92/8 95/9
95/23 96/16 96/25 98/25
101/11 106/17 107/20 107/23
per [9] 41/16 50/12
50/16 50/22 52/6 65/15
74/25 78/16 93/25
percent [2] 41/6 52/19
percentage [1] 95/9
perhaps [1] 27/24
period [6] 34/11 41/18
52/7 58/1 58/1 58/2
permit [3] 25/17 31/22
42/19
permits [2] 77/8 78/21
permittable [1] 34/10
permitted [6] 6/17 6/18
6/19 10/1 30/18 30/20
permitting [1] 12/14
perpetuity [1] 54/6
person [8] 7/9 38/10
38/19 55/10 71/5 82/12
93/14 104/21
personal [1] 98/10
personally [4] 37/12
62/16 62/22 65/4
perspective [4] 85/17
99/25 105/2 105/23
Pete's [1] 68/24
petition [10] 1/3 4/23
5/3 5/4 9/17 45/4 99/9 100/25 107/7 107/9
petitioner [7] 1/19 3/4
45/2 55/12 55/14 57/9
57/16
Petitioner's [4] 48/22
48/24 51/8 51/10

## $\mathbf{P}$ <br> petitioners [1] 108/22 <br> phase [1] 41/20 <br> pheasant [1] 42/4 <br> Philadelphia [1] 109/9 phone [3] 44/11 63/9 64/3 <br> photo [1] 89/18 <br> phrase [1] 90/15 <br> physical [2] 30/3 30/12 <br> pick [3] 34/9 64/2 79/23 <br> picture [3] 15/2 86/20 <br> 99/15 <br> piece [4] 13/14 15/25 29/18 61/16 <br> Pine [1] 102/8 <br> place [7] 22/2 52/18 62/18 62/19 64/7 64/16 67/10 <br> places [2] 67/24 68/4 <br> plague [1] 64/24 <br> plan [26] 5/6 13/25 17/6 <br> 18/1 19/8 19/9 24/2 <br> 24/15 24/16 32/19 35/1 <br> 75/15 75/19 79/12 86/12 <br> 86/14 95/19 95/20 96/5 <br> 96/10 96/15 97/5 98/1 <br> 98/4 98/11 109/8 <br> planned [8] 16/12 24/22 79/14 102/19 102/21 102/25 104/18 106/2 <br> planner [1] 109/9 <br> planning [13] 28/2 <br> 31/12 31/13 54/17 66/6 <br> 66/11 67/1 86/9 95/1 <br> 95/4 95/13 95/17 96/4 <br> plans [4] 32/14 64/8 79/2 85/4 <br> plant [3] 75/17 78/13 78/14 <br> plantings [1] 30/15 please [11] 4/6 17/13 37/12 40/7 47/2 48/23 58/9 70/3 76/8 89/18 107/19

pledge [1] 4/7
plus [12] 15/9 16/21
19/19 20/6 24/20 25/13
42/23 43/16 52/5 69/14
74/22 81/22
PM [5] 4/4 20/16 20/23 25/24 74/12
Pocono [2] 12/4 82/3
Poconos [4] 36/17
65/21 82/10 96/21
point [28] 13/8 13/8
13/19 17/22 17/22 19/23 22/1 24/8 26/11 26/16 28/4 32/25 33/25 34/9

34/12 34/24 37/1 43/5 44/4 64/3 64/18 81/24 83/3 91/13 98/10 101/24 105/3 106/21
police [1] 50/8
policy [1] 96/11
pollution [3] 62/21 94/1 108/7
pond [2] 60/4 60/8
pond's [1] 60/5
ponds [4] 76/11 76/13
76/13 89/7
pony [1] 88/9
poor [1] 78/25
poorly [1] 83/19
pop [1] 76/7
popular [2] 101/6
101/12
popularity [1] 101/7
population [1] 41/12
porch [1] 74/6
portion [11] 5/1 13/14
14/9 14/12 15/10 15/16
19/11 23/18 23/19 24/5
52/23
portions [2] 22/21 23/14 position [3] 39/25 78/5 90/2
possibility [1] 18/22
possible [3] 40/15 95/5 109/11
possibly [1] 22/2
potential [2] 36/9 109/7 practice [1] 27/14 practiced [1] 47/12 practicing [1] 98/23 precise [1] 26/22 predominant [2] 13/25 14/8
predominantly [2] 13/10 97/7
prefer [1] 108/13
preferred [1] 79/1
preliminarily [2] 17/6 75/14
preliminary [2] 74/23 79/12
prepare [2] 48/18 96/5
prepared [3] 29/10
46/25 55/16
prerogative [1] 8/21
present [11] 1/16 1/20
7/6 10/13 10/24 32/8 36/3 40/14 59/11 96/7 101/22
presentation [10] 7/22 8/8 8/17 10/20 21/19 21/23 27/21 35/22 54/18 57/20
presented [1] 47/25
presents [1] 101/17
preserve [1] 42/3
president [2] 53/13
94/10
press [1] 89/13
presuming [1] 86/4
pretty [3] 65/25 80/14
87/1
previous [1] 83/4
previously [6] 16/12
19/5 19/10 24/16 32/3 104/10
PRIDE [15] 1/11 4/11 4/13 46/23 60/15 66/5 72/20 85/2 85/8 89/13 102/5 106/25 107/3 107/12 109/24
primary [8] 20/15 24/8 28/6 28/9 28/15 28/15 31/18 47/18
principal [1] 9/10
printing [2] 97/23 108/1
prior [9] 12/9 14/24
16/13 16/25 19/8 24/17 31/12 32/4 106/12
priority [2] 74/16 97/13
private [3] 42/3 83/12 96/14
probably [7] 41/18
49/16 50/18 57/5 58/24 97/1 108/23
problem [2] 77/4 78/2
problems [1] 81/13
proceed [6] 7/4 7/19
7/21 9/15 47/2 83/8
proceeding [4] 5/7 8/7
57/3 101/5
process [2] 28/7 28/11
processed [1] 27/18
processing [1] 97/22
produce [2] 41/7 41/9
profession [1] 80/13 professional [2] 9/11 98/22
professor [1] 8/11 program [1] 103/1 project [34] 5/21 7/25 10/3 12/1 16/25 17/2 17/5 19/25 28/2 29/2 29/13 29/16 29/20 31/12 31/13 31/14 31/16 32/1 32/6 41/2 41/3 41/6 41/20 43/17 44/5 49/16 49/17 55/7 58/25 63/20 64/5 90/22 94/2 94/12
projected [1] 50/11
projecting [1] 13/6
projections [1] 41/5
projects [9] 12/15 48/16 $57 / 25$ 58/2 63/3 70/23 83/16 83/25 84/4 91/8 75/7 83/7 95/5 111/16 93/2 93/6 101/15 prominent [1] 23/24 promised [1] 63/7 promoting [1] 96/21 proper [1] 99/20 properties [15] 6/10 7/2 9/23 11/11 12/8 12/20 47/21 54/21 56/4 56/9 87/8 99/21 100/18 102/23 103/11
property [90] 8/10 9/1
9/4 9/6 9/7 9/12 9/13 9/16 9/25 10/6 10/7 10/8 10/11 10/11 11/19 12/18 14/10 14/11 14/11 15/3 15/4 15/12 15/16 16/7 16/9 16/10 16/15 16/19 16/22 17/16 17/24 19/3 19/15 22/22 23/1 24/4 24/10 24/16 24/17 38/11 38/19 39/13 41/21 42/6 42/25 44/2 44/10 44/22 45/6 45/9 47/22 49/13 49/13 52/23 59/1 59/4 59/4 59/5 59/9 59/18 59/24 61/13 61/16 63/6 63/10 63/18 64/13 64/20 64/22 65/24 66/1 77/6 77/17 78/20 80/21 83/10 83/11 83/14 91/15 93/20 94/14 96/14 99/16 100/5 100/17 102/10 102/15 103/11 103/18 104/9 proposal [3] 29/16 71/19 108/5
proposed [18] 10/5
16/13 17/14 19/5 19/10 23/22 24/17 28/19 31/13 31/17 32/4 32/23 32/24 45/10 52/24 61/8 105/1 105/20
proposes [1] 9/17
proposing [3] 54/7
54/23 59/16
protect [2] 43/19 43/22 protest [1] 59/3
provide [1] 55/20
provided [2] 17/21 23/7
provides [1] 96/13
providing [1] 89/9 proximity [2] 97/14 97/15
public [28] 1/5 2/12 4/22 7/23 7/24 7/25 8/1 8/2 8/6 10/5 11/18 16/7 32/17 35/21 36/20 42/23 47/9 55/24 57/22 57/25
pump [1] 78/13
purchase [6] 9/12 40/19
40/23 44/2 54/10 54/15
purchasing [1] 27/17
purpose [5] 25/18 26/5
33/4 73/6 92/15
purposes [4] 12/13
17/16 24/13 25/25
pursuant [1] 4/18
pushing [1] 62/17
put [33] 6/13 6/17 7/8
7/18 11/9 15/14 15/24
22/1 22/7 29/13 29/20
38/1 69/25 71/15 73/12 74/8 75/22 77/16 77/19 78/5 78/20 80/5 80/17 80/25 81/18 85/11 86/2 95/15 98/1 98/18 100/1 102/18 108/1
putting [3] 24/1 84/17 85/4
Pyramid [1] 37/4

## Q

quail [1] 42/3
quality [10] 42/11 43/10
43/20 43/23 53/20 54/3
61/22 75/13 75/18 109/12
quarter [2] 20/25 26/1
quasi [1] 7/6
Queen [2] 64/9 67/23
question [15] 11/11
21/14 22/8 24/14 35/19
46/16 58/1 70/11 71/7
74/20 78/10 86/17 88/2
90/18 104/17
questions [28] 7/9 7/10
7/14 21/9 26/4 33/6 33/7 33/9 33/10 33/13 35/14
40/12 45/15 45/16 45/18
46/6 46/11 46/12 46/24
56/17 56/19 56/20 56/21
57/1 60/19 60/21 85/25
90/15
quick [4] 20/2 81/3
86/17 99/25
quickly [3] 22/20 22/23 76/23
quiet [1] 67/21
quite [2] 58/22 77/3
quote [1] 92/17

## R

R-1 [8] 4/23 16/9 59/4
71/20 79/8 102/16
108/14 109/11
R.P.R [2] 111/3 111/15
(13) petitioners - R.P.R

| R | 70/13 71/6 71/7 106/7 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| se [1] 90/5 | red | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 15 / 2 \text { 23/1 } 24 / 236 / 895 / 8 \\ & \text { request [3] } 9 / 895 / 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ | revenue [1] 41/7 review [3] 5/20 5/20 | room [8] 45/22 46/9 |
| ised [3] 59/14 89/17 | reduces [1] | $101 / 5$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { revew } \\ 57 / 13 \end{array}$ | 58/13 65/7 70/15 70/ |
| ises [1] 66/15 | reducin | re | re |  |
| lake [2] 63/18 63/19 | refer [6] 13/18 15/12 | $\text { requesting [2] } 6 / 332$ | rezone (5]/19 90/22 | 75/9 76/18 94 |
| ALPH [7] 1/17 7/19 | references [2] 74/24 | require [4] 31/21 86/18 | rezoned [7] 9/23 10/12 | 75/9 761894 |
|  |  | 94/15 101/8 |  | round [5] 3 |
| [2] 28 | referencing [2] 102/24 | required [4] 5/5 18/13 30/19 60/25 |  | round-about [5] 31/25 |
| nge | referendum [2] 4 | requirements [1] 42/17 | 66/20 73/7 90/6 91/15 | round-about [5] $31 / 1$ |
| nk |  | requires [1] 102/17 | Ridge [2] 44/11 102 | roundabout [3] 83 |
|  | referred [5] 12/4 1 | requiring [1] 54/10 | right [39] 8/22 12/2 16/9 | 83/23 85/15 |
| $\text { e [1] } 41 / 19$ | 14/10 15/19 16/12 | rescheduled [1] 5/18 | 17/1 17/23 37/17 40/1 | rounds [1] 7 |
| eable [2] 77/10 77/13 | referring [8] 12/17 25/7 | research [3] 38/15 | 43/14 56/8 60/7 60/9 | route [27] 13 |
| $\text { ted [1] } 35 / 8$ | 26/3 34/14 58/12 61/7 | 50/13 97/19 | 6 67/16 | 13/15 14/4 17 |
| ther [4] 68/15 | 79 | reside [1] 72/2 | 76/12 76/25 80/17 83/20 | 17/25 24/9 25/17 |
| 9/17 69/17 | refused [1] | residences [1] 105 | /5 84/13 88/12 89/1 | /11 31/19 3 |
| tio [7] 41/14 4 | regard [2] 6/12 58/7 | resident [1] 94/25 | 92/1 94/19 94/21 95/ | 3/24 33/25 34/5 |
| 50/20 50/21 66/16 83/1 | regarding [2] 10/23 | residential [41] 16 | 96/8 98/16 99/20 100 | /7 34/9 34/10 40/5 |
| 83 | 15/19 | 16/16 19/17 20/1 20/8 | 100/5 100/24 102/16 | 2/24 43/9 67/22 73/20 |
| ionale [ | regardle | 20/9 24/19 24/22 26 | 3/13 105/7 106/18 | /5 |
| [3] 1/3 29/12 3 | [2] 29/4 29/10 | 50/5 59/5 67/13 67/13 | 108/9 110/5 | ute 402 [2] 40/2 |
| did [1] 29/12 | regular [2] 4/5 74/1 regulating [1] 96/13 |  | river [2] 43/20 65/1 road [91] $1 / 8$ 1/21 $12 / 1$ | routed [1] 33/18 |
| re-enforced [1] 35/6 | $\text { regulation [1] } 97 / 12$ | $73 / 177 / 877 / 1279 / 8$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 12 / 24 & 12 / 24 \\ 13 / 22 & 14 / 2 \end{array}$ | routes [1] 34/2 |
| reach [1] 104/25 reached [1] 28/24 | regulations [2] 33/2 | 79/14 | 5 14/18 14/2 | utine [1] $6 / 5$ |
| [1] $18 / 24$ | 96/12 | 84 | 15/1 15/1 15/5 15/8 | les [1] 79/14 |
|  | related [2] 28/14 7 | 9 | 15/23 16/3 19/14 21/1 | [2] 34/20 50/19 |
| real [7] 9/10 35/11 47 | relates [1] 40/10 | 102/21 102/25 104/1 | 23/2 23/3 | running [4] 14/25 28 |
| 7/19 49/20 59/15 82/2 | relative [7] 17/16 2 | 104/18 108/6 | 23/17 23/17 24/24 25/19 | 67/20 69/23 |
| realize [4] 11/11 21/3 | 25/14 29/19 32/5 111/10 | res | /19 26/14 26/15 27/24 | runoff [2] 75/19 76 |
| 2/8 86/1 | 111 | re | 27/25 31/3 31/4 33/22 | runs [2] 23/17 78/14 |
| ally [13] | remain [1] 102/1 | 29/24 40/16 76/3 92 | 35/2 35/2 35/3 35/5 | ral [1] $97 / 7$ |
| 22/20 56/22 | remaining [2] 105 | 97/6 97/15 98/11 | 37/13 37/16 38/2 38/19 | rush [3] 25/25 |
| 69/7 70/18 75/19 80/16 | 105/ | resonate [1] 90/7 | 40/19 40/20 40/21 53/4 | 74/12 |
| 84/22 87/7 106/11 | remember [8] 37 | resort [6] 42/5 77/8 |  | Russ [1 |
| 1] 55 | 72/17 81/21 | resorts [5] 77/7 7 |  | 63/5 65/23 |
| reason [6] $4 / 2458 / 18$ $56 / 2563 / 3$ $93 / 5100 / 1$ | rem | 82/9 84/22 84/2 | 73/24 74/10 74/20 76/1 | 82/8 109/15 |
| 6/25 63/3 93/5 100/1 | rendering [1] 19, | respect [6] 5/21 8/17 | 76/17 81/7 83/2 83/1 | Russell [6] |
| Rebecca [3] 2/19 93/13 $93 / 17$ | re | 10/19 37/20 49/12 | 18 84/20 84/20 84/20 | 36/2 39/15 46/12 65/ |
| all | rentals [1] | 109/15 | $485 / 6$ 85/8 85/9 | RVs [1] 74/1 |
| recap [1] 22/20 |  |  |  | S |
|  | reply [1] | rest [1] 104/8 | 100/10 100/23 | safety [2] 28/14 59/1 |
|  | report [6] 3/8 55/8 55/9 | restriction [1] 34/1 | 102/8 105/14 | safety-related [1] 28/14 |
|  | 55/11 55/15 55/16 | restrictions [6] 33/21 | 105/18 109/3 | said [39] $7 / 177 / 188$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { recognition [1] 29/1 } \\ & \text { recognize [3] } 8 / 2021 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | REPORTING [1] | 34/2 34/4 34/18 34/18 | ds [14] 17/18 26/1 | /23 22/21 38/2 |
|  | represent [7] 8/24 8/24 | 80/15 | 28/14 30/22 30/25 31/15 |  |
| record [17] 4/9 4/20 | /9 8 | restrictive [1] | 50/4 50/5 67/25 73/2 |  |
| 2/1 13/5 16/7 30/4 47/8 | representative [2] | restrooms [1] | 73/25 74/14 92/21 92/21 |  |
| 51/9 51/14 57/9 57/13 |  |  | 25/ |  |
| 7/17 57/18 57/19 95/5 | representatic $62 / 1362 / 14$ | Resulting [1] 49/20 results [2] 96/2 96/17 |  |  |
| $102 / 1107 / 18$ | represented [3] | resume [1] 93/13 | 33/8 45/16 63/8 6 | 96/15 96/16 96/1 |
| $\text { [12] } 1 / 713 / 114 / 13$ | 23/13 23/19 representing [1] | retail [6] 16/17 19/18 19/19 24/19 25/4 52/19 | 63/25 109/18 | 97/11 98/24 99/7 108/20 sake [1] 17/12 |

(14) raise - sake

| S | $65$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| salary [1] 50/22 | see [35] 11/12 13/1 | shopping [2] 80/8 97/20 short [1] 93/19 | sleep [1] 72/18 sleeps [1] 87/13 | sooner [1] 83/13 sorry [8] 67/7 67/10 |
| sale [2] $45 / 980 / 19$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { see [35] 11/12 } 13 / 1 \\ 14 / 1319 / 120 / 121 / 1 \end{gathered}$ | should [10] 6/24 7/2 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { sleeps [1] } 87 / 13 \\ \text { sleeved [1] } 92 / 16 \end{array}$ |  |
| es [1] $52 / 19$ me [11] 23/19 25/1 | 21/23 22/2 22/18 | 33 | sliver [2] 15/2 23/15 |  |
| 1/1 33/1 49/21 62/1 | 25/24 33/11 33/12 3 | 66 | slope [4] 86/23 87/10 | [1] |
| 62/14 78/2 82/8 108/1 | 39/1 39/23 42/19 52/1 | 106/15 | 105/14 105/ | [2] 10 |
| 117 | 55// 59/15 59/16 61/2 | shouldn' | sloped [3] 56/9 | sound [1] 10/24 |
| sat [1] 38/9 | 62/8 74/8 75/19 76/1 | show [2] 88/23 90 |  | [1] |
| save [2] 35/15 93/23 | 76/12 84/3 84/8 87/1 95/6 98/19 99/5 100/20 | showed [2] 15/750 showing [6] 14/25 | slopes [9] 86/14 86/ 86/24 87/1 87/5 87/6 | [3] 24/6 30/6 heast [1] 24/5 |
| say [38] 17/5 29/18 31/6 | 104/14 | 17/23 18/15 23/3 24/3 | 87/13 103/21 103/22 | $\text { uthern [1] } 14 / 1$ |
|  | seeded [1] |  | slow [1] | southwest [1] 12 |
| 36/7 39/18 53/24 57/2 | seeing [2] |  |  |  |
| 仿 66/10 67/2 67/5 |  | 87/8 99/20 100/2 | [6] 10/8 | 77 |
| 69/9 72/25 80/2 80/25 |  | sh | 77/18 95/9 97/18 | 86/11 86/12 86/13 86/16 |
| 81/19 82/10 82/17 85/11 | seem [3] 90/16 90 | shut [1] 37/3 | 5maller [6] $48 / 9$ | 87/5 87/7 87/9 88/3 88/5 |
| 1 | 102/14 | sic [1] 108/4 | 52/3 56/10 102/23 | 88/18 [1] 18/16 |
| 6 | seems [4] 71/2 90/17 | side [21] 12/22 14/19 | smallest | spaces [1] 18/16 |
| 96/3 96/24 101/4 103/18 | 91/14 109/11 <br> seeps [1] 76/23 | 14/22 15/1 15/17 15/23 16/2 19/13 23/16 23/21 | smallest [1] 103/6 smell [2] 62/11 62/21 | speak [6] 21/14 22/17 44/21 76/20 78/3 93/16 |
| 108/16 109/16 110/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seeps [1] } 76 / 23 \\ & \text { select [1] } 4 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 16/2 19/13 23/16 23/21 $23 / 22$ 28/14 53/5 65/1 | smell [2] 62/11 62/21 SMITHFIELD [23] 1/1 | $\text { speaker [2] } 91 / 1192 / 1$ |
| saying [9] 58/5 60/13 64/15 82/23 85/13 85 | sell [1] 40/20 | 72/1 86/23 88/7 8 | 1/6 4/5 12/2 40/15 41 | speakers [8] $2 / 190 / 16$ |
|  | selling [4] 37/25 38/12 | 88/13 94/14 105/8 | /18 44/6 54/21 55/25 | 90/20 91/4 91/9 91/23 |
|  | 39/12 63/18 | sides [1] 59/18 | 15 79/2 81/3 81/4 | 4/7 |
| 5 85/19 95/16 951 | send [3] 64/24 | sight [1] 106/7 | 6 82/7 82/17 82 | speaking [2] 69/6 70/4 |
| 97/6 97/18 |  | sign [2] 65/2 99 | 97/6 98/20 | specific [3] 13/18 34/13 |
| scale [1] 48/6 |  |  |  |  |
| scenario [1] 24/12 | separate [2] 5/6 separated [1] 18/ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | specifically 49/12 97/18 |
| scenarios [1] 16/11 scenic [2] 58/14 58 | $\text { separately [1] } 15$ | $58 / 25$ | low [1] 50/7 | spelled [1] 11/8 |
| $\text { edule [1] } 48 / 18$ | septic [2] |  |  | spend [2] 52/11 98/7 |
| school [17] 8/10 35/2 | series [3] |  |  |  |
| 35/7 40/25 41/13 49/2 |  | simple [1] | [3] 37/3 37/1 | Spiros [1] |
| 50/4 50/10 50/14 50/19 | service [1] 88/17 <br> services [3] 11/7 11/22 | simply [5] 8/19 8/2 32/11 32/17 48/25 | 64/12 | spoken [2] 90/4 93/22 |
| 80/6 80/7 81/19 81/24 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { services [3] 11/7 11/22 } \\ 52 / 11\end{array}$ | $\text { since [8] } 7 / 1111 / 16$ | solicitor [4] 1/13 4/15 <br> 4/25 5/11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spoken [2] 90/4 93/22 } \\ & \text { square [18] } 16 / 1618 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 82/11 92/2 92/11 schools [6] 50/14 50/15 | session [3] 22/9 86/1 | $36 / 17 \text { 39/5 64/4 90/1 }$ | some [18] 8/13 22/1 | 19/19 19/19 24/19 25/5 |
| schools [6] 50/14 50/15 82/4 82/16 92/4 92/11 | 108/20 | 97/25 101/24 | 26/6 33/1 33/21 33/2 | 17 48/8 48/1 |
|  | set [5] 5/7 18/19 30/7 | sir [4] 72/20 76/11 83/ | 41/4 49/1 49/7 56/3 | $975 / 11$ 75/20 84/3 |
| $88 / 23$ | 30/24 40/4 |  | 63/20 69/5 70/7 7 | /11 102/17 |
| scope [1] 105/11 | seven [5] 13/24 91/ | sit [6] 41 | 82/24 97/3 103/6 109 | /6 |
| [ [35] 1/3 2/6 3/9 | 91/13 91/18 100/23 | 98/19 99/ | somebody [7] 11/12 | Staff [1] 39/25 |
| 8/25 9/6 9/12 10/9 | Seventh [1] 1/18 | site [22] 11/23 11/2 | 37/25 38/6 57/7 70/1 | Sta |
| 10/10 14/11 15/14 15/18 | several [5] 34/17 47/14 | 12/14 15/10 18/14 18/18 | 71/22 106/7 | stand [3] 58/9 62/21 |
| 36/2 36/3 36/3 | 48/8 54/18 97/5 | 19/16 19/16 19/2 | Somebody's [1] 66/2 |  |
| 39/15 44/19 44/19 46/6 |  |  | 70/1 |  |
| 46/11 47/20 55/21 57/6 | shall [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | 7010 |  |
| 57/15 63/14 63/17 63/23 $64 / 164 / 10$ 64/14 64/17 | share [2] | si | 37/25 |  |
| 64/1 64/10 64/14 64/17 65/15 76/7 109/15 | Shawnee [6] 68/4 8 | situation [3] 66/2 | 65/17 | dpoint [2] 36/18 |
| 65/15 76/7 109/15 Scott's [1] 52/22 | 84/16 84/22 84/23 85/1 | 107/25 108/1 | 80/2 81/20 81/21 82/13 | 56/5 |
| Scott's [1] 52/22 Scotts [6] 10/7 15/11 | she [8] 10/12 10/16 | six [3] 6/10 6/25 5 | 91/ | stands |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Scotts [6] } \\ 16 / 715254 / 11 \\ 61 / 1367 / 6 \end{array}$ | 10/18 44/24 44/25 45/5 | sixty [1] | 109/7 | staring [1] 74/6 |
| Scranton [1] 36/24 screen [1] 24/1 screening [2] 31/2 31/5 seasonal [1] $41 / 24$ second [6] 5/7 41/20 | 45/6 65/10 <br> she's [5] 10/16 59/22 <br> 61/6 87/24 87/25 <br> Sheriff's [1] 80/19 <br> shifted [2] 99/22 100/4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { size [9] } 17 / 1722 / 3 \\ & 25 / 1430 / 2151 / 2564 / 21 \\ & 79 / 4103 / 4109 / 2 \\ & \text { sizes [2] } 48 / 9103 / 3 \\ & \text { ski [1] } 68 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | sometimes [2] 7/16 101/11 <br> somewhat [1] 94/15 somewhere [5] 7/8 17/25 37/15 98/7 100/22 | ```start [2] 13/21 84/17 started [3] 39/19 42/7 82/4 starting[2] 32/21 41/22 state [13] 11/24 22/16``` |

(15) salary - state

## S

state... [11] 26/18 26/19 43/12 58/9 62/13 77/24 85/9 92/7 95/15 95/18 95/21
State's [1] 34/1 statement [3] 101/1 107/9 108/19
statements [1] 76/4
States [2] 36/22 81/13
station [1] 78/13
stay [3] 41/19 77/15 86/24
stayed [1] 82/1
steel [1] 42/15
steep [9] 86/14 86/16
86/23 86/24 87/1 87/5
87/5 103/21 103/22
steno [2] 70/2 70/4
stenographer [1] 22/13
stenographic [3] 4/9 4/20 13/5
stenographically [1] 111/5
Steve [2] 2/21 102/7 still [14] 36/20 42/14 45/22 49/9 62/20 67/7 74/8 77/4 103/9 103/23 104/4 104/6 105/5 109/25
stocked [1] 42/3
stop [1] 74/11
stopped [2] 72/17 72/18 stores [1] 80/10
stormwater [6] 18/6
24/6 43/4 76/15 76/22

## 76/25

story [2] 74/7 89/25
stream [4] 43/13 43/14
53/20 54/3
streams [2] 43/12 97/16 street [4] 1/18 64/23 75/22 99/13
stretch [6] 12/9 13/11
13/14 14/2 84/11 88/14
stretches [1] 13/13
strong [1] 93/9
Stroudsburg [12] 1/8
1/18 1/22 1/24 36/19
37/5 40/25 41/12 41/13
58/12 76/17 78/19
structure [3] 46/17
46/20 90/18
Strunk [1] 66/14
stuck [1] 108/5
student [3] 50/12 50/16 50/20
student-teacher [1] 50/20
students [5] 41/14 50/3 Tailor [2] 58/20 60/11
50/3 50/14 50/17 $\quad$ take [14] 10/5 17/13
studied [1] 49/12
studies [1] 29/9
study [9] 29/11 43/19
43/22 43/22 43/24 51/6
51/21 55/5 65/13
stuff [3] 39/3 50/7 62/25
stupid [1] 65/17
subdivision [2] 43/3 102/25
subject [8] 9/7 10/1
10/6 17/23 18/11 18/22
31/6 33/24
submitted [1] 75/15
subset [1] 27/3
succinct [1] 8/8
such [3] 6/1 52/17 77/20
sudden [3] 73/16 82/1 82/4
suggest [2] 10/17 42/25
suggested [2] 9/23 109/9
suitable [4] 54/22 55/7
55/22 56/6
summary [3] 3/6 45/8 48/21
Sunrise [1] 94/25
Sunshine [1] 4/19
supervisor [4] 1/11 1/12 4/13 85/15
supervisors [12] 1/1 1/7
4/6 5/24 7/10 9/21 10/4
10/21 36/10 80/2 97/10
101/9
support [1] 28/8
supported [1] 24/8
supports [1] 52/21
supposed [4] 53/12
95/18 95/22 96/10
sure [17] 11/21 22/4 28/6 34/19 35/23 38/17 38/23 38/25 40/2 59/13
66/19 76/6 95/6 95/11
97/8 99/10 110/3
Surely [1] 49/15
surprised [2] 58/23 61/14
survey [3] 96/1 96/2 97/11
Swartz's [1] 109/3
sworn [3] 11/3 39/15 47/5
system [7] 44/15 50/14
50/19 53/8 54/5 78/19
78/25
systems [1] 91/6
T
table [1] 92/15
term [2] 26/24 43/6
terminals [1] 97/24
terms [15] 12/13 12/17
18/12 20/22 23/22 24/21 25/8 26/19 28/4 28/7
31/7 31/11 33/24 34/14 103/2
test [2] 18/21 24/10
testified [3] 11/4 39/16 47/6
testify [4] 46/22 53/11 53/14 55/10
testimony [2] 52/23 53/23
text [1] 53/15
than [8] 5/19 29/6 51/3
68/19 76/21 91/2 102/23
105/8
thank [33] 4/11 5/12 8/5
27/19 35/23 39/21 44/18
45/8 45/24 51/19 53/3
61/24 63/4 66/4 66/5
67/2 67/3 70/5 72/15
72/19 73/4 74/18 84/9
93/11 93/12 94/9 94/22
101/2 101/22 101/24
105/24 106/13 108/17
thanks [3] 37/14 39/22 61/23
thanks to [1] 37/14 that [540]
that's [108] 6/7 6/8 10/22 12/1 12/3 12/5 13/15 14/21 15/11 16/18 22/15 22/18 22/19 23/1 23/5 23/18 23/18 26/8 27/1 27/19 27/21 28/9 28/12 29/18 30/18 32/10 32/16 32/24 33/4 34/10 37/22 40/11 41/5 44/20 45/14 47/13 50/18 52/18 52/23 54/4 55/15 55/16 56/3 58/4 58/18 62/16 62/22 62/24 66/19 67/1 67/7 68/9 68/18 68/23 69/15 71/18 71/23 71/25 72/3 72/5 72/6 72/17 74/15 74/17 76/10 77/15 78/4 78/4 78/9 80/14 81/5 81/18 82/6 83/14 84/5 85/5 85/12 85/16 85/21 86/25 87/25 88/7 91/21 94/6 94/6 96/6 96/25 99/6 100/17 100/17 100/18 100/19 101/3 101/11 101/14 103/2 103/14 103/18 103/21 104/13 106/3 106/8 106/11 108/16

108/23 109/5 109/11
110/3
that's kind [1] 82/6
their [29] 5/3 6/3 7/5 7/5
7/6 18/12 21/6 22/15
22/16 33/18 34/2 37/19
44/14 47/22 53/8 53/8
61/11 66/12 66/15 71/19 73/2 75/17 77/13 78/19 78/25 82/1 82/15 91/5 96/18
them [26] 5/5 9/13
34/18 36/13 37/22 43/23 49/7 50/7 52/18 57/13
59/22 61/13 65/8 66/2
66/3 67/20 67/22 68/16 69/25 70/8 81/8 86/2
86/22 90/18 97/5 101/17
then [47] 5/17 7/9 7/21 9/15 10/3 12/14 14/3 15/10 16/17 19/20 22/16 23/18 25/5 27/13 30/20 31/1 33/18 35/4 35/17 36/11 36/12 36/14 36/15 36/22 38/7 38/9 38/14 38/14 46/25 57/14 62/17 67/18 70/9 71/11 72/3 79/3 87/20 89/19 93/13 93/17 97/3 99/11 104/22 104/24 106/2 108/3 109/6
there [90] 5/7 5/23 6/9
6/20 6/24 7/12 8/13 9/19 9/19 12/3 13/4 15/20 23/10 27/23 29/1 29/19 31/5 31/9 34/25 35/13 37/17 40/11 41/1 43/16 45/11 46/1 46/3 46/18 50/3 50/4 50/13 50/25 54/20 55/6 56/4 60/6 60/7 60/24 61/10 61/11 62/6 62/24 67/7 68/17 68/18 69/1 70/6 70/7 70/25 71/16 71/23 73/8 73/9 73/12 73/15 74/2 74/14 75/15 75/16 75/23 76/11 76/12 77/1 77/11 80/14 80/17 80/17 80/24 81/1 81/17 83/6 84/6 86/2 87/3 89/5 89/7 90/22 91/24 91/25 94/17 94/22 95/14 97/5 106/3 106/4 107/3 108/2 108/3 108/13 109/1
There'd [1] 18/5
there's [35] 5/18 13/20
15/10 15/18 15/20 20/10 23/18 23/21 23/23 24/4 26/4 29/25 30/1 30/3

## T

there's... [21] 33/21
38/21 40/24 50/1 50/7 52/16 56/9 58/13 61/7 64/15 64/19 64/25 65/22 66/22 78/13 78/14 78/16 78/21 83/1 103/18 109/6 these [36] 8/14 15/22 21/6 31/1 32/12 49/5 51/12 52/12 52/17 59/16 60/13 61/17 62/8 65/19 66/11 69/19 72/25 75/10 75/15 75/20 76/12 78/1 84/4 84/24 90/1 90/12 92/12 92/13 92/14 93/2 93/5 98/17 101/6 101/8 106/10 108/5
they [88] 5/18 6/14 8/15 8/25 9/1 22/14 23/12 29/2 29/5 29/7 29/12 29/12 29/21 30/24 33/25 34/1 34/3 34/5 34/9 34/10 37/5 37/21 37/22 37/25 38/12 38/12 38/22 38/22 41/22 42/21 51/22 51/23 52/14 58/6 59/16 60/21 62/14 62/19 64/20 64/22 66/2 67/19 68/5 68/6 69/18 69/22 69/24 69/24 71/23 72/17 72/17 73/2 73/16 73/17 73/22 73/23 75/9 76/24 77/14 77/16 78/24 79/2 79/24 80/6 80/6 80/8 81/22 82/6 82/19 82/21 82/24 84/6 85/15 86/5 86/6 86/14 90/22 90/24 91/4 91/6 91/24 92/1 92/5 92/13 98/12 101/16 104/3 104/6
they'd [1] 38/23
They'Il [1] 62/12 they're [20] 15/6 22/16 26/1 35/8 52/14 54/10 56/10 61/14 69/20 69/23 70/21 71/15 74/3 80/7 82/5 84/5 84/7 100/21
105/5 108/14
thing [22] 27/1 38/1 54/16 61/15 62/1 62/14 62/15 63/5 64/6 66/4 67/8 69/18 70/11 75/12 80/1 82/5 82/8 84/11 86/10 86/21 95/2 108/24 things [17] 19/22 20/10 28/24 52/14 64/24 65/20 69/23 69/24 81/11 83/18 94/11 96/3 96/24 97/9 97/16 98/8 98/23
think [35] 19/17 19/22 21/4 26/6 26/8 27/14 27/21 28/15 39/6 53/2 57/5 58/12 61/6 61/16 61/20 63/8 65/25 68/15 68/16 70/6 70/8 80/3 85/14 86/9 89/18 96/23 98/10 98/14 98/18 99/4 101/4 102/12 103/7 108/23 109/6
thinking [1] 108/7 this [250] thoroughly [1] 75/25 those [41] 6/1 6/18 6/22 8/17 11/16 13/3 13/12 25/16 26/15 28/3 29/13 34/4 48/15 49/6 50/6 57/7 65/7 66/17 66/18 67/24 69/8 69/12 69/23 69/23 71/4 76/13 82/15 86/19 86/24 87/10 91/7 91/19 92/7 92/9 95/11 96/23 103/3 103/19 104/11 104/14 105/20 though [3] 37/1 56/23 82/7 thought [4] 29/7 54/5 54/15 97/11 thousand [9] 16/16 19/19 50/17 78/15 78/17 78/20 99/23 100/23 103/5
three [3] 15/22 59/17 102/8
through [17] 7/5 15/5 20/18 26/17 27/17 30/1 30/2 48/12 50/2 52/20 57/4 61/21 74/2 74/5 80/5 83/15 83/22 throughout [8] 12/9 13/11 19/20 90/7 91/12 91/16 91/18 92/22 TIF [2] 80/6 83/1 timbered [1] 43/5 time [32] 5/15 7/17 8/10 16/22 20/17 20/18 25/13 25/16 29/17 31/1 35/19 38/20 39/23 41/21 41/25 63/8 63/18 67/9 69/4 73/15 78/12 90/11 90/13 93/19 93/23 95/19 98/3 98/10 98/14 98/18 99/4 101/15
times [9] 20/16 20/16 21/6 21/7 51/3 77/11 77/13 91/2 94/1
tion [1] 50/9
today [11] 13/4 29/15
41/17 42/10 53/15 77/5

77/6 78/1 78/5 90/4 106/12
Today's [1] 75/8
together [7] 23/11 48/4 98/2 98/19 98/25 99/4 100/1
token [1] 33/1
told [2] 38/12 81/15
Tom [2] 2/17 83/10
tonight [11] 23/13 23/25 39/8 39/24 40/10 40/13 40/18 53/11 66/23 87/19 88/15
tonight's [1] 32/21 tons [1] 93/25 too [9] 34/21 36/16 62/17 70/1 70/10 78/4 81/23 95/24 100/8 took [4] 29/9 51/23 77/17 105/20
top [7] 14/20 30/8 43/12 79/18 87/13 103/7 104/16
topography [3] 31/8 54/2 56/6
topsoil [1] 42/11
total [13] 13/19 13/20
14/16 15/9 19/12 22/10 24/21 24/24 25/8 43/6 46/4 49/23 51/3
totally [4] 70/9 81/21 82/14 82/25
totals [1] 41/10
tour [1] 55/24
tourists [4] 68/3 84/13 84/19 84/21
tow [1] 52/13
towards [2] 33/19 92/15 towers [1] 106/4 town [4] 74/16 77/14 79/15 88/7
township [47] $1 / 11 / 6$ 1/15 4/6 4/14 5/16 12/19 23/7 40/15 40/24 41/3 42/21 43/1 43/18 43/22 44/7 44/7 45/13 48/11 49/21 54/22 55/25 58/3 58/4 58/5 60/14 61/10 63/7 65/25 73/22 77/7 77/7 79/2 81/4 85/6 85/9 93/11 95/10 95/20 96/7 96/17 96/25 98/12 98/20 99/5 103/1 106/9
township's [3] 12/20 61/15 97/7
townships [1] 95/21
track [1] 12/25
tracks [1] 12/12
tract [18] 12/22 14/1

14/5 14/9 14/10 15/4 16/2 16/2 16/15 18/22 19/10 23/8 23/9 23/10 23/25 23/25 88/12 103/16
tractor [5] 21/11 69/23 73/15 74/4 83/22
tracts [2] 18/3 23/10
traffic [49] 17/1 17/3
18/9 18/9 19/24 20/5
20/11 20/23 24/14 25/1 25/2 25/23 26/1 26/7 28/1 28/5 28/7 28/8 28/16 29/2 29/3 29/7 29/9 29/11 29/14 31/11 31/13 31/16 31/20 31/25 32/3 32/5 33/18 33/18 51/2 51/3 59/6 62/23 65/13 66/22 66/25 68/19 73/15 74/1 74/11 83/20 91/20 93/4 99/16
Trail [3] 37/9 65/4 73/21 trailer [1] 73/15
trailers [3] 21/12 74/4 83/22
training [2] 47/12 96/4 transcribed [1] 111/7 transcript [3] 1/5 111/6 111/6
transition [1] 13/21
transparency [1] 33/1 transparent [1] 33/5
Trash [1] 73/20
traverse [2] 20/21 21/7 traversing [1] 25/10 treated [2] 37/20 77/7
trees [1] 74/8
tribal [1] 89/24
tried [1] 64/23
trips [9] 20/3 22/10 25/8 25/14 25/16 65/15 68/9 83/17 86/6
trout [2] 43/12 43/13
truck [13] 18/8 33/23
33/25 65/3 65/14 66/22 66/25 68/10 68/19 72/10 72/11 74/11 83/17
trucking [1] 97/24
trucks [28] 22/11 25/17 26/12 26/15 37/10 38/3 38/4 52/13 62/9 62/21 65/5 67/17 68/5 68/25 69/8 72/16 72/18 74/11 74/11 74/12 84/18 85/4 86/4 92/20 93/24 93/24 94/2 94/5
true [1] 111/6
truth [1] 8/13
try [6] 8/7 11/12 38/6

38/11 86/19 86/21
trying [13] 13/8 20/18
20/19 20/20 21/7 24/23 33/4 67/22 72/25 78/5 78/9 105/2 105/22
turf [1] 42/12
turkey [1] 42/4
turn [8] 4/25 5/10 22/15
35/4 62/5 69/6 91/10 92/21
twice [1] $43 / 5$
twist [1] 8/2
two [26] 10/8 14/6 15/6
15/20 21/6 22/13 22/21
26/15 29/25 30/22 30/24
40/17 42/15 49/20 50/15
50/15 66/11 71/1 84/18
87/16 89/15 89/15 92/21
98/17 109/1 110/6
type [10] 8/14 17/19
20/1 20/23 21/2 33/23
52/12 54/23 55/7 56/12
types [2] 48/15 52/15
typically [1] 27/17

## U

U.S [4] 51/5 51/13 51/17

51/20
ultimately [5] 24/9
31/18 37/3 87/12 100/7
umbrella [1] 77/9
under [14] 9/11 11/25
16/3 16/10 16/15 17/4 24/11 58/2 78/24 79/13 99/21 100/5 102/25
111/7
undersigned [1] 59/1
understand [15] 5/22
6/8 7/23 20/11 25/15
32/19 34/3 58/15 61/12
70/12 72/15 73/6 76/14 83/13 88/6
understanding [2]
25/12 64/19
understood [2] 6/7 58/12
unfortunate [1] 53/10 unfortunately [1] 36/6
unhatched [2] 15/11 23/18
unintelligible [1] 21/24
Unit [1] 78/15
United [2] 36/22 81/13
units [10] 16/16 19/17
24/18 25/6 38/7 68/15
78/20 82/21 102/13
102/23
unless [1] 82/14
unlike [1] 5/22

| U | 10 | walrus [1] | 53 | 75/11 77/13 77/22 78/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unmute [1] $89 / 1$ | 76/15 76 | want [50] 5/1 6/17 8 | way [16] 7/4 7/19 15/4 | 79/11 81/20 81/21 81/2 |
| unmute [1] | 76/15 76/18 | 19/4 20/11 26/24 38/4 | 21/25 30/2 48/11 68/1 | 86/14 97/1 97/1 97/4 |
| [6] $6 / 126 / 227 / 7$ | value [5] 44/3 49/18 | 38/4 38/16 38/25 39/1 | 68/1 68/2 69/13 72/23 | 97/20 97/24 104/10 |
| 7/18 11/9 14/2 19/7 24/1 | 53/11 59/9 97/6 | 39/2 53/1 56/24 57/2 | 76/16 83/1 87/2 93/1 | 105/17 |
| 28/20 31/19 33/6 35/24 | values [1] 102/10 | 58/9 58/21 60/20 60/23 | 95/1 | t [13] 12/23 |
| 37/10 37/13 38/2 38/3 | variance [1] 5 | 61/16 61/20 61/2 | Wayne [3] 2/15 72 | 14/18 |
| 38/19 40/11 43/14 48/12 | various [3] 11/25 16/11 | 63/19 67/14 68/5 69/7 | 73/6 | 7/20 23/2 24/3 53/5 |
| 48/13 52/7 53/1 53/19 | 24/20 | 73/12 73/12 73/13 74/20 | we [136] | 72/12 88/13 100 |
| 56/22 59/24 59/25 60/8 | vast [1] 7 | /24 80/1 80/16 81/6 | we'd [5] 10 | stern [2] 43/9 100/11 |
| 62/1 62/6 62/24 64/2 | vehicle [4] 22/10 2 | 81/10 83/7 83/24 84/1 | 35/14 63/10 | what [139] |
| 66/2 67/19 68/3 69/25 | 83/20 90/25 | 87/24 92/4 93/17 931 | we'll [16] 7/8 7/19 | what's [16] 6/8 7/16 |
| 69/25 73/14 74/8 74/19 | vehicles [10] 24/24 25/9 | 94/12 96/3 98/12 99/5 | 10/19 10/22 15/12 35/1 | 14/16 14/23 17/13 19/24 |
| 76/7 76/12 79/24 80/3 | 25/20 25/20 26/13 69/7 | 101/19 101/20 106/6 | 35/21 35/22 40/11 57/13 | 27/8 28/12 28/25 30/17 |
| 81/19 82/16 82/17 83/9 | 69/8 69/14 90/23 91 | wanted [10] 38/14 | 57/14 68/1 85/20 88/9 | 37/8 71/11 |
| 87/2 89/5 92/2 92/14 | versus [5] 19/25 20/9 | 40/18 50/21 59/15 63/14 | 102/1 | 78/5 80/13 |
| 94/17 95/23 102/3 | 21/1 49/3 105/22 | 64/4 66/13 66/19 77/14 | we're [46] | whatever [7] 25/4 39/8 |
| 106/15 | very [18] | 100 | 17/23 | /873/1 |
| upgrading [1] 96/20 | 50/1 52/14 53/20 | wants [8] | 26/6 28/1 32/11 32/ | 89/10 |
| upon [1] 7/16 | 72/15 72/19 93/9 | 36/3 38/11 65/19 108 | 32/16 32/16 33/4 46/25 | when [48] |
| us [32] 4/12 11/16 17/13 | 95 | 108/3 108/11 | 57/2 60/18 62/7 62/8 | 20/15 20/18 20/19 21/7 |
| 21/24 31/15 37/20 37/22 | 101/2 108/24 109/13 | warehouse [77] 5/3 | 62/9 65/18 65/18 68/2 | 22/11 24/23 24/25 25/11 |
| 39/20 45/20 45/22 45/25 | via [3] 1/12 4/14 66/12 | 6/13 6/19 6/24 9/16 | 69/19 70/20 71/3 73/6 | 25/16 26/10 27/14 28 |
| 46/2 47/9 48/18 49/9 | viable [1] 67/8 | 17/19 17/19 18/15 19/25 | 75/22 75/23 78/5 78/9 | 28/18 29/12 29/19 36/11 |
| 62/7 63/7 63/22 71/2 | Vice [1] 4/13 | 20/4 20/9 20/22 21/ | 78/10 80/4 80/12 81/5 | 37/8 37/21 37/24 38/7 |
| 73/13 75/3 77/8 77/10 | Vicky [5] 2/22 106/18 | 21/5 24/2 24/14 | 85/12 85/19 88/15 89/ | 38/12 39/5 42/13 43/21 |
| 77/14 77/14 77/16 78/5 | 107/12 107/21 108/17 | 25/4 25/11 25/14 26/23 | 89/11 95/18 99/13 | 62/5 63/7 64/12 67/5 |
| 82/5 82/6 82/10 109/14 | view [8] 19/23 26/11 | 26/23 26/24 27/3 27/10 | 102/14 103/12 105/11 | 67/17 69/10 69/13 72/1 |
| 109/25 | 26/16 33/25 34/9 34/12 | 30/13 30/17 32/10 32/23 | 108/5 109/12 | 75/13 81/15 81/19 82/12 |
| use [43] 5 | 73/23 98/10 | 43/16 47/18 47/23 48/7 | we've [9] 12/19 12/19 | 84/2 95/14 95/22 9 |
| 6/19 6/24 9/16 10/1 | village [2] 94/25 109/10 | 49/4 49/16 49/25 50/3 | 34/20 45/3 51/10 55/1 | 96/23 98/1 98/24 103/10 |
| 16/14 16/18 19/7 20/8 | Vince [3] 2/18 85/23 | 51/4 52/8 52/10 53/5 | 57/4 87/16 101/25 | 105/11 106/7 |
| 20/8 20/24 21/2 24/18 | 85/24 | 55/22 58/17 61/1 61/21 | wear [1] 94/ | where [42] |
| 24/21 25/3 25/21 26/2 | visibility [2] | 62/9 64/7 64/8 | Website [1] 22/6 | 9/ |
| 26/11 26/14 26/24 28/16 | visible [2] 29/23 31/8 | 64/21 65/2 65/18 65/22 | Wednesday [3] 1/8 4/2 | 20/20 22/2 25/15 30/4 |
| 32/10 32/15 32/22 35/2 | visit [2] 53/17 53/ | 66/21 66/24 67/14 71/11 | 110/ | 34/8 37/4 37/14 |
| 49/2 53/9 54/9 54/23 | visual [1] 70/25 | 72/4 73/9 74/5 76/9 | W | 56/9 59/16 59/21 60/3 |
| 63/20 78/25 79/13 79/24 | visualizing [1] 30 | 76/12 80/16 84/3 91/ | weight [2] 34/18 35/6 | 60/6 60/7 60/9 60/2 |
| 84/12 84/14 96/12 | voice [19] | 91/10 91/17 97/23 99/15 | Weis [1] 35/4 | 68/18 72/3 74/3 75/18 |
| 108/21 108/22 109/3 | 22 | 1 | come [2] | 75/20 75/24 78/5 80/1 |
| 109/13 109/17 | 46/8 60/2 68/13 72/5 | 106/1 106/1 107/24 | 101/13 | 82/8 84/4 87/2 87/8 |
| used [6] 31/2 31/5 36/18 | 75/1 75/4 79/16 82/19 | 10 | well [30] | 100 |
| 51/23 67/19 93/18 | 4/23 105/7 105/13 | warehouse/distributi | 17/4 19/14 26/7 26/1 | 104/12 105/1 105/19 |
| used 30 [1] 51/23 |  |  | 44/12 39/19 39/25 |  |
| user [1] 79/1 | volume [3] 20/25 26/1 | 48/9 51/24 | 46/22 48/15 53/20 56/13 | Whereupon [1] 110/10 |
|  | 105/15 | was [140] | 64/15 69/12 70/22 77/7 | wherever [1] 63/1 |
| [3] 26/13 26/22 | volumes [1] 2 | wasn't [1] 108/ | 82/17 84/11 93/15 95/23 | whether [16] 6/21 6/23 |
| [3] 26/13 26/22 | volunteered [1] 53/25 | watch [1] 90/8 | 99/25 103/9 103/14 | 6/25 22/24 25/3 31/15 |
|  | vote [5] 83/8 93/3 | water [14] 43/11 43/15 | 103/15 | 32/12 39/8 55/5 55/5 |
| 50/4 50/8 | 109/25 110/1 110/2 | 43/20 43/23 54/3 62/25 | went [9] 31/12 53/1 | 73/9 73/10 73/10 93/1 |
|  | vo | 75/13 75/18 75/24 | /10 67/9 67/19 72/1 | 93/2 101/19 |
| utilized [1] 29/12 | voting [3] 93/3 93/6 | /1 | 81/19 81/22 96/ |  |
| V |  | 9/23 | /17 17/1 18/23 19/1 | /3 9/10 14/6 22/10 |
|  | W | Waterfront [1] 6 | /17 29/5 30/1 31/1 | 9/22 36/19 37/3 38/17 |
| 65/18 65/21 | wait [1] 22/15 | waterpark [1] 73/10 | 37/20 37/25 42/14 50/13 | 41/6 41/21 41/21 41/23 |
| Valhalla [5] | walkable [1] 109/10 | waters [2] 53/13 53/21 | 52/9 54/17 58/21 59/16 | 42/17 49/3 50/17 50/23 |
| 107/21 107/24 108/9 | walks [2] 74/10 98/25 | watershed [3] 43/20 | 63/18 65/4 73/16 75/9 | 51/6 55/4 59/3 62/20 |

(18) unmute - which

| W | 56/18 | 85/2 89/22 93/3 93/3 | 12/18 31/14 45/10 59/2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| which... [14] 71/21 78/8 | witnesses [2] 7/6 7/21 | 107/12 107/18 | 9/5 59/8 74/5 83/ |  |
| 79/3 80/9 83/3 83/11 | won't [4] 44/4 80/6 82/21 86/5 | yet [3] 5/8 32/24 40/10 <br> yield [1] 17/16 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 / 25 ~ 94 / 15 ~ 95 / 10 ~ 96 / 13 \\ & 96 / 23 ~ 101 / 5 ~ 101 / 13 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 86/9 88/16 90/17 94/1 | wonder [1] 74/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yield [1] 17/16 } \\ & \text { York [3] } 77 / 2581 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 / 23101 / 5101 / 13 \\ & 106 / 9106 / 12108 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 94/12 96/8 96/12 109/4 | wonderful [3] 54/1 54/5 | $81 / 25$ | $108 / 21 \text { 108/23 }$ |  |
| while [2] 51/11 97/20 | $67 / 10$ | you [278] | Zoom [12] 4/14 21/22 |  |
| White [2] 73/14 93/20 who [24] 8/24 9/11 | wondering [3] 46/6 79/6 | you'd [4] 16/4 30/11 | 22/20 45/21 70/19 71/1 |  |
| 11/17 22/14 27/24 38/11 | 99/14 | 50/21 76/5 | 71/4 87/20 89/15 89/16 |  |
| 38/13 39/4 44/19 47/9 | wood [1] 97/22 | you'll [5] 21/13 21/20 | 93/13 104/22 |  |
| 58/3 61/10 61/11 64/4 | woodcraft [1] 97/19 | 28/10 42/19 65/7 |  |  |
| 68/3 71/1 74/2 74/2 77/9 | word [2] 26/22 100/24 | you're [58] 8/4 |  |  |
| 90/4 92/6 94/19 106/14 | words [2] 44/3 103/1 | 1/7 21/11 23/5 |  |  |
| 107/25 | work [3] 11/17 69/21 | 26/21 26/22 27/15 27/16 |  |  |
| who's [2] 38/10 38/19 | 99/2 | 27/16 34/12 34/13 35/23 |  |  |
| whoever [3] 58/8 70/4 | $48 / 4$ | $40 / 1341 / 446 / 751 / 11$ |  |  |
| 106/15 | worker [1] 52/5 | 54/7 54/23 59/25 60/2 |  |  |
| whole [6] 53/19 61/11 $72 / 6103 / 1 \quad 105 / 10$ | working [1] 12/11 | 60/9 60/13 60/25 61/12 |  |  |
| $105 / 11$ | works [1] 83/1 | 62/10 62/17 63/12 64/2 |  |  |
| whose [1] 10/11 | worried [1] 59/19 | 64/15 65/24 68/8 69/6 |  |  |
| why [11] 22/15 37/23 | worry [1] 70/10 | 74/8 80/17 84/12 85/3 |  |  |
| 39/12 47/13 52/19 54/7 | worst [2] 8/12 62/15 | 85/10 88/11 88/21 88/2 |  |  |
| 56/3 69/2 78/9 86/25 | worth [4] 14/9 18/5 | 89/11 99/17 101/9 |  |  |
| 95/6 | 49/24 88/22 | 102/24 102/24 103/10 |  |  |
| widening [1] 31/21 | would [128] | 103/11 104/2 104/3 |  |  |
| width [1] 56/11 | wouldn't [7] 34/10 63/9 63/21 63/24 63/25 85/6 | 106/18 108/6 109/25 |  |  |
| wildlife [2] 59/11 108/8 | $95 / 7$ | 63/6 83" |  |  |
| will [68] 4/18 5/8 5/9 7/1 |  | 101/2 |  |  |
| 7/4 7/4 7/7 8/16 9/25 | written [2] 45/5 60/24 | young [2] 43/15 62/23 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 110 / 210 / 310 / 21 \\ & 15 / 1417 / 2421 / 922 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | wrote [1] 95/3 | your [49] 8/20 9/2 9/4 |  |  |
| 23/19 24/14 27/25 28/6 | Y | 10/24 11/18 11/19 13/9 |  |  |
| 29/23 31/8 33/3 35/1 |  |  |  |  |
| 36/11 36/13 39/8 40/17 |  | 27/6 27/8 27/14 31/11 |  |  |
| 41/6 41/9 41/9 46/17 | yard [2] 62/18 70/10 | 31/12 32/8 39/1 39/22 |  |  |
| 67/24 68/1 68/2 73/11 | yeah [18] 13/6 | 41/19 44/21 45/9 47/20 |  |  |
| 74/13 74/13 74/14 74/15 | 30/24 32/2 33/23 | 48/6 49/13 52/25 54/8 |  |  |
| 76/14 76/21 77/22 83/14 | 46/3 61/25 69/10 70/15 | 55/13 58/9 58/10 58/10 |  |  |
| 86/4 86/6 87/5 88/6 | 75/5 76/10 78/11 79/6 | 60/9 76/4 77/2 77/3 |  |  |
| 88/16 90/15 90/22 91/15 | 82/21 103/20 104/1 | 78/10 79/3 87/2 88/1 |  |  |
| 91/24 92/2 92/18 92/24 | 104/7 | 88/9 89/8 89/18 89/19 |  |  |
| 92/25 93/1 93/3 93/4 | year [11] 29/3 41/11 | 93/11 101/10 101/11 |  |  |
| 93/6 94/15 95/10 101/13 | 41/16 41/18 42/16 50/11 | 102/5 104/17 106/5 |  |  |
| 107/18 108/8 109/21 | 2/6 77/18 81/22 | yourself [2] 62/3 89/19 |  |  |
| willing [1] 79/23 | yearly [1] 41/7 | Z |  |  |
| window [1] 101/15 | years [22] 11/25 12/8 | zone [20] 9/21 13/11 |  |  |
| windows [1] 72/19 | 29/7 36/17 37/17 38/3 | 13/15 13/21 14/7 15/14 |  |  |
| windshields [1] 73/18 | 39/6 44/15 47/12 60/25 | 15/24 23/4 24/11 30/18 |  |  |
| wisdom [1] 9/21 | 67/15 68/16 68/17 68/18 | 33/3 36/10 55/6 56/8 |  |  |
| wisdomed [1] 101/18 | 73/17 78/11 79/19 83/3 | 61/5 71/9 71/10 71/21 |  |  |
| wise [6] 21/1 21/25 | 83/3 90/11 93/18 98/25 | 82/25 88/14 |  |  |
| within [6] 12/12 15/17 | yellow [1] 89/17 | zoned [5] 16/8 16/9 |  |  |
| within [6] 12/12 15/17 15/20 24/21 25/12 27/12 | yes [25] 17/7 17/9 17/13 | 54/21 71/13 102/16 |  |  |
| without [3] 5/10 8/14 | 31/10 36/6 44/23 45/5 | zones [1] 13/3 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { without [3] 5/10 8/14 } \\ & 7 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | 45/14 45/17 45/23 48/4 | zoning [31] 1/3 5/25 |  |  |
|  | 49/15 53/2 54/12 54/14 | 6/11 8/9 8/11 8/12 9/7 |  |  |
| witness [7] 7/7 $7 / 8$ $35 / 1436 / 447 / 156 / 16$ | 55/15 63/13 72/2 82/24 | 9/14 9/18 10/1 10/17 |  |  |

